



ON THE SOLUTION EXISTANCE OF CAUCHY TENSOR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY PROBLEMS*

XUEYONG WANG, HAIBIN CHEN AND YIJU WANG

Abstract: In this paper, we consider the solution existence of Cauchy tensor variational inequality problem. With the help of the homotopy mapping and degree theory, we establish the solution existence of the problem, and based on the tensor positive (semi-)definiteness, we explore the solution set structure.

Key words: Cauchy tensor; tensor variational inequality; positive definiteness; necessary and sufficient condition

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A18, 15A69, 65F15, 65F10

1 Introduction

Tensor is a higher-order extension of matrix which finds wide applications in higher-order statistics [2], signal and image processing[15, 19], continuum physics[23, 17], blind source separation[12, 27], and it receives much attention of researchers in recent decade [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 14, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26]. As the research continues, tensor analysis and computing are now developed into a new research branch in mathematics named multilinear algebra.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1...i_m})$ be an *m*-th order *n*-dimensional tensor, $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and Ω be a bounded closed convex set in \mathbb{R}^n . Consider the tensor variational inequality problem (TVIP) of finding a vector $x \in \Omega$ such that

$$(y-x)^T F(x) \ge 0, \quad \forall \ y \in \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

where $F(x) = Ax^{m-1} + q$ and $Ax^{m-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with *i*-th element being that

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \cdots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2\cdots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

For convenience, we denote the set of the *m*-th order *n*-dimensional tensor by $T_{m,n}$ for abbreviation.

Obviously, the TVIP is a new type of tensor computing problem. In a certain sense, the TVIP is an optimal condition of a constrained tensor optimization problem, and on the other

© 2018 Yokohama Publishers

^{*}This project is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China(Grants No.11671228, 11601261, 11401058), and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2016AQ12)

hand, it is a special type of the classical variational inequality problem (VIP) introduced by Hartman and Stampacchia [9]. The VIP was widely and well studied around 2000, see [7] and references therein.

It is well known that for a mathematical problem, the solution existence is an important issue. For the VIP, there are many theoretical results on the solution existence obtained by an analytic approach, e.g., the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the VIP is established under the strong monotonicity of the underlying mapping, by virtue of the degree theory and set-valued analysis. Further, under the pseudo-monotonicity of the underlying function, the convexity of the solution set of VIP is established [7]. Since the TVIP is special type of the VIP, it is natural to ask whether we can establish the solution existence of the problem based on the tensor structure? and if it has a solution, what is its structure? This constitutes the motivation of the paper.

The main contribution of this paper is as follows. First, we show that if the underlying tensor is a positive definite Cauchy tensor, then the corresponding $\text{TVIP}(\mathcal{A}, q)$ has at most one solution for any nonempty set Ω in \mathbb{R}^n and any $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Second, if the underlying tensor is a positive semi-definite Cauchy tensor, then the solution set of the corresponding $\text{TVIP}(\mathcal{A}, q)$ is convex. Finally, for the second case, we establish some equivalent conditions for the $\text{TVIP}(\mathcal{A}, q)$ to have a solution.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic definitions and introduce a specially structured tensor. We also explore the monotonicity of function $F(x) = \mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q$ in virtue of the positive (semi-)definiteness. In Section 3, we first investigate the existence of solution of the tensor variational inequality problems with some milder conditions, then we discuss the solution set structure in virtue of the positive (semi-)definiteness of a Cauchy tensor.

To end this section, we give some notations used in this paper. Throughout the paper, we use Greek letters α, β, \cdots for scalars, use lower case letters x, y, \cdots for vectors, use capital letters A, B, \cdots for matrices, and use bold and calligraphic letters $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \cdots$ for tensors. Further, we use [n] to denote index set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and write $x \ge 0$ (x > 0) to mean that every entry of vector x is nonnegative (positive). The topological boundary and the topological closure of a set Ω is denoted by $\mathrm{bd}(\Omega)$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\Omega)$, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first present some definitions developed in tensor analysis, introduce some specially structured tensors, and then establish some monotonicity properties of function $F(x) = \mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q$ via the positive (semi-)definiteness of tensor \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.1. [5] For $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, tensor $C = (c_{i_1} \dots i_m)$ with entries

$$c_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \frac{1}{c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}}, \quad j \in [m], i_j \in [n],$$

is said to be a Cauchy tensor and vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be the generating vector of the tensor.

In the following, we use $C_{m,n}$ to denote the Cauchy tensor set of order *m* dimensional *n*. It is easy to see that any Cauchy tensor is symmetric in the sense that its any entries $c_{ii_2\cdots i_m}$ are invariant under any permutation of their indices [11].

To discuss the monotonicity of the underlying function in the TVIP, we recall the definition of positive (semi-)definiteness of a tensor [16] and the monotonicity of vector-mapping [7]. **Definition 2.2.** Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1...i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$. \mathcal{A} is said to be

- (i) positive semi-definite if $\mathcal{A}x^m \ge 0$ for any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) positive definite if $\mathcal{A}x^m > 0$ for any nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.3. Let Ω be a nonempty set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then mapping $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be (i) pseudo monotone on Ω if

$$(x-y)^T f(y) \ge 0 \Longrightarrow (x-y)^T f(x) \ge 0, \quad \forall \ x, y \in \Omega;$$

(ii) monotone on Ω if

$$(x-y)^T(f(x)-f(y)) \ge 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega;$$

(iii) strictly monotone on Ω if

$$(x-y)^T (f(x) - f(y)) > 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega \text{ with } x \neq y.$$

To establish the monotonicity of the underlying function in the TVIP via the (semi-) positiveness of Cauchy tensor, we need the following conclusions.

Lemma 2.4. If an even order Cauchy tensor \mathcal{A} is positive semi-definite, then function $F(x) = \mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q$ is monotone on \mathbb{R}^n for any $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. To show the conclusion, it suffices to show that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it holds that $F_i(x) - F_i(y) \ge 0$ if $x_i \ge y_i$ for any $i \in [n]$.

In fact, for any $i \in [n]$ such that $x_i \geq y_i$, from the positive semi-definiteness of Cauchy tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}$, we conclude that for any $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} x_j \ge t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} y_j,$$

which implies that

$$\left(\sum_{j\in[n]} t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} x_j\right)^{m-1} \ge \left(\sum_{j\in[n]} t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} y_j\right)^{m-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j \in [n]} t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} x_j\right)^{m - 1} \mathrm{d}t \ge \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j \in [n]} t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} y_j\right)^{m - 1} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} F(x) - F(y))_{i} &= (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q - \mathcal{A}y^{m-1} - q)_{i} \\ &= (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} - \mathcal{A}y^{m-1})_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \in [n]} a_{ii_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} - \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \in [n]} a_{ii_{2} \cdots i_{m}} y_{i_{2}} \cdots y_{i_{m}} \\ &= \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \in [n]} \frac{x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}}{c_{i} + c_{i_{2}} + \cdots + c_{i_{m}}} - \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \in [n]} \frac{y_{i_{2}} \cdots y_{i_{m}}}{c_{i} + c_{i_{2}} + \cdots + c_{i_{m}}} \\ &= \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \in [n]} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c_{i} + c_{i_{2}} + \cdots + c_{i_{m}} - 1} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} \, \mathrm{d}t - \sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \in [n]} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c_{i} + c_{i_{2}} + \cdots + c_{i_{m}} - 1} y_{i_{2}} \cdots y_{i_{m}} \, \mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i_2, \cdots, i_m \in [n]} t^{c_i + c_{i_2} + \cdots + c_{i_m} - 1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &- \int_0^1 \sum_{i_2, \cdots, i_m \in [n]} t^{c_i + c_{i_2} + \cdots + c_{i_m} - 1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_m} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 (\sum_{j \in [n]} t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} x_j)^{m - 1} \, \mathrm{d}t - \int_0^1 (\sum_{j \in [n]} t^{c_j + \frac{c_i - 1}{m - 1}} y_j)^{m - 1} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \geq 0. \end{split}$$

The desired result follows.

Similar with the proof of the Lemma 2.4, we can easily obtain the following conclusion.

Lemma 2.5. If an even order tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}$ is positive definite, then F(x) is strictly monotone on \mathbb{R}^n .

3 Solution Existence of the TVIP

In this section, we first establish the solution existence of the TVIP, and then explore the solution set structure. To this end, we need the following definition [7].

Definition 3.1. Let an integer deg (Φ, Ω, p) be associated with each triple (Φ, Ω, p) in the domain of Φ . The function deg is called a (topological) degree if the following three axioms are satisfied

(A1) $\deg(\Phi, \Omega, p) = 1$ if $p \in \Omega$;

(A2) deg (Φ, Ω, p) = deg (Φ, Ω_1, p) + deg (Φ, Ω_2, p) if Ω_1 and Ω_2 are two disjoint open subsets of Ω and $p \notin \Phi((cl\Omega) \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2))$;

(A3) deg $(H(\cdot, t), \Omega, p(t))$ is independent of $t \in [0, 1]$ for any two continuous functions $H : cl\Omega \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$p(t) \notin H(bd\Omega, t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

We call $\deg(\Phi, \Omega, p)$ the degree of Φ at p relative to Ω . If p = 0, we simply write $\deg(\Phi, \Omega)$ for $\deg(\Phi, \Omega, p)$.

Based on the definition given above, the solution existence of the VIP is derived [7].

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed convex, $F : D \supseteq \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous on an open set D, and let $G(v) = v - P_{\Omega}(v - F(v))$. Then, if there exists a bounded open set U such that $cl(U) \supseteq D$ and deg(G, U) is well defined and nonzero, then the $VI(\mathcal{A}, q)$ has a solution in U, where $P_{\Omega}(x) = \arg \min_{u \in \Omega} ||y - x||$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Using the monotonicity and the degree theory, we establish an equivalent condition under which the TVIP has a solution.

Theorem 3.3. If an even order tensor $A \in C_{m,n}$ is positive semi-definite, then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) There exists a vector $x^* \in \Omega$ such that

$$L_{<} = \{ x \in \Omega \mid (x - x^{*})^{T} (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q) < 0 \}$$

is bounded (possibly empty).

482

(ii) There exist a bounded open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a vector $x^* \in \Omega \cap U$, such that

$$(x - x^*)^T (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q) \ge 0, \forall x \in \Omega \cap bdU.$$

(iii) The TVIP has a solution.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, such that $x^* \in U$ and $L_{\leq} \subseteq U$. As U is an open set, one has

$$L_{\leq} \bigcap \operatorname{bd}(U) = \emptyset.$$

Thus,

$$(x - x^*)^T (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q) \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \cap \mathrm{bd}(U),$$

and (ii) follows.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ We will prove this by reductio ad absurdum. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that the solution set of $\text{TVIP}(\mathcal{A}, q)$ is empty. Let

$$G(x) = x - P_{\Omega}(x - (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q)).$$

Then $G^{-1}(0) \cap \operatorname{bd}(U) = \emptyset$, and hence $\operatorname{deg}(G, U)$ is well defined. In the following, we will show that $\operatorname{deg}(G, U) \neq 0$.

In fact, for the homotopy mapping

$$H(x,t) = x - P_{\Omega}(t(x - (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q)) + (1 - t)x^*),$$

it is easy to see that $H(x,0) = x - x^*$. Since $x^* \in U$, it holds that $\deg(H(x,0),U) = 1$ and $H(x,1) = x - P_{\Omega}(x - (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q))$.

Now, we show that if H(x,t) = 0 for some $(x,t) \in cl(U) \times (0,1)$, then $x \notin bd(U)$.

Assume that H(x,t) = 0 for some $t \in (0,1)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x \neq x^*$. Since H(x,t) = 0, we conclude that $x \in \Omega$ and

$$(y-x)^T [x-t(x-(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}+q))-(1-t)x^*] \ge 0.$$

In particular, for $y = x^*$, one has

$$(x^* - x)^T [x - t(x - (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q)) - (1 - t)x^*] \ge 0.$$

Then

$$(x^* - x)^T [t(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q) + (1 - t)(x - x^*)] \ge 0,$$

which implies that

$$(x^* - x)^T (\mathcal{A} x^{m-1} + q) \ge \frac{1 - t}{t} \|x - x^*\|^2 > 0,$$

where the last inequality uses the facts that $t \in (0,1)$ and $x \neq x^*$. Thus $x \notin bd(U)$. Consequently, by the homotopy invariance property of the degree, one has

$$\deg(G, U) = \deg(H(x, 0), U) = \deg(H(x, 1), U) = 1.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we know that $S(\mathcal{A}, q)$ is nonempty. A contradiction is obtained and (iii) follows.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Let x^* be the solution of TVIP. Then for all $y \in \Omega$,

$$(y - x^*)^T (\mathcal{A}(x^*)^{m-1} + q) \ge 0.$$
(3.1)

By Lemma 2.4, it holds that

$$(y - x^*)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} - \mathcal{A}(x^*)^{m-1}) \ge 0.$$

Connecting this with (3.1) yields that

$$(y - x^*)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0,$$

which implies that $L_{<}$ is empty and (i) follows.

Now, we explore the structure of the solution set of the TVIP by virtue of the positive definiteness of the underlying tensor in the TVIP.

Theorem 3.4. If the even order tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}$ is positive definite, then the $VIP(\mathcal{A},q)$ has at most one solution on Ω for any $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Our proof is based on contraposition. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ are two distinct solutions of the TVIP. Then

$$(y - x_1)^T (\mathcal{A} x_1^{m-1} + q) \ge 0, \quad (y - x_2)^T (\mathcal{A} x_2^{m-1} + q) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega.$$

Taking $y = x_2$ in the first inequality and $y = x_1$ in the second inequality gives

$$(x_2 - x_1)^T (\mathcal{A} x_1^{m-1} + q) \ge 0, \quad (x_1 - x_2)^T (\mathcal{A} x_2^{m-1} + q) \ge 0,$$

which implies that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^T (\mathcal{A} x_1^{m-1} - \mathcal{A} x_2^{m-1}) \le 0.$$
(3.2)

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(x_1 - x_2)^T (\mathcal{A} x_1^{m-1} - \mathcal{A} x_2^{m-1}) > 0.$$

Obviously, this contradicts (3.4), and the desired conclusions follows.

The next result shows that the convexity of the solution set of the TVIP provided that the underlying tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}$ is positive semi-definite.

Theorem 3.5. Let \mathcal{A} be an even order positive semi-definite Cauchy tensor. Then the solution set of TVIP is convex.

Proof. First, we claim that the solution set of the $TVIP(\mathcal{A}, q)$ satisfies that

$$S(\mathcal{A},q) = \bigcap_{y \in \Omega} \{ x \in \Omega \mid (y-x)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0 \}.$$

In fact, if $x \in S(\mathcal{A}, q)$, then

$$(y-x)^T (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} + q) \ge 0.$$
 (3.3)

Combining inequality 3.3, Definition 2.3 with with Lemma 2.4 yields that

$$(y-x)^T (\mathcal{A} y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0, \quad \forall \ y \in \Omega.$$
(3.4)

Thus,

$$x \in \bigcap_{y \in \Omega} \{ x \in \Omega \mid (y - x)^T (\mathcal{A} y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0 \}.$$

This means that

$$S(\mathcal{A},q) \subseteq \bigcap_{y \in \Omega} \{ x \in \Omega \mid (y-x)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0 \}.$$
(3.5)

On the other hand, since Ω is convex, for any $z \in \Omega$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$, it holds that

$$y = \tau x + (1 - \tau)z \in \Omega.$$

Then it follows from (3.4) that

$$(z-x)(\mathcal{A}(\tau x + (1-\tau)z)^{m-1} + q) \ge 0.$$

Letting $\tau \to 1$ yields that

$$(z-x)(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}+q) \ge 0.$$

From the arbitrariness of $z \in \Omega$, we conclude that $x \in S(\mathcal{A}, q)$ which implies that

$$S(\mathcal{A},q) \supseteq \bigcap_{y \in \Omega} \{ x \in \Omega \mid (y-x)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0 \}.$$

Recalling (3.5), we can obtain the desired result, i.e.,

$$S(\mathcal{A},q) = \bigcap_{y \in \Omega} \{ x \in \Omega \mid (y-x)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0 \}.$$

Now, we show that the solution set $S(\mathcal{A}, q)$ of the $\text{TVIP}(\mathcal{A}, q)$ is convex based on the above claim.

In fact, since for any fixed $y \in \Omega$, the set

$$\{x \in \Omega \mid (y-x)^T (\mathcal{A}y^{m-1} + q) \ge 0\}$$

is convex. Using the fact that the intersection of any number of convex set is convex, we conclude that the solution set $S(\mathcal{A}, q)$ of the $\text{TVIP}(\mathcal{A}, q)$ is convex. The desired result follows.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, by exploring structure properties of the Cauchy tensor and by virtue of the homotopy mapping and degree theory, we established the solution existence of the TVIP and explored the solution set structure of the TVIP which are useful in TVIP analysis. It is well known that there are many efficient solution methods for the VIP, and they all apply to the TVIP. However, due to the involvement of the tensor in the TVIP, establishing an efficient solution method based on the tensor structure is a significant research work, and this will be discussed in the future.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions, which helped to improve the paper.

References

- X. Bai, Z. Huang and Y. Wang, Global uniqueness and solvability for tensor complementarity problems, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 170 (2016) 72–84.
- [2] L. Del Castello and H.J.H. Clercx, Geometrical statistics of the vorticity vector and the strain rate tensor in rotating turbulence, *Journal of Turbulence* 14 (2013) 19–36.
- [3] H. Chen, Z. Huang and L. Qi, Copositivity detection of tensors: theory and algorithm, J Optim Theory Appl (2017) doi:10.1007/s10957-017-1131-2.
- [4] H. Chen, Z. Huang, and L. Qi, Copositive tensor detection and its applications in physics and hypergraphs, *Comput Optim Appl* (2017) doi:10.1007/s10589-017-9938-1.
- [5] H. Chen and L. Qi, Positive definiteness and semi-definiteness of even order symmetric Cauchy tensor, *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization* 11 (2015) 1263– 1274.
- [6] M. Che, L. Qi, and Y. Wei, Positive definite tensors to nonlinear complementarity problems, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 168 (2016) 475–487.
- [7] F. Facchinei, and J.S. Pang, Finite Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [8] S. Hu, Z. Huang and L. Qi, Strictly nonnegative tensors and nonnegative tensor partition, *Science China-Mathematics* 57 (2014) 181–195.
- [9] P.H. Harker and G. Stampacchia, On some nonlinear elliptic differential functional equations, Acta Mathematica 115 (1966) 153–188.
- [10] C. Ling, H. He and L. Qi, On the cone eigenvalue complementarity problem for higherorder tensor, *Computational Optimization and Applications* 63 (2016) 1–26.
- [11] L. Qi, Eigenvalue of a real supersymmetric tensor, Journal of Symbolic Computation 40 (2005) 1302–1324.
- [12] L. Qi, Y. Wang and E.X. Wu, D-eigenvalues of diffusion kurtosis tensors, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 221 (2008) 150–157.
- [13] L. Qi, F. Wang and Y. Wang, Z-eigenvalue methods for a global polynomial optimization problem, *Mathematical Programming* 118 (2009) 301–316.
- [14] L. Qi, G. Yu and E.X. Wu, Higher order positive semi-definite diffusion tensor imaging, SIAM Journal on Imaging Science 3 (2010) 416–433.
- [15] D.V. Savostyanov, Tensor algorithms of blind separation of electromagnetic signals, Russian Journal of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Modeling 25 (2010) 375–393.
- [16] Y. Song and L. Qi, Properties of some classes of structured tensors, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 165 (2015) 854-873.
- [17] Y. Wang, L. Qi, S.Luo and Y. Xu, An alternative steepest direction method for optimiza tion in evaluating geometric discord, *Pacific Journal of Optimization* 10 (2014) 137–149.

- [18] Y. Wang, L. Caccetta and G. Zhou, Convergence analysis of a block improvement method for polynomial optimization over unit spheres, *Numerical Linear Algebra and Applications* 22 (2015) 1059–1076.
- [19] Y. Wang W. Liu, L. Caccetta and G. Zhou, Parameter selection for nonnegative 11 matrix/tensor sparse decomposition, *Operations Research Letters* 43 (2015) 423–426.
- [20] Y. Wang, G. Zhou and L. Caccetta, Nonsingular H-tensor and its cariteria, Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization 12 (2016) 1173–1186.
- [21] Y. Wang, K. Zhang and H. Sun, Criteria for strong H-tensors, Frontiers of Mathematics in China 11 (2016) 577–592.
- [22] Y. Wang, Z. Huang and L. Qi, Introduction to Tensor Variational Inequalities, arXiv.org, 2017.
- [23] X. Yang, Source spectra of the first four source physics experiments (SPE) explosions from the frequency-domain moment tensor inversion, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America 106 (2016) 1637–1651.
- [24] L. Zhang, L. Qi and G. Zhou, M-tensors and some applications, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 35 (2014) 437–452.
- [25] K. Zhang and Y. Wang, An H-tensor based iterative scheme for identifying the positive definiteness of multivariate homogeneous forms, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 305 (2016) 1–10.
- [26] G. Zhou, L.Qi and S. Wu, On the largest eigenvalue of a symmetric nonnegative tensor, Numerical linear algebra with Applications 20 (2013) 913–928.
- [27] L. Zhou, X.Chen and Z. Wang, Underdetermined joint blind source separation for two datasets based on tensor decomposition, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 23 (2016) 673– 677.

Manuscript received 19 January 2017 revised 20 May 2017 accepted for publication 19 August 2017

XUEYONG WANG School of Management Science, Qufu Normal University Rizhao Shandong, 276800 China E-mail address: yonggk@163.com

HAIBIN CHEN School of Management Science, Qufu Normal University Rizhao Shandong, 276800 China E-mail address: chenhaibin508@163.com

YIJU WANG School of Management Science, Qufu Normal University Rizhao Shandong, 276800 China E-mail address: wyiju163@163.com