

## APPROXIMATING COMMON FIXED POINTS OF ( $a, b$ )-MONOTONE AND NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN HILBERT SPACES

CHIRASAK MONGKOLKEHA, YEOL JE CHO, AND POOM KUMAM\*

*Dedicated to Prof. W. Takahashi on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove weak and strong convergence theorems for Moudafi's iterative scheme including ( $a, b$ )-monotone and nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces and give some examples to illustrate our main results. The results in this paper improve and extend the recent results of Iemoto and Takahashi, Lin and Wang and some others.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . We denote the set of fixed points of a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  by  $F(T)$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *nonexpansive* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *quasi-nonexpansive* if the set of fixed points of  $T$  is nonempty and

$$\|Tx - y\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x \in C$  and  $y \in F(T)$ . If  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive and the set of fixed points of  $T$  is nonempty, then  $T$  is quasi-nonexpansive. Furthermore,  $F$  is said to be *firmly nonexpansive* if

$$\|Fx - Fy\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . Every firmly nonexpansive mapping is nonexpansive. For some important examples of nonexpansive mappings and mappings of the form  $F = \frac{1}{2}(I + T)$  with a nonexpansive mapping  $T$ , see [2, 3].

In 2008, Kohsaka and Takahashi [6] studied the existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive type mappings in Banach spaces. They [7] also introduced the class of mappings called the class of nonspreading mappings.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 49J40; Secondary, 47J20.

*Key words and phrases*. ( $a, b$ )-monotone mappings, nonexpansive mappings, nonspreading mappings, hybrid mappings,  $TY$ -mappings, fixed point problem.

\*Corresponding author.

This research was partially finished at Department of Mathematics Education and the RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju, Korea, while the first and third authors visit here. Also, the second author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (KRF-2013053358).

Let  $E$  be a real smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and  $j$  denote the duality mapping of  $E$ . Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *nonspreading* if

$$\phi(Tx, Ty) + \phi(Ty, Tx) \leq \phi(Tx, y) + \phi(Ty, x)$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, j(y) \rangle + \|y\|^2$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . If  $E$  is a Hilbert space, then we know that  $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . Thus a nonspreading mapping  $S : C \rightarrow C$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  is defined as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad 2\|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq \|Sx - y\|^2 + \|x - Sy\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . It is well known ([4]) that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\langle x - Sx, y - Sy \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ .

We know that, in a Hilbert space  $H$ , every firmly nonexpansive mapping is nonspreading and, if the set of fixed points of a nonspreading mapping is nonempty, then every nonspreading mapping is quasi-nonexpansive ([7]). In 2010, Takahashi [16] introduced the class of hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces, that is, a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be *hybrid* if

$$3\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|x - Ty\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . The class of hybrid mappings contains the class of firmly nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Recently, Takahashi and Yao [17] introduced the new class of mappings  $T : C \rightarrow C$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ , that is, a mappings  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called a *TY-mapping* if

$$(1.3) \quad 2\|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Sx - y\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ .

Very recently, Lin and Wang [8] introduced the new class of mappings  $T : C \rightarrow C$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ , that is, a mappings  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *(a, b)-monotone* if

$$(1.4) \quad \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \geq a\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - a)\|x - y\|^2 - b\|x - Tx\|^2 - b\|y - Ty\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $a \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$  and  $b \in (-\infty, a)$ . This class contains the classes of nonspreading mappings, hybrid mappings and *TY*-mappings (for more details, see [8]).

**Remark 1.1.** From [8], it follows that every *(a, b)-monotone* mapping is not necessary quasi-nonexpansive, nonspreading, *TY*, hybrid and  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping.

On the other hand, weak convergence theorems for two nonexpansive mappings  $T_1, T_2$  of  $C$  into itself were discussed by Takahashi and Tamura in [15]. They considered the following iterative procedure:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_1(\beta_n T_2 x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n) \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$  is nonempty. In 2007, Moudafi [9] considered another iterative procedure for two nonexpansive mappings  $T_1, T_2$  of  $C$  into itself:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n(\beta_n T_1 x_n + (1 - \beta_n)T_2 x_n) \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $F(T_1)$  and  $F(T_2)$  are nonempty.

In 2009, Iemoto and Takahashi [4] extended the result of [9] for the approximation of common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and nonspreading mappings in Hilbert spaces by using Moudafi’s iterative scheme as follows:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n(\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n) \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $S$  is a nonspreading mapping,  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping and  $F(S) \cap F(T)$  is nonempty.

The aim of this paper is to study the approximation of common fixed points of  $(a, b)$ -monotone mappings and nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces by using the Moudafi’s iterative scheme. The main result of this paper extend and generalize the corresponding results given by Iemoto and Takahashi [4], Lin and Wang [8] and some others in the literature.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we denote  $\mathbb{R}$  by the set of real numbers. Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and a norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , respectively. First, we start with a brief recollection of some basic concepts and results in Hilbert spaces for our main results in this paper.

In a Hilbert space  $H$ , it is well known that

$$(2.1) \quad \|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\langle x, y \rangle.$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\|^2 = \alpha\|x\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|y\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  (see, for instance, [13]). Further, in a Hilbert space  $H$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad 2\langle x - y, z - w \rangle = \|x - w\|^2 + \|y - z\|^2 - \|x - z\|^2 - \|y - w\|^2$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in H$  (see [4]). We know that a Hilbert space  $H$  satisfies *Opial’s property* ([11]), that is, for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $H$  with  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  implies

$$(2.4) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|$$

for all  $y \in H$  with  $y \neq x$ . We say that a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  have the *condition (A)* ([12]) if there exists a nondecreasing  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$f(d(x, F(T))) \leq \|x - Tx\|$$

for all  $x \in C$ , where  $d(x, F(T)) = \inf\{\|x - x^*\| : x^* \in F(T)\}$ .

In 2005, Khan and Fukhar-ud-din [5] modified the condition (A) for two mapping as follows:

Two mappings  $S, T : C \rightarrow C$  have the *condition (A')* if there exists a nondecreasing  $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$f(d(x, \mathcal{F})) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|x - Tx\| + (\|x - Sx\|))$$

for all  $x \in C$ , where  $d(x, \mathcal{F}) = \inf\{\|x - x^*\| : x^* \in \mathcal{F}\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} := F(T) \cap F(S)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([18]). *Suppose that  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{e_n\}$  are the sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that  $s_{n+1} \leq s_n + e_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$  exists.*

**Proposition 2.2** ([8]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T$  be a mapping from  $C$  to itself.*

- (1) *If  $T$  is a nonspreading mapping, then  $T$  is a  $(1, \frac{1}{2})$ -monotone mapping.*
- (2) *If  $T$  is a hybrid mapping, then  $T$  is a  $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -monotone mapping.*
- (3) *If  $T$  is a TY- mapping, then  $T$  is a  $(2, \frac{1}{2})$ -monotone mapping.*

**Proposition 2.3** ([8]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping defined on  $C$ . Then we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \|x - p\|^2 \geq \|Tx - p\|^2 + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \|x - Tx\|^2$$

for all  $x \in C$  and  $p \in F(T)$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([8]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping defined on  $C$ . If a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq C$  with  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x^* = Tx^*$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** ([14]) *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $H$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent if and only if each weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  has the same weak limit, that is, for any  $x \in H$ ,*

$$x_n \rightharpoonup x \iff [x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup y \implies x = y].$$

### 3. WEAK CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

In this section, we prove the approximation of common fixed points of  $(a, b)$ -monotone mappings and nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space by the using Moudafi’s iterative scheme.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping of  $C$  into itself and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  as follows:*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n) \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq (0, 1)$  with  $\alpha_n > \frac{2b-1}{2a-1}$  and  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) < \infty,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S)$ .

*Proof.* Notice first that there exists a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies our assumptions. Indeed,  $b < a$  and  $\frac{2b-1}{2a-1} < 1$  and so there exists a constant  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\frac{2b-1}{2a-1} < \alpha < 1$ . If we take  $\alpha_n = \alpha$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq (0, 1)$  such that

$$\alpha_n > \frac{2b - 1}{2a - 1}, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) > 0.$$

Moreover, for each  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} > 0.$$

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $C$ . Since  $S$  is a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping, by Proposition 2.3, for each  $p \in F(S) \cap F(T)$  and  $x \in C$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad \|x - p\|^2 \geq \|Sx - p\|^2 + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \|x - Sx\|^2.$$

Let  $U_n = \beta_n S + (1 - \beta_n)T$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Then, for all  $x, y \in C$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|U_n x - U_n y\|^2 &= \|\beta_n(Sx - Sy) + (1 - \beta_n)(Tx - Ty)\|^2 \\ &= \beta_n \|Sx - Sy\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \|(Sx - Sy) - (Tx - Ty)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x - U_n x\|^2 &= \|x - Sx + Sx - U_n x\|^2 \\ &= \|x - Sx\|^2 + \|Sx - U_n x\|^2 + 2\langle x - Sx, Sx - U_n x \rangle \\ &= \|x - Sx\|^2 + \|Sx - \beta_n Sx - (1 - \beta_n)Tx\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\langle x - Sx, Sx - \beta_n Sx - (1 - \beta_n)Tx \rangle \\ &= \|x - Sx\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)^2 \|Sx - Tx\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \beta_n) \langle x - Sx, Sx - Tx \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|U_n x_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - U_n x_n\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) [\beta_n \|Sx_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|Tx_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2] - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - U_n x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) [\beta_n \|Sx_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - p\|^2] \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \|(Sx_n - x_n) + (x_n - Tx_n)\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) [\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \beta_n) \langle x_n - Sx_n, Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle] \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) [\beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\beta_n \frac{1-2b}{2a-1} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + (1-\beta_n) \|x_n - p\|^2] \\
& -(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n(1-\beta_n)[\|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 + \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& + 2\langle Sx_n - x_n, x_n - Tx_n \rangle] \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)[\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + (1-\beta_n)^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& + 2(1-\beta_n)\langle x_n - Sx_n, Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle] \\
(3.6) \quad & = \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1-\alpha_n) \left[ \|x_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n \frac{1-2b}{2a-1} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \right] \\
& -(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n(1-\beta_n)[\|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 + \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& - 2\langle x_n - Sx_n, x_n - Tx_n \rangle] \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)[\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + (1-\beta_n)^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& + (1-\beta_n)[\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 - \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 - \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2]] \\
& = \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n \frac{1-2b}{2a-1} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
& -(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n(1-\beta_n)[\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& - [\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 - \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2]] \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 - \alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
& +\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& = \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n \frac{1-2b}{2a-1} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
& -(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n(1-\beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(\beta_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + \alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\beta_n\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& = \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1-2b}{2a-1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
& -(1-\alpha_n)^2 \beta_n(1-\beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& \leq \|x_n - p\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists, say  $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ , and hence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Moreover,  $\{\|Tx_n - Sx_n\|\}$  is bounded. In deed, by (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1-\alpha_n)^2 \beta_n(1-\beta_n)\|Tx_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
& \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 - (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1-2b}{2a-1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
& \quad -\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
& \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\beta_n \neq 0$  and  $\beta_n \neq 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and hence, by using the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ , then  $\|Tx_n - Sx_n\|$  is also bounded.

Let  $z_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z_{n+1}\| &= \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Ux_n - \alpha_n x_n - (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n - Sx_n\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\|Tx_n - Sx_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|Tx_n - Sx_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - \beta_n) < \infty$  and  $\{\|Tx_n - Sx_n\|\}$  is bounded, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n - z_n\| < \infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0$$

and hence

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - p\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = c.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|Sx_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\left[\|x_n - p\|^2 - \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2\right] \\ (3.10) \quad &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_{n+1} - p\|^2$$

and hence

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 = 0.$$

Thus, from (3.11) and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right) > 0$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 = 0.$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exist a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and a point  $v \in C$  such that  $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup v$ . Since  $S$  is a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping, by Theorem 2.4, we obtain  $v \in F(S)$ .

Let  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  be another subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  which converges weakly to  $v^* \in C$ . By the same argument as above, we can see that  $v^* \in F(S)$ .

Finally, we show that  $v = v^*$ . Before proving this, we prove that, for any  $z \in F(S)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\|$  exists. In the same way of the inequality (3.10), we can show that, for any  $z \in F(S)$ ,

$$\|z_{n+1} - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\|$$

and so

$$\|z_{n+1} - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\| \leq \|z_n - z\| + \|x_n - z_n\|.$$

By (3.7) and Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - z\|$  exists. From (3.8), we also have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\|$  exists.

Suppose that  $v \neq v^*$ . Then, by Opial's property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - v\| &< \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - v^*\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v^*\| \\ &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - v^*\| \\ &< \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - v\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v\| \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - v\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $v = v^*$  and, by Theorem 2.5, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $v \in F(S)$ . This completes the proof. □

If  $T$  is a nonspreading mapping and nonexpansive mapping, then  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero (see [1, 4]) and so we have the following:

**Corollary 3.2** ([4]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a nonspreading mapping of  $C$  into itself and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.1). If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) < \infty,$$

*then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping with  $b = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\frac{2b-1}{2a-1} = 0$ . Thus Corollary 3.2 follow from Theorem 3.1. □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a mapping of  $C$  into itself which satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1)  $S$  is a hybrid mapping;
- (2)  $S$  is a TY-mapping.



Let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence defined by (3.1). If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) < \infty,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping with  $b = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\frac{2b-1}{2a-1} = 0$ . Thus Corollary 3.3 follow from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** ([8]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  as follows:*

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Sx_n \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  with  $\alpha_n > \frac{2b-1}{2a-1}$ . If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) > 0,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S)$ .

*Proof.* Setting  $\beta_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain Corollary 3.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** ([10]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a nonspreading mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  as follows:*

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Sx_n \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S)$ .

*Proof.* Setting  $\beta_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$  in Corollary 3.2, we obtain Corollary 3.5.  $\square$

Next, we give an example of  $(a, b)$ -monotone mappings and nonexpansive mappings to illustrate Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.6.** Consider a Hilbert space  $H = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual inner product. Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times H \rightarrow H$ ,  $S : H \rightarrow H$  and  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be the mappings defined by

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(\omega, x) &= (r \cos(\theta + \omega), r \sin(\theta + \omega)), \\ Sx &= \frac{5}{4} \phi\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi, x\right) = \frac{5}{4} \left( r \cos\left(\theta + \frac{3}{4}\pi\right), r \sin\left(\theta + \frac{3}{4}\pi\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T(a, b) = (-b, a)$$

for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in H$  and  $(a, b) \in H$ , respectively. We know that, from [8], the mapping  $S$  defined by (3.14) is a  $(4, 3)$ -monotone mapping, but it is not quai-nonexpansive, nonspreading,  $TY$ , hybrid and  $\lambda$ -hybrid. Clearly,

$F(S) = \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping. For any fixed  $x_1 \in H$ , take the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha_n = \frac{3}{4}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Then, for each  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \frac{3}{4}x_n + \frac{1}{4}\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)Sx_n + \frac{1}{2^n}Tx_n\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4}x_n + \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)\left[\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8}\phi(\pi, x_n) + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8}\phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, x_n\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}Tx_n \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1}\| \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)^2} (\|x_n\|) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} + \frac{25}{256} - \frac{15\sqrt{2}}{64} + \left(20\sqrt{2} - \frac{25}{2}\right)\frac{1}{2^{n+6}} + \left(1 + \frac{25}{16} - \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{4}\right)\frac{1}{2^{2n+4}}} (\|x_n\|) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(169 - 60\sqrt{2})}{256} + \frac{40\sqrt{2} - 25}{2^{n+7}} + \frac{41 - 20\sqrt{2}}{2^{2n+8}}} (\|x_n\|) \\ &< \sqrt{\frac{85}{256} + \frac{40\sqrt{2} - 25}{2^{n+7}} + \frac{41 - 20\sqrt{2}}{2^{2n+8}}} (\|x_n\|) \\ &\leq M\|x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \sup \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{85}{256} + \frac{40\sqrt{2} - 25}{2^{n+7}} + \frac{41 - 20\sqrt{2}}{2^{2n+8}}} : n \geq 1 \right\}$ , which  $M < 1$ . Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Setting  $z_{n+1} = \frac{3}{4}x_n + \frac{1}{4}Sx_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5, we conclude that  $x_n \rightarrow (0, 0)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping of  $C$  into itself and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be the sequence as in Theorem 3.1. If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .

*Proof.* Since (3.1) can be written as

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n[\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n] + (1 - \beta_n)[\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n]$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , putting  $V_n = \beta_n[\alpha_n I + (1 - \alpha_n)S] + (1 - \beta_n)[\alpha_n I + (1 - \alpha_n)T]$ , we have  $x_{n+1} = V_n x_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

First, we show that sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point in  $F(S)$ . Let  $u \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Sx - Tx\|^2 &= \|Sx - x + x - Tx\|^2 \\
 (3.15) \qquad &= \|Sx - x\|^2 + \|x - Tx\|^2 + 2\langle Sx - x, x - Tx \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in C$ , it follow from (2.2), (3.3) and (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|V_n x_n - u\|^2 \\
 &= \beta_n \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n - u\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &= \beta_n [\alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - u\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - u\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq \beta_n \alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
 (3.16) \quad &+ (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - u\|^2 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &= \beta_n \alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - u\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq \beta_n \alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - u\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 - \beta_n \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &= \|x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - u\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(3.17) \qquad 0 \leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \|V_n x_n - u\|^2 = \|x_n - u\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - u\|^2.$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, by (3.9), we get

$$(3.18) \qquad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - u\|^2 - \|V_n x_n - u\|^2) = 0.$$

From (3.16), we can see that

$$\|V_n x_n - u\|^2 \leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \left[ \|x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \right] \\ &= \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \|V_n x_n - u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\ (3.19) \quad &\leq (1 - \beta_n)(\|x_n - u\|^2 - \|V_n x_n - u\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) > 0$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0$ , it follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 = 0.$$

By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exist a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in C$  and  $v \in F(S)$ .

Next, we show that  $v$  also an element in  $F(T)$ . From (3.16), for any  $u \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_n x_n - u\|^2 &= \beta_n \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|\alpha_n x_n \\ (3.21) \quad &+ (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n - u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), (3.3), (3.21), the triangle property and the property of  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|V_n x_n - u\|^2 \\ &= \beta_n \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Sx_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n - u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n [\alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - u\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\ (3.22) \quad &\leq \beta_n [\alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - u\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\ &= \beta_n \alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &= \beta_n \alpha_n \|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left( \|x_n - u\|^2 - \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \beta_n \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2$$

it follows that

$$(3.23) \quad \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \|V_n x_n - u\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2$$

and hence

$$(3.24) \quad \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \leq \left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)(\|x_n - u\|^2 - \|V_n x_n - u\|^2) - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right)^2\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2$$

Since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\left(\alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1}\right) > 0$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0$ , it follows from (3.18) and (3.20) that

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 = 0.$$

From the fact that

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 &= \|x_n - Sx_n + Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + 2\langle x_n - Sx_n + Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 + \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + 2\|x_n - Sx_n\|\|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \end{aligned}$$

By (3.20), (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain

$$(3.27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 = 0.$$

Since  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges weakly to  $v$ , we have  $v \in F(T)$ . Let  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  be another subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v^* \in C$ . We show that  $v = v^*$ .

Suppose that  $v \neq v^*$ . By Opial's property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - v\| &< \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - v^*\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v^*\| \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - v^*\| \\ &< \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - v\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v\| \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - v\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $v = v^*$ . Therefore, we can conclude that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we establish an example of  $(a, b)$ -monotone mappings and nonexpansive mappings to illustrate Theorem 3.7.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $H, \phi, S$  and  $T$  be same in as Example 3.6. Then  $F(S) \cap F(T) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . For any fixed  $x_1 \in H$ , take the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha_n = \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \frac{3}{4}x_n + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}Sx_n + \frac{1}{2}Tx_n\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4}x_n + \frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8}\phi(\pi, x_n) + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8}\phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, x_n\right)\right] + \frac{1}{8}Tx_n \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1}\| &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{64}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{64} + \frac{1}{8}\right)^2} (\|x_n\|) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{617 - 100\sqrt{2}}{1024}} (\|x_n\|) \\ &< \frac{11}{16}\|x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. By the similar argument of Example 3.6 and the proof of Theorem 3.7, we can conclude that  $x_n \rightarrow (0, 0)$ .

**Corollary 3.9** ([4]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a nonspreading mapping of  $C$  into itself and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.1). If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a mapping of  $C$  into itself which satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1)  $S$  is a hybrid mapping;
- (2)  $S$  is a TY-mapping.

Let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.1). If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .

#### 4. STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

In this section, we prove the approximation of common fixed points of  $(a, b)$ -monotone mappings and nonexpansive mappings satisfying the condition  $(A')$  in Hilbert spaces by using Moudafi's iterative scheme.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping of  $C$  into itself and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself satisfying the condition  $(A')$  and  $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be the sequence as in Theorem 3.1. If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \alpha_n + \frac{1 - 2b}{2a - 1} \right) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0,$$

*then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .*

*Proof.* From the inequalities (3.20) and (3.27), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2.$$

Moreover, by the similar argument of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can show that

$$(4.2) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$$

for any  $p \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .

On the other hand, by the condition  $(A')$  of  $S$  and  $T$ , we get

$$(4.3) \quad f(d(x_n, \mathcal{F})) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x_n - Sx_n\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\|)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Taking the infimum over all  $p \in \mathcal{F}$  on both sides of (4.2), we can show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \mathcal{F})$  exists.

Now, we claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . Suppose the contrary, choose  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that  $0 < \frac{k}{2} < d(x_n, \mathcal{F})$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Since  $f$  is nondecreasing, it follows from (4.1) and (4.3) that

$$0 < f\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \leq f(d(x_n, \mathcal{F})) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x_n - Sx_n\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\|) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  and hence there exists  $n_1 \geq 1$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad d(x_n, \mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Let  $m, n \geq n_1$  and  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then it follows from (4.2) that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \|x_m - p\| \leq 2\|x_{n_1} - p\|.$$

Taking the infimum over all  $p \in \mathcal{F}$  on both sides of the above inequality, it follows from (4.4) that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq 2d(x_{n_1}, \mathcal{F}) < \epsilon$$

for all  $m, n \geq n_1$ , which implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v$  for some  $v \in H$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed, we have  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a nonspreading mapping of  $C$  into itself and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself satisfying the condition  $(A')$  and  $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.1). If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a mapping of  $C$  into itself which satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1)  $S$  is a hybrid mapping;
- (2)  $S$  is a TY-mapping.

*Let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself satisfying the condition  $(A')$  and  $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence in  $C$  defined by (3.1). If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)(\beta_n) > 0,$$

*then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $v \in F(S) \cap F(T)$ .*

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping mapping of  $C$  into itself satisfying the condition  $(A)$  and  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence defined as follows:*

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) S x_n \end{cases}$$

*for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\alpha_n + \frac{1-2b}{2a-1}) > 0$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $v \in F(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Putting  $\beta_n = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  in Theorem 4.1, the conclusion follow from Theorem 4.1. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and D. R. Sahu, *Fixed Points Theory for Lipschitzain-type Mappings with Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [2] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [3] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1984.
- [4] S. Iemoto and W. Takahashi, *Approximating common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and nonspreading mappings in Hilbert spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), 2082–2089.
- [5] S. H. Khan and H. Fukhar-ud-din, *Weak and strong convergence of a scheme with errors for two nonexpansive mappings*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **61** (2005), 1295–1301.
- [6] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces*, *SIAM J. Optim.* **19** (2008), 824–835.
- [7] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings relate to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **91** (2008), 166–177.
- [8] L. J. Lin and S. Y. Wang, *Fixed point theorems for  $(a, b)$ -monotone mapping mapping in Hilbert spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **131** (2004), 14 pages.
- [9] A. Moudafi, *Krasnoselski-Mann iteration for hierarchical fixed-point problems*, *Inverse Problems* **23** (2007), 1635–1640.
- [10] S. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2004** (2004), 37–47.
- [11] Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mapping*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1967), 591–597.



- [12] H. F. Senter and W. G. Dotson, Jr., *Approximating fixedpoints of nonexpansivemappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 375–380.
- [13] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2005 (in Japanese).
- [14] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009.
- [15] W. Takahashi and T. Tamura, *Convergence theorems for a pair of nonexpansive mappings*, J. Convex Anal. **5** (1998), 45–56.
- [16] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), 79–88.
- [17] W. Takahashi and J.C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and ergodic theorems for nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, Taiwan. J. Math. **15** (2011), 457–472.
- [18] K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178** (1993), 301–308.

*Manuscript received March 20, 2014*

*revised July 1, 2014*

CHIRASAK MONGKOLKEHA

Department of Mathematics Statistics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Science, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng-Saen Campus, Nakhonpathom 73140, Thailand

*E-mail address:* `faascsm@ku.ac.th`

YEOL JE CHO

Department of Mathematics Education and the RINS, Gyeongsang National University Chinju 660-701, Korea, and Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

*E-mail address:* `yjcho@gnu.ac.kr`

POOM KUMAM

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand

*E-mail address:* `poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th`