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#### Abstract

The main aim of this paper is to discuss the exponential estimate of solution of the stochastic functional differential equations under the monotone condition. Furthermore, almost surely asymptotic estimates and $p$ th moment continuous of the solution for these equations are given. More accurately, we shall estimate sample Lyapunov exponent almost surely.


## 1. Introduction

With the development of industrial technology, Stochastic systems including Brownian motion processes has played an important role in many areas of science and engineering for a long time. Since the white noise is mathematically represented by a formal derivative of a Brownian motion process, such stochastic system is based on various types of stochastic functional differential equations (SFDEs) of Itô type as stochastic model. After Itô introduced his stochastic calculus, the theory of SFDEs have been developed very quickly. SFDEs is the most fundamental concept in modern stochastic models.

In recent years, the existence and uniqueness theorem, exponential estimate, almost sure estimate, stability and approximation of the solution for SFDEs have attracted great attention (see, [1]-[4], [6]-[11] and references therein for details).

In this, we consider a class of stochastic equations depending on past and present values that involves derivatives with delays. Such equations historically have been referred to as stochanstic fundtional differential equtions, or stochanstic fundtional differential equtions with delay, it becomes apparent that the principle of causality is often only a first approximation to the true situaton and that a more realistic model would include some of the past states of the system (see, [2], [6], [7], [9]-[12] and references therein for details).

One of the classical and a subject for inquiry in the study of stochanstic fundtional differential equtions(SFDEs) is an existence and uniqueness theorem of the solution to SFDEs under some special conditions. For the work on the existence and uniqueness theorem of the NSFDEs with delay, in 2007 Mao [7] established the existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions of the equations under uniform Lipschitz condition. In 2007, Wei et al. [11] studied the existence and uniqueness

[^0]of the solutions to SFDEs with infinite delay under non-linear growth condition. In 2008, under non-Lipschitz condition, Ren et al. [9] obtained the existence and uniqueness theorem and continuous dependence of the solutions to NSFDEs with infinite delay.

Further, in the study of the solution for the SFDEs, one question arises naturally: Does the $p$-th moment of the solution assure the solution for such SFDEs? To the best of our knowledge, there are few results on this problem. It is also worth noting that the $p$-th moment of the solution for such SFDEs has not been fully investigated, which remains an interesting research topic.

Our study is essentially based on the [7] by Mao referring to the exponential estimate and almost surely asymptotic estimates of SFDEs. In fact, we improve Mao's results by finding sufficient conditions, which are easy to verity, guaranteeing exponential estimate and almost surely asymptotic estimates of the solutions of these equations.

## 2. Preliminary

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, throughout this paper unless otherwise specified, be a complete probability space with a filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq t_{0}}$ satisfying the usual conditions (i.e. it is right continuous and $\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}$ contains all $P$-null sets). Let $|\cdot|$ denote Euclidean norm in $R^{n}$. If $A$ is a vector or a matrix, its transpose is denoted by $A^{T}$; if $A$ is a matrix, its trace norm is represented by $|A|=\sqrt{\operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T} A\right)}$. Assume that $B(t)$ is an $m$-dimensional Brownian motion defined on complete probability space, that is $B(t)=\left(B_{1}(t), B_{2}(t), \ldots, B_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{p}\left([a, b] ; R^{d}\right)$ denote the family of $R^{d}$-valued $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted processes $\{f(t)\}_{a \leq t \leq b}$ such that $\int_{a}^{b}|f(t)|^{p} d t<\infty$ a.s.. Let $B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right)$ denote the family of bounded continuous $R^{d}$-valued functions $\varphi$ defined on $(-\infty, 0]$ with norm $\|\varphi\|=\sup _{-\infty<\theta \leq 0}|\varphi|$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{2}\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right)$ denote the family of $\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}$-measurable, $R^{d}$-valued process $\varphi(t)=\varphi(t, \omega), t \in(-\infty, 0]$ such that $E \int_{-\infty}^{0}|\varphi(t)|^{2} d t<\infty$.

Consider a $d$-dimensional stochastic functional differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x(t)=f\left(x_{t}, t\right) d t+g\left(x_{t}, t\right) d B(t) \quad \text { on } t_{0} \leq t \leq T \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{t}=\{x(t+\theta):-\infty<\theta \leq 0\}$ can be regarded as a $B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right)$-value stochastic process, where $f: B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) \times\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow R^{d}$ and $g: B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) \times$ $\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow R^{d \times m}$ be Borel measurable.

The first question is what is the solution of (2.1). More accurately, what is the smallest date of stochastic prcess $x(t)$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ ? On a moment's thought, we derive that initial data of stochastic process must define on $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right]$, so, the initial value is followed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{t_{0}}=\xi=\{\xi(\theta):-\infty \leq \theta \leq 0\} \quad \text { is an } \mathcal{F}_{t_{0}} \text { - measurable } \\
& B C\left([-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right)-\text { value random variable such that } \xi \in \mathcal{M}^{2}\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [9], the author presented a result stating that, for initial value $x_{t_{0}}=\xi$, there exists a unique solution $x(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq T$ to the equation (2.1) under non-Lipschitz condition and non-linear growth condition. We reproduce the result here.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that there exists a constant $K$ and a concave function $\kappa$ such that
(i) (non-Lipschitz condition) For any $\varphi, \psi \in B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right)$ and $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$, it follows that

$$
|f(\varphi, t)-f(\psi, t)|^{2} \vee|g(\varphi, t)-g(\psi, t)|^{2} \leq \kappa\left(\|\varphi-\psi\|^{2}\right)
$$

where $\kappa(\cdot)$ is a concave nondecreasing function from $\mathbb{R}_{+}$to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\kappa(0)=$ $0, \kappa(u)>0$ for $u>0$.
(ii) (non-linear growth condition) $f(0, t), g(0, t) \in L^{2}$ and for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$, it follows that $s$

$$
|f(0, t)|^{2} \vee|g(0, t)|^{2} \leq K
$$

where $K>0$ is a constant. Then, there exist a unique solution to (2.1) with initial data.

## 3. Main Results

The topic of our analysis is the equations (2.1) with initial data $x_{t_{0}}=\xi$. An $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$-adapted process $x(t)$ with values in $R^{d}$ is said to be the solution to equation (2.1) if it satisfies the initial condition and the corresponding stochastic integral equation holds a.s., i.e. for every $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
x(t)=\xi(0)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} f\left(x_{s}, s\right) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g\left(x_{s}, s\right) d B(s) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

The basic existence and uniqueness theorem based on the Picard method of iterations requires the global(see, [1], [7], [9]-[11]). Moreover, there exists a unique a.s. continuous and adapted solution $x(t)$ to equation (2.1) satisfying $E\left|\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq T} x(t)\right|^{2}<\infty$ for every $T \geq t_{0}$ under the linear growth condition. Since our goal is to study exponential estimates and almost surely asymptotic estimates problems, we assume that there exists a unique solution $x(t)$ to equation (2.1) under non Lipschitz condition and non-linear growth condition. We also assume that all the Lebesgue and Itô integrals employed further are well defined.

In this section we shall give the exponential estimates for the solution of equation (2.1), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x(t)=f\left(x_{t}, t\right) d t+g\left(x_{t}, t\right) d B(t) \quad \text { on } t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial data $x_{t_{0}}=\xi$. We assume that this equation has a unique global solution $x(t)$. We also impose the non-linear growth condition: For any $\varphi \in B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right)$ and $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\varphi, t)|^{2} \vee|g(\varphi, t)|^{2} \leq \kappa\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa(\cdot)$ is a concave nondecreasing function from $\mathbb{R}_{+}$to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\kappa(0)=$ $0, \kappa(u)>0$ for $u>0$.

We start with following an exponential estimate.
Theorem 3.1. Let $p \geq 2$ and $E\|\xi\|<\infty$. Assume that for all $(\varphi, t) \in$ $B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),\{f(x(t), t)\} \in \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; R^{d}\right)$, and $\{g(x(t), t)\} \in$
$\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; R^{d}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{T} f(\varphi, t) \vee \frac{p-1}{2}|g(\varphi, t)|^{2} \leq \kappa\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa(\cdot)$ is a concave nondecreasing function from $R_{+}$to $R_{+}$such that $\kappa(0)=$ $0, \kappa(u)>0$ for $u>0$. Then for a pair of positive constants $a$ and $b$ such that $\kappa(u) \leq a+b u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\sup _{-\infty<s \leq t}|x(s)|^{p}\right) \leq \frac{3}{2} 2^{p}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right] \exp \left(c_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where $c_{1}=4 p(a+b)(1+16 p /(p-1))$.
Proof. By Itô's formula, we can derive that for $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[1+|x(t)|^{2}\right]^{p / 2}=} & {\left[1+\|\xi\|^{2}\right]^{p / 2}+p \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{(p-2) / 2} x^{T}(s) f\left(x_{s}, s\right) d s } \\
& +\frac{p}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{(p-2) / 2}\left|g\left(x_{s}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s \\
& +\frac{p(p-2)}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{(p-4) / 2}\left|x^{T}(s) g\left(x_{s}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s \\
& +p \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{(p-2) / 2} x^{T}(s) g\left(x_{s}, s\right) d B(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the condition (3.3), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[1+|x(t)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq } & 2^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left(1+\|\xi\|^{p}\right)+2 p \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \kappa\left(1+\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}\right) d s  \tag{3.5}\\
& +p \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{(p-2) / 2} x^{T}(s) g\left(x_{s}, s\right) d B(s)
\end{align*}
$$

Given that $\kappa(\cdot)$ is concave and $\kappa(0)=0$, we can find a pair of positive constants $a$ and $b$ such that $\kappa(u) \leq a+b u$ for all $u \geq 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
E & \left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
\leq & 2^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right]+2 p(a+b) E \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} d s \\
& +p E\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[1+|x(r)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} x^{T}(r) g\left(x_{r}, r\right) d B(r)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality(see, [7], Theorem 1.7.3), we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p E\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[1+|x(r)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} x^{T}(r) g\left(x_{r}, r\right) d B(r)\right) \\
& \leq 4 \sqrt{2} p E\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{p-2}\left|x^{T}(s) g\left(x_{s}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 4 \sqrt{2} p E\left\{\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p-4}{2}}|x(s)|^{2}\left|g\left(x_{s}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} E\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)+16 p^{2} E \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p-4}{2}}|x(s)|^{2}\left|g\left(x_{s}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} E\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)+\frac{32 p^{2}}{p-1}(a+b) E \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (3.6) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \leq 2^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right]+c_{1} E \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[1+\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} d s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}=4 p(a+b)(1+16 p /(p-1))$. Note that

$$
E\left(\sup _{-\infty<s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \leq 2^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right]+E\left(\sup _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right),
$$

It then follows from (3.7) that

$$
E\left(\sup _{-\infty<s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \leq \frac{3}{2} 2^{p}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right]+c_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E\left(\sup _{-\infty<s \leq t}\left[1+\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) d s
$$

An application of the Gronwall inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\sup _{-\infty<s \leq t}\left[1+|x(s)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \leq \frac{3}{2} 2^{p}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right] e^{c_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the desired inequality follows. The proof is compliet.
Let us now turn to consider the case of $0<p<2$. This is rather easy if we note that the Hölder inequality implies

$$
E|x(t)|^{p} \leq\left(E|x(t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

In other words, the estimate for $E|x(t)|^{p}$ can be done via the estimate for the second moment. For instance, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let $0<p<2$ and $E\|\xi\|<\infty$. Assume that for all $(\varphi, t) \in$ $B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \quad\{f(x(t), t)\} \in \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; R^{d}\right)$, and $\{g(x(t), t)\} \in$ $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; R^{d}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{T} f(\varphi, t) \vee \frac{1}{2}|g(\varphi, t)|^{2} \leq \kappa\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa(\cdot)$ is a concave nondecreasing function from $R_{+}$to $R_{+}$such that $\kappa(0)=$ $0, \kappa(u)>0$ for $u>0$. Then for a pair of positive constants $a$ and $b$ such that $\kappa(u) \leq a+b u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\sup _{-\infty<s \leq t}|x(s)|^{p}\right) \leq 3\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \exp \left(c_{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where $c_{2}=4 p(a+b)(1+16 p)$.

We now consider a nonlinear growth condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\varphi, t)|^{2} \vee|g(\varphi, t)|^{2} \leq \kappa_{1}\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\varphi, t) \in B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ with $\kappa_{1}(\cdot)$ is a concave nondecreasing function from $R_{+}$to $R_{+}$such that $\kappa_{1}(0)=0, \kappa_{1}(u)>0$ for $u>0$.

In fact, using (3.11) and the elementary inequality $2 a b \leq a^{2}+b^{2}$ one can derive that the condition (3.3) is satisfied with $\kappa(u)=u \sqrt{\kappa_{1}(u)} \vee \frac{p-1}{2} \kappa_{1}(u)$.

As an application of Theorem 3.1 we give one of the important properties of the solution.

Theorem 3.3. Let $p \geq 2$ and and $E\|\xi\|<\infty$. Assume that the nonlinear growth condition (3.11) for all $(\varphi, t) \in B C\left((-\infty, 0] ; R^{d}\right) \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then

$$
E|x(t)-x(s)|^{p} \leq \gamma(t)(t-s)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

where

$$
\gamma(t)=\left[\frac{1}{2} c_{3}(2 \alpha)^{\frac{p}{2}}+3 c_{3} 2^{p-2}(2 \beta)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right] e^{c_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right]
$$

$c_{3}=\left(2\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\frac{1}{2}(2 p(p-1))^{\frac{p}{2}}$, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are a pair of positive constants such that $\kappa_{1}(u) \leq \alpha+\beta u$ for all $u \geq 0$. In particular, the pth moment of the solution is continuous.

Proof. Applying the elementary inequality $|a+b|^{p} \leq 2^{p-1}\left(|a|^{p}+|b|^{p}\right)$, it is easy to see that

$$
E|x(t)-x(s)|^{p} \leq 2^{p-1} E\left|\int_{s}^{t} f\left(x_{r}, r\right) \mathrm{d} r\right|^{p}+2^{p-1} E\left|\int_{s}^{t} g\left(x_{r}, r\right) \mathrm{d} B(r)\right|^{p}
$$

Using the Hölder's inequality, the moment inequality ([7], Theorem 1.7.1), and the condition (3.11), one can show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E|x(t)-x(s)|^{p} \leq & (2(t-s))^{p-1} E \int_{s}^{t}\left|f\left(x_{r}, r\right)\right|^{p} d r \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(2 p(p-1))^{\frac{p}{2}}(t-s)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} E \int_{s}^{t}\left|g\left(x_{r}, r\right)\right|^{p} d r \\
\leq & c_{3}(t-s)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} E \int_{s}^{t}\left(\kappa_{1}\left(1+\left\|x_{r}\right\|^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{3}=\left(2\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\frac{1}{2}(2 p(p-1))^{\frac{p}{2}}$. Given that $\kappa_{1}(\cdot)$ is concave and $\kappa_{1}(0)=0$, we can find a pair of positive constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\kappa_{1}(u) \leq \alpha+\beta u$ for all $u \geq 0$. So we have

$$
E|x(t)-x(s)|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{3}(2 \alpha)^{\frac{p}{2}}(t-s)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\frac{1}{2} c_{3}(2 \beta)^{\frac{p}{2}}(t-s)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{s}^{t} E\left(1+\left\|x_{r}\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d r
$$

Substituting this into (3.8) yields that

$$
E|x(t)-x(s)|^{p} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{3}(2 \alpha)^{\frac{p}{2}}+3 c_{3} 2^{p-2}(2 \beta)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right] e^{c_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)(t-s)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

which is required inequality.

In view of Theorem 3.1, we know that the $p$ th moment of the solution satisfies

$$
E|x(t)|^{p} \leq \frac{3}{2} 2^{p}\left[1+E\|\xi\|^{p}\right] \exp \left(c_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$. This means that the $p$ th moment will grow at most exponentially with exponent $4 p(a+b)(1+16 p /(p-1))$. This can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(E|x(t)|^{p}\right) \leq 4 p(a+b)\left(1+\frac{16 p}{(p-1)}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side of (3.12) is called the pth moment Lyapunov exponent, and (3.12) shows that the $p$ th moment Lyapunov exponent should not be greater than $4 p(a+b)(1+16 p /(p-1))$. In next, we shall establish the asymptotic estimate for the solution almost surely. More accurately, we shall estimate

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |x(t)|
$$

almost surely, which is called the sample Lyapunov exponent.
Theorem 3.4. Under the condition (3.9) the sample Lyapunov exponent of the solution of equation (3.1) should not be greater than 264( $a+b$ ), that is

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |x(t)| \leq 264(a+b)
$$

almost surely.
Proof. For each $n=1,2, \ldots$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 (taking $p=2$ ) that

$$
E\left(\sup _{t_{0}+n-1 \leq t \leq t_{0}+n}|x(t)|^{2}\right) \leq \mu e^{\nu}
$$

where $\mu=6\left(1+E\|\xi\|^{2}\right)$, and $\nu=264(a+b)$. Hence, for arbitrary $\epsilon>0$,

$$
P\left\{\omega: \sup _{t_{0}+n-1 \leq t \leq t_{0}+n}|x(t)|^{2}>e^{(\nu+\epsilon) n}\right\} \leq \mu e^{-\epsilon n} .
$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma now yields that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, there is a random integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(\omega)$ such that

$$
\sup _{t_{0}+n-1 \leq t \leq t_{0}+n}|x(t)|^{2} \leq e^{(\nu+\epsilon) n}
$$

whenever $n \geq n_{0}$. Consequently, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, if $t_{0}+n-1 \leq t \leq t_{0}+n$ and $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\frac{1}{t} \log |x(t)| \leq \frac{(\nu+\epsilon) n}{2\left(t_{0}+n-1\right)}
$$

Thus

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |x(t)| \leq \frac{\nu+\epsilon}{2}=264(a+b)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

almost surely. Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, the assertion must hold.
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