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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the split common null point problem in Banach spaces. Then using the idea of Mann's iteration, we prove a weak convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in Banach spaces. Furthermore, using the result, we get a new weak convergence theorem which is connected with the split common null point problem and an equilibrium problem in Banach spaces. It seems that these results are first in Banach spaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H$. A mapping $U: C \rightarrow H$ is called inverse strongly monotone if there exists $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\langle x-y, U x-U y\rangle \geq \kappa\|U x-U y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C .
$$

Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two real Hilbert spaces. Let $D$ and $Q$ be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. Let $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. Then the split feasibility problem [8] is to find $z \in H_{1}$ such that $z \in D \cap A^{-1} Q$. Recently, Byrne, Censor, Gibali and Reich [7] considered the following problem: Given set-valued mappings $A_{i}: H_{1} \rightarrow 2^{H_{1}}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, and $B_{j}: H_{2} \rightarrow 2^{H_{2}}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, respectively, and bounded linear operators $T_{j}: H_{1} \rightarrow$ $H_{2}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, the split common null point problem [7] is to find a point $z \in H_{1}$ such that

$$
z \in\left(\cap_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{-1} 0\right) \cap\left(\cap_{j=1}^{n} T_{j}^{-1}\left(B_{j}^{-1} 0\right)\right),
$$

where $A_{i}^{-1} 0$ and $B_{j}^{-1} 0$ are null point sets of $A_{i}$ and $B_{j}$, respectively. Defining $U=A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A$ in the split feasibility problem, we have that $U: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{1}$ is an inverse strongly monotone operator [1], where $A^{*}$ is the adjoint operator of $A$ and $P_{Q}$ is the metric projection of $H_{2}$ onto $Q$. Furthermore, if $D \cap A^{-1} Q$ is nonempty, then $z \in D \cap A^{-1} Q$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=P_{D}\left(I-\lambda A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A\right) z, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ and $P_{D}$ is the metric projection of $H_{1}$ onto $D$. Using such results regarding nonlinear operators and fixed points, many authors have studied the split

[^0]feasibility peoblem and generalized split feasibility peoblems including the split common null point problem in Hilbert spaces; see, for instance, [7, 9, 12, 21].

Very recently, using the idea of Mann's iteration, Alsulami and Takahashi [2] proved the following weak convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split feasibility problem in Banach spaces.

Theorem 1.1. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $F$ be a strictly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space. Let $J_{F}$ be the duality mapping on $F$. Let $C$ and $D$ be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of $H$ and $F$, respectively. Let $P_{C}$ and $P_{D}$ be the metric projections of $H$ onto $C$ and $F$ onto $D$, respectively. Let $A: H \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $A \neq 0$ and let $A^{*}$ be the adjoint operator of $A$. Suppose that $C \cap A^{-1} D \neq \emptyset$. For any $x_{1}=x \in H$, define

$$
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) P_{C}\left(I-r A^{*} J_{F}\left(A-P_{D} A\right)\right) x_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ and $r \in(0, \infty)$ satisfy the following:

$$
0<a \leq \beta_{n} \leq b<1 \quad \text { and } \quad 0<r\|A\|^{2}<2
$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z_{0} \in C \cap A^{-1} D$, where $z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{C \cap A^{-1} D} x_{n}$.
In this paper, motivated by these problems and results, we consider the split common null point problem in Banach spaces. Then using the idea of Mann's iteration, we prove a weak convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in Banach spaces. Furthermore, using the result, we get a new weak convergence theorem which is connected with the split common null point problem and an equilibrium problem in Banach spaces. It seems that these results are first in Banach spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers and by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of real numbers. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. For $x, y \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have from [19] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, x+y\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2}=\lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we have that for $x, y, u, v \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\langle x-y, u-v\rangle=\|x-v\|^{2}+\|y-u\|^{2}-\|x-u\|^{2}-\|y-v\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$. A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is called nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. Putting $U=I-T$, where $T$ is nonexpansive, we have that $U$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-inverse strongly monotone; see [19]. For a mapping $T: C \rightarrow H$, we denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of $T$. The nearest point projection of $H$ onto $C$ is denoted by $P_{C}$, that is, $\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x \in H$ and $y \in C$. Such $P_{C}$ is called the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. We know that the metric projection $P_{C}$ is firmly nonexpansive, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle P_{C} x-P_{C} y, x-y\right\rangle \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Furthermore $\left\langle x-P_{C} x, y-P_{C} x\right\rangle \leq 0$ holds for all $x \in H$ and $y \in C$; see [17].

Lemma 2.1 ([20]). Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H$. If $\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in C$, then $\left\{P_{C} x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some $z \in C$, where $P_{C}$ is the metric projection on $H$ onto $C$.

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. We denote the value of $y^{*} \in E^{*}$ at $x \in E$ by $\left\langle x, y^{*}\right\rangle$. When $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $E$, we denote the strong convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x \in E$ by $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and the weak convergence by $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$. The modulus $\delta$ of convexity of $E$ is defined by

$$
\delta(\epsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\frac{\|x+y\|}{2}:\|x\| \leq 1,\|y\| \leq 1,\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon\right\}
$$

for every $\epsilon$ with $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be uniformly convex if $\delta(\epsilon)>0$ for every $\epsilon>0$. It is known that a Banach space $E$ is uniformly convex if and only if for any two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\|=1 \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}+y_{n}\right\|=2
$$

$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$ holds. A uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive. We also know that a uniformly convex Banach space has the KadecKlee property, i.e., $x_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|u\|$ imply $x_{n} \rightarrow u$.

The duality mapping $J$ from $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
J x=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

for every $x \in E$. Let $U=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$. The norm of $E$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if for each $x, y \in U$, the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists. In the case, $E$ is called smooth. We know that $E$ is smooth if and only if $J$ is a single-valued mapping of $E$ into $E^{*}$. We also know that $E$ is reflexive if and only if $J$ is surjective, and $E$ is strictly convex if and only if $J$ is one-to-one. Therefore, if $E$ is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then $J$ is a single-valued bijection and in this case, the inverse mapping $J^{-1}$ coincides with the duality mapping $J_{*}$ on $E^{*}$. For more details, see [17] and [18]. We know the following result.

Lemma 2.2 ([17]). Let $E$ be a smooth Banach space and let $J$ be the duality mapping on $E$. Then, $\langle x-y, J x-J y\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in E$. Furthermore, if $E$ is strictly convex and $\langle x-y, J x-J y\rangle=0$, then $x=y$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$. Then we know that for any $x \in E$, there exists a unique element $z \in C$ such that $\|x-z\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $y \in C$. Putting $z=P_{C} x$, we call $P_{C}$ the metric projection of $E$ onto $C$.

Lemma 2.3 ([17]). Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$, and let $x_{1} \in E$ and $z \in C$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent
(1) $z=P_{C} x_{1}$;
(2) $\left\langle z-y, J\left(x_{1}-z\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C$.

Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $A$ be a mapping of of $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$. The effective domain of $A$ is denoted by $\operatorname{dom}(A)$, that is, $\operatorname{dom}(A)=\{x \in E: A x \neq \emptyset\}$. A multi-valued mapping $A$ on $E$ is said to be monotone if $\left\langle x-y, u^{*}-v^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in \operatorname{dom}(A), u^{*} \in A x$, and $v^{*} \in A y$. A monotone operator $A$ on $E$ is said to be maximal if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on $E$. The following theorem is due to Browder [6]; see also [18, Theorem 3.5.4].

Theorem 2.4 ([6]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let $J$ be the duality mapping of $E$ into $E^{*}$. Let $A$ be a monotone operator of $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$. Then $A$ is maximal if and only if for any $r>0$,

$$
R(J+r A)=E^{*}
$$

where $R(J+r A)$ is the range of $J+r A$.
Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space with a Gâteaux differentiable norm and let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator of $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$. For all $x \in E$ and $r>0$, we consider the following equation

$$
0 \in J\left(x_{r}-x\right)+r A x_{r}
$$

This equation has a unique solution $x_{r}$. We define $J_{r}$ by $x_{r}=J_{r} x$. Such $J_{r}, r>0$ are called the metric resolvents of $A$. In a Hilbert space $H$, the metric resolvent $J_{r}$ of $A$ is simply called the resolvent of $A$. The set of null points of $A$ is defined by $A^{-1} 0=\{z \in E: 0 \in A z\}$. We know that $A^{-1} 0$ is closed and convex; see [18].

## 3. Main Result

In this section, using the idea of Mann's iteration, we prove a weak convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $F$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space. Let $J_{F}$ be the duality mapping on $F$. Let $A$ and $B$ be maximal monotone operators of $H$ into $2^{H}$ and $F$ into $2^{F^{*}}$ such that $A^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$ and $B^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$, respectively. Let $J_{\lambda}$ be the resolvent of $A$ for $\lambda>0$ and let $Q_{\mu}$ be the metric resolvent of $B$ for $\mu>0$. Let $T: H \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $T \neq 0$ and let $T^{*}$ be the adjoint operator of $T$. Suppose that $A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right) \neq \emptyset$. For any $x_{1}=x \in H$, define

$$
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(I-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T-Q_{\mu_{n}} T\right)\right) x_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
0<a \leq \beta_{n} \leq b<1, \quad 0<c \leq \lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2} \leq d<2 \quad \text { and } 0<k \leq \mu_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

for some $a, b, c, d, k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $z_{0} \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$, where $z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)} x_{n}$.
Proof. Let $z \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$. Then we have that $z=J_{\lambda_{n}} z$ and $T z=Q_{\mu_{n}} T z$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $y_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $J_{\lambda_{n}}$ is nonexpansive, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2}=\left\|J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right)-J_{\lambda_{n}} z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2} \\
&=\left\|x_{n}-z-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
&=\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}-z, \lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \quad+\left\|\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle T x_{n}-T z, J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \quad+\lambda_{n}^{2}\|T\|^{2}\left\|J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
&=\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}+Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}-T z, J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \quad+\lambda_{n}^{2}\|T\|^{2}\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n}\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{2}\|T\|^{2}\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
&=\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2}-2\right)\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $0<\lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2}<2$ we have that $\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\| & =\left\|\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}-z\right\| \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|$ exists. Thus $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{T x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are bounded. Using the equality (2.2), we have that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
= & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2}-2\right)\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2}-2\right)\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have that $\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2}$ and

$$
\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2}-2\right)\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2} .
$$

Thus we have from $0<a \leq \beta_{n} \leq b<1$ and $0<c \leq \lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2} \leq d<2$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}=0 \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging weakly to $w$. From (3.2), $\left\{y_{n_{i}}\right\}$ converges weakly to $w$. Since $T$ is bounded and linear, we also have that $\left\{T x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ converges weakly to $T w$. Using this and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| T x_{n}-$ $Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n} \|=0$, we have that $Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup T w$. Since $Q_{\mu_{n}}$ is the metric resolvent of $B$ for $\mu_{n}>0$, we have that $\frac{J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)}{\mu_{n}} \in B Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the monotonicity of $B$ we have that

$$
0 \leq\left\langle u-Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}}, v^{*}-\frac{J_{F}\left(T x_{n_{i}}-Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}}\right)}{\mu_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle
$$

for all $\left(u, v^{*}\right) \in B$. Taking $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have from $\left\|J_{F}\left(T x_{n_{i}}-Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}}\right)\right\|=$ $\left\|T x_{n_{i}}-Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $0<k \leq \mu_{n_{i}}$ that $0 \leq\left\langle u-T w, v^{*}-0\right\rangle$ for all $\left(u, v^{*}\right) \in B$. Since $B$ is maximal monotone, we have that $T w \in B^{-1} 0$. This implies that $w \in T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$. Since $y_{n}=J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n}= & J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow x_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right) \in y_{n}+\lambda_{n} A y_{n} \\
& \Leftrightarrow x_{n}-y_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right) \in \lambda_{n} A y_{n} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right) \in A y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ is monotone, we have that for $(u, v) \in A$,

$$
\left\langle y_{n}-u, \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-y_{n}-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)\right)-v\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and hence

$$
\left\langle y_{n}-u, \frac{x_{n}-y_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}-T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n}} T x_{n}\right)-v\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Replacing $n$ by $n_{i}$, we have that

$$
\left\langle y_{n_{i}}-u, \frac{x_{n_{i}}-y_{n_{i}}}{\lambda_{n_{i}}}-T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n_{i}}-Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}}\right)-v\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Since $x_{n_{i}}-y_{n_{i}} \rightarrow 0,0<c \leq \lambda_{n_{i}}\|T\|^{2}, y_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w$ and $T^{*} J_{F}\left(T x_{n}-Q_{\mu_{n_{i}}} T x_{n_{i}}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we have that $\langle w-u,-v\rangle \geq 0$. Since $A$ is maximal monotone, we have that $0 \in A w$. Therefore, $w \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$.

We next show that if $x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w_{1}$ and $x_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup w_{2}$, then $w_{1}=w_{2}$. We know $w_{1}, w_{2} \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$ and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{1}\right\|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{2}\right\|$ exist. Suppose $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$. Since $H$ satisfies Opial's condition [14], we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{1}\right\|=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-w_{1}\right\|<\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-w_{2}\right\| \\
=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{2}\right\|=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-w_{2}\right\| \\
<\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-w_{1}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{1}\right\| .
\end{gathered}
$$

This is a contradiction. Then $w_{1}=w_{2}$. Therefore, $x_{n} \rightharpoonup w \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$. Moreover, since for any $z \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

we have from Lemma 2.1 that $\left\{P_{A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)} x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $z_{0}$ for some $z_{0} \in A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$. The property of metric projection implies that

$$
\left\langle w-P_{A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)} x_{n}, x_{n}-P_{A^{-1} 0 \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)} x_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Therefore, we have that

$$
\left\|w-z_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle w-z_{0}, w-z_{0}\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

This means that $w=z_{0}$, i.e., $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$.

## 4. Application

In this section, using Theorem 3.1, we get a new weak convergence theorem which is connected with the split common null point problem and an equilibrium problem in Banach spaces.

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. Then an equilibrium problem (with respect to $C$ ) is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of such solutions $\hat{x}$ is denoted by $E P(f)$, i.e.,

$$
E P(f)=\{\hat{x} \in C: f(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}
$$

For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that the bifunction $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $f(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $f$ is monotone, i.e., $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
(A3) for any $x, y, z \in C$,

$$
\limsup _{t \downarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y)
$$

(A4) for any $x \in C, f(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
We know the following lemma which appears in Blum and Oettli [5].
Lemma 4.1 ([5]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H$ and let $f$ be a bifunction of $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(A 1)-(A 4)$. Let $r>0$ and $x \in H$. Then there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

The following lemma was also given in Combettes and Hirstoaga [10].
Lemma $4.2([10])$. Assume that $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $(A 1)-(A 4)$. For $r>0$ and $x \in H$, define a mapping $T_{r}: H \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
T_{r} x=\left\{z \in C: f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

Then the following hold:
(1) $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
(2) $T_{r}$ is a firmly nonexpansive mapping, i.e., for all $x, y \in H$,

$$
\left\|T_{r} x-T_{r} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, x-y\right\rangle
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=E P(f)$;
(4) $E P(f)$ is closed and convex.

We call such $T_{r}$ the resolvent of $f$ for $r>0$. Using Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, Takahashi, Takahashi and Toyoda [16] obtained the following lemma. See [3] for a more general result.

Lemma 4.3 ([16]). Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $(A 1)-(A 4)$. Let $A_{f}$ be a set-valued mapping of $H$ into itself defined by

$$
A_{f} x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\{z \in H: f(x, y) \geq\langle y-x, z\rangle, \quad \forall y \in C\}, \quad \forall x \in C \\
\emptyset, \quad \forall x \notin C
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $E P(f)=A_{f}^{-1} 0$ and $A_{f}$ is a maximal monotone operator with $\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{f}\right) \subset C$. Furthermore, for any $x \in H$ and $r>0$, the resolvent $T_{r}$ of $f$ coincides with the resolvent of $A_{f}$, i.e.,

$$
T_{r} x=\left(I+r A_{f}\right)^{-1} x
$$

We obtain the following theorem from Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 4.4. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $F$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space. Let $J_{F}$ be the duality mapping on $F$. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the conditions (A1)-(A4) and let $B$ be a maximal monotone operator of $F$ into $2^{F^{*}}$. Let $T_{\lambda}$ denote the resolvent of $A_{f}$ (as defined in Lemma 4.3) for $\lambda>0$ and let $Q_{\mu}$ be the metric resolvent of $B$ for $\mu>0$. Let $T: H \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $T \neq 0$ and let $T^{*}$ be the adjoint operator of $T$. Suppose that $E P(f) \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right) \neq \emptyset$. For any $x_{1}=x \in H$, define

$$
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T_{\lambda_{n}}\left(I-\lambda_{n} T^{*} J_{F}\left(T-Q_{\mu_{n}} T\right) x_{n}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
0<a \leq \beta_{n} \leq b<1,0<c \leq \lambda_{n}\|T\|^{2} \leq d<2 \quad \text { and } 0<k \leq \mu_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

for some $a, b, c, d, k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z_{0} \in E P(f) \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)$, where $z_{0}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{E P(f) \cap T^{-1}\left(B^{-1} 0\right)} x_{n}$.
Proof. For the bifunction $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can define $A_{f}$ in Lemma 4.3. Putting $A=A_{f}$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain from Lemma 4.3 that $J_{\lambda_{n}}=T_{\lambda_{n}}=\left(I+\lambda_{n} A_{f}\right)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda_{n}>0$. Thus we obtain the desired result by Theorem 3.1.
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