



## A STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREM IN BANACH SPACES BY A NEW SHRINKING PROJECTION METHOD FOR TWO DEMIMETRIC MAPPINGS IN A BANACH SPACE

MAYUMI HOJO AND WATARU TAKAHASHI\*

**Abstract:** In this paper, using a new shrinking projection method, we prove a strong convergence theorem for two demimetric mappings in a Banach space. Using this result, we get well-known and new strong convergence theorems in Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces.

**Key words:** fixed point, metric projection, metric resolvent, shrinking projection method, duality mapping

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H05, 47H09

---

### 1 Introduction

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . For a mapping  $U : C \rightarrow H$ , we denote by  $F(U)$  the set of fixed points of  $U$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k < 1$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow H$  is called a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction [3] if

$$\|Ux - Uy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|x - Ux - (y - Uy)\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . If  $U$  is a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then we have that, for  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$\|Ux - q\|^2 \leq \|x - q\|^2 + k\|x - Ux\|^2.$$

From this, we have that

$$\|Ux - x\|^2 + \|x - q\|^2 + 2\langle Ux - x, x - q \rangle \leq \|x - q\|^2 + k\|x - Ux\|^2.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$2\langle x - Ux, x - q \rangle \geq (1 - k)\|x - Ux\|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

for all  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow H$  is called generalized hybrid [6] if there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Uy\|^2 \leq \beta\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2$$

---

\*The second author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 20K03660 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers. Such a mapping  $U$  is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid. If  $U$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then we have that, for  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$\alpha\|q - Ux\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|q - Ux\|^2 \leq \beta\|q - x\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|q - x\|^2$$

and hence  $\|Ux - q\|^2 \leq \|x - q\|^2$ . From this, we have that

$$2\langle x - q, x - Ux \rangle \geq \|x - Ux\|^2. \quad (1.2)$$

On the other hand, there exists such a mapping in a Banach space. Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space and let  $B$  be a maximal monotone operator with  $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $J_\lambda$  is the metric resolvent of  $B$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , then we have from [1, 16] that, for any  $x \in E$  and  $q \in B^{-1}0$ ,

$$\langle J_\lambda x - q, J(x - J_\lambda x) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then we get  $\langle J_\lambda x - x + x - q, J(x - J_\lambda x) \rangle \geq 0$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x - q, J(x - J_\lambda x) \rangle &\geq \langle x - J_\lambda x, J(x - J_\lambda x) \rangle \\ &= \|x - J_\lambda x\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$ . Motivated by (1.1) (1.2) and (1.3) Takahashi [20] defined a nonlinear mapping as follows: Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space, let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and let  $\eta$  be a real number with  $\eta \in (-\infty, 1)$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow E$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is called  $\eta$ -demimetric if, for any  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$2\langle x - q, J(x - Ux) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\|x - Ux\|^2. \quad (1.4)$$

According to this definition, we have that a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction  $U$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is  $k$ -demimetric, a generalized hybrid mapping  $U$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is 0-demimetric and the metric resolvent  $J_\lambda$  with  $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$  is  $(-1)$ -demimetric. On the other hand, we know the following strong convergence theorem by the shrinking projection method which was introduced by Takahashi, Takeuchi and Kubota [21] for finding a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 1.1** ([21]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into  $H$ . Assume that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n T x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|y_n - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\|\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  satisfy the following:

$$0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $z_0 \in F(T)$ , where  $z_0 = P_{F(T)} x_1$  and  $P_{F(T)}$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $F(T)$ .

In this paper, using a new shrinking projection method, we establish a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of zero points of a maximal monotone operator and the set of common fixed points of two demimetric mappings in a Banach space. Moreover we apply our result to obtain well-known and new strong convergence theorems in a Hilbert space and a Banach space.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $E^*$  be the dual space of  $E$ . We denote the value of  $y^* \in E^*$  at  $x \in E$  by  $\langle x, y^* \rangle$ . When  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$ , we denote the strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x \in E$  by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and the weak convergence by  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ . The modulus  $\delta$  of convexity of  $E$  is defined by

$$\delta(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

for every  $\epsilon$  with  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$ . A Banach space  $E$  is said to be uniformly convex if  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . It is known that a Banach space  $E$  is uniformly convex if and only if for any two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $E$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + y_n\| = 2,$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$  holds. A uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive. We also know that a uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property, i.e.,  $x_n \rightharpoonup u$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$  imply  $x_n \rightarrow u$ ; see [4, 11].

The duality mapping  $J$  from  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$  is defined by

$$Jx = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$$

for every  $x \in E$ . Let  $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ . The norm of  $E$  is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if for each  $x, y \in U$ , the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{2.1}$$

exists. In the case,  $E$  is called smooth. We know that  $E$  is smooth if and only if  $J$  is a single-valued mapping of  $E$  into  $E^*$ . We also know that  $E$  is reflexive if and only if  $J$  is surjective, and  $E$  is strictly convex if and only if  $J$  is one-to-one. Therefore, if  $E$  is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then  $J$  is a single-valued bijection and in this case, the inverse mapping  $J^{-1}$  coincides with the duality mapping  $J_*$  on  $E^*$ . For more details, see [15] and [16]. Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a strictly convex and reflexive Banach space  $E$ . Then we know that for any  $x \in E$ , there exists a unique element  $z \in C$  such that  $\|x - z\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $y \in C$ . Putting  $z = P_C x$ , we call  $P_C$  the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([15]). *Let  $E$  be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space. Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and let  $x_1 \in E$  and  $z \in C$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $z = P_C x_1$ ;  
(2)  $\langle z - y, J(x_1 - z) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C$ .

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $B$  be a mapping of  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$ . The effective domain of  $B$  is denoted by  $\text{dom}(B)$ , that is,  $\text{dom}(B) = \{x \in E : Bx \neq \emptyset\}$ . A multi-valued mapping  $B$  on  $E$  is said to be monotone if  $\langle x - y, u^* - v^* \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in \text{dom}(B)$ ,  $u^* \in Bx$ , and  $v^* \in By$ . A monotone operator  $B$  on  $E$  is said to be maximal if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on  $E$ . The following theorem is due to Browder [2]; see also [16, Theorem 3.5.4].

**Theorem 2.2** ([2]). *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping of  $E$  into  $E^*$ . Let  $B$  be a monotone operator of  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$ . Then  $B$  is maximal if and only if for any  $r > 0$ ,*

$$R(J + rB) = E^*,$$

where  $R(J + rB)$  is the range of  $J + rB$ .

Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space with a Gâteaux differentiable norm and let  $B$  be a maximal monotone operator of  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$ . For all  $x \in E$  and  $r > 0$ , we consider the following equation

$$0 \in J(x_r - x) + rBx_r.$$

This equation has a unique solution  $x_r$ . In fact, for  $x \in E$ , define

$$Gy = B(y + x) \quad \forall y \in E.$$

Since  $0 \in E^* = R(J + rG)$  for all  $r > 0$ , there exists  $w \in D(G)$  such that

$$0 \in Jw + rGw = Jw + B(w + x).$$

Putting  $x_r = w + x$ , we have  $0 \in J(x_r - x) + rBx_r$ . We show that such a solution  $x_r$  is unique. Take  $z_1, z_2 \in D(B)$  such that  $0 \in J(z_1 - x) + rBz_1$  and  $0 \in J(z_2 - x) + rBz_2$ . We have  $-\frac{1}{r}J(z_1 - x) \in Bz_1$  and  $-\frac{1}{r}J(z_2 - x) \in Bz_2$ . Since  $B$  and  $J$  are monotone, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left\langle z_1 - z_2, -\frac{1}{r}J(z_1 - x) + \frac{1}{r}J(z_2 - x) \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} \langle z_1 - x - (z_2 - x)J(z_1 - x) - J(z_2 - x) \rangle \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\langle z_1 - x - (z_2 - x)J(z_1 - x) - J(z_2 - x) \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $E$  is strictly convex, we have  $z_1 - x = z_2 - x$  and hence  $z_1 = z_2$ . We define  $J_r$  by  $x_r = J_r x$ . Such a  $J_r$  is denoted by

$$J_r = (I + rJ^{-1}A)^{-1}$$

and is called the metric resolvent of  $B$ . For  $r > 0$ , the Yosida approximation  $A_r : E \rightarrow E^*$  is defined by

$$A_r x = \frac{J(x - J_r x)}{r}, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

We have that  $A_r x \in B J_r x$  for all  $x \in E$ . The set of null points of  $B$  is defined by  $B^{-1}0 = \{z \in E : 0 \in Bz\}$ . We know that  $B^{-1}0$  is closed and convex; see [16].

Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping on  $E$ . Let  $\eta$  be a real number with  $\eta \in (-\infty, 1)$ . Then a mapping  $U : C \rightarrow E$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is called  $\eta$ -demimetric [20] if it satisfies (1.4) that is, for any  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$2\langle x - q, J(x - Ux) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\|x - Ux\|^2, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $F(U)$  is the set of fixed points of  $U$ .

Examples.

(1) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k < 1$ . If  $U$  is a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U$  is  $k$ -demimetric; see [20].

(2) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . If  $U$  is generalized hybrid and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U$  is 0-demimetric; see [20]. Notice that the class of generalized hybrid mappings covers several well-known classes of mappings. For example, a (1,0)-generalized hybrid mapping is nonexpansive. It is nonspreading [7, 8] for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ , i.e.,

$$2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

It is also hybrid [17] for  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e.,

$$3\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

In general, nonspreading and hybrid mappings are not continuous; see [5].

(3) Let  $E$  be a strictly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $P_C$  be the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ . Then  $P_C$  is (-1)-demimetric. In fact, since  $P_C$  is the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ , we have that, for any  $x \in E$  and  $q \in C$ ,

$$\langle P_C x - q, J(x - P_C x) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then we get

$$\langle P_C x - x + x - q, J(x - P_C x) \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x - q, J(x - P_C x) \rangle &\geq \langle x - P_C x, J(x - P_C x) \rangle \\ &= \|x - P_C x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $P_C$  is (-1)-demimetric; see [20].

(4) Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $B$  be a maximal monotone operator with  $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Then the metric resolvent  $J_\lambda$  is (-1)-demimetric; see [20].

The following lemma which was proved by Takahashi [20] is important and crucial in the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 2.3** ([20]). *Let  $E$  be a smooth and strictly convex Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\eta$  be a real number with  $\eta \in (-\infty, 1)$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\eta$ -demimetric mapping of  $C$  into  $E$ . Then  $F(U)$  is closed and convex.*

For a sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of nonempty, closed and convex subsets of a Banach space  $E$ , define  $\text{s-Li}_n C_n$  and  $\text{w-Ls}_n C_n$  as follows:  $x \in \text{s-Li}_n C_n$  if and only if there exists  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x$  and  $x_n \in C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly,  $y \in \text{w-Ls}_n C_n$  if and only if there exist a subsequence  $\{C_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{C_n\}$  and a sequence  $\{y_i\} \subset E$  such that  $\{y_i\}$  converges weakly to  $y$  and  $y_i \in C_{n_i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $C_0$  satisfies

$$C_0 = \text{s-Li}_n C_n = \text{w-Ls}_n C_n, \quad (2.3)$$

it is said that  $\{C_n\}$  converges to  $C_0$  in the sense of Mosco [10] and we write  $C_0 = \text{M-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n$ . It is easy to show that if  $\{C_n\}$  is nonincreasing with respect to inclusion, then  $\{C_n\}$  converges to  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  in the sense of Mosco. For more details, see [10]. The following lemma was proved by Tsukada [26].

**Lemma 2.4** ([26]). *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space. Let  $\{C_n\}$  be a sequence of nonempty, closed and convex subsets of  $E$ . If  $C_0 = \text{M-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n$  exists and nonempty, then for each  $x \in E$ ,  $\{P_{C_n} x\}$  converges strongly to  $P_{C_0} x$ , where  $P_{C_n}$  and  $P_{C_0}$  are the metric projections of  $E$  onto  $C_n$  and  $C_0$ , respectively.*

### 3 Main result

In this section, using a new shrinking projection method, we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of zero points of a maximal monotone operator and the set of common fixed points of two demimetric mappings in a Banach space. For the proof of the theorem, we use the ideas of [13, 14, 19]. Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $D$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . A mapping  $U : D \rightarrow E$  is called demiclosed if for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $x_n - Ux_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $p = Up$  holds.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $A \subset E \times E^*$  be a maximal monotone operator and let  $J_r = (I + rJ^{-1}A)^{-1}$  be the metric resolvent of  $A$  for all  $r > 0$ . Let  $\eta, \tau \in (-\infty, 1)$  and let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $\eta$  and  $\tau$ -demimetric mappings from  $C$  into itself, respectively, such that they are demiclosed. Suppose that*

$$\Omega = F(S) \cap F(T) \cap A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset.$$

For  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = J_{r_n} z_n, \\ z_n = \beta_n v_n + (1 - \beta_n) T v_n, \\ v_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) S x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, J(z_n - u_n) \rangle \geq \|z_n - u_n\|^2, \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 2\langle v_n - z, J(v_n - z_n) \rangle \geq (1 - \tau)\|v_n - z_n\|^2 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, J(x_n - v_n) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\|x_n - v_n\|^2 \left. \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$ . If  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $b, c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* It follows that  $C_n$  are closed and convex for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show that  $\Omega \subset C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is obvious that  $\Omega \subset C_1 = C$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \subset C_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . To show  $\Omega \subset C_{k+1}$ , let us show that  $\langle z_k - z, J(z_k - u_k) \rangle \geq \|z_k - u_k\|^2$ ,

$$\langle v_k - z, J(v_k - z_k) \rangle \geq (1 - \tau)\|v_k - z_k\|^2$$

and  $2\langle x_k - z, J(x_k - v_k) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\|x_k - v_k\|^2$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Let  $z \in \Omega$ . Since  $J_{r_k}$  is the metric resolvent, we have from [1, 16] that

$$\langle J_{r_k} z_k - z, J(z_k - J_{r_k} z_k) \rangle \geq 0$$

for all  $z \in \Omega \subset A^{-1}0$ . From this, we get that

$$\langle J_{r_k} z_k - z_k + z_k - z, J(z_k - J_{r_k} z_k) \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\langle z_k - z, J(z_k - J_{r_k} z_k) \rangle \geq \|z_k - J_{r_k} z_k\|^2.$$

This implies that

$$\langle z_k - z, J(z_k - u_k) \rangle \geq \|z_k - u_k\|^2.$$

Since  $T$  is  $\tau$ -demimetric, we also have that for any  $z \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle v_k - z, J(v_k - z_k) \rangle &= 2(1 - \beta_k)\langle v_k - z, J(v_k - T v_k) \rangle \\ &\geq (1 - \beta_k)(1 - \tau)\|v_k - T v_k\|^2 \\ &\geq (1 - \beta_k)^2(1 - \tau)\|v_k - T v_k\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \tau)\|v_k - z_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$2\langle x_k - z, J(x_k - v_k) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\|x_k - v_k\|^2.$$

Then  $\Omega \subset C_{k+1}$ . We have by mathematical induction that  $\Omega \subset C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is well defined.

We have that  $F(S)$  and  $F(T)$  are closed and convex from Lemma 2.3. We also have that  $A^{-1}0$  is closed and convex. Thus  $\Omega$  is nonempty, closed and convex. Then there exists  $w_1 \in \Omega$  such that  $w_1 = P_\Omega x_1$ . From  $x_n = P_{C_n} x_1$ , we have that

$$\|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - y\|$$

for all  $y \in C_n$ . Since  $w_1 \in \Omega \subset C_n$ , we have that

$$\|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - w_1\|. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $C_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Since  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subset C_0$ , we have that  $C_0$  is nonempty. Since  $C_0 = \text{M-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n$  and  $x_n = P_{C_n} x_1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 2.4 we have that

$$x_n \rightarrow z_0 = P_{C_0} x_1. \quad (3.2)$$

We have from  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$  that

$$2\langle x_n - x_{n+1}, J(x_n - v_n) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\|x_n - v_n\|^2$$

and hence

$$2\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \geq (1 - \eta)\|x_n - v_n\|.$$

Since  $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$  from (3.2) we get that  $x_n - v_n \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, from

$$\|x_n - v_n\| = (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Sx_n\| \geq b\|x_n - Sx_n\|,$$

we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Furthermore, we have from  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$  that

$$2\langle v_n - x_{n+1}, J(v_n - z_n) \rangle \geq (1 - \tau)\|v_n - z_n\|^2.$$

From this, we have that

$$2\|v_n - x_{n+1}\| \geq (1 - \tau)\|v_n - z_n\|$$

and hence

$$2\|v_n - x_n + x_n - x_{n+1}\| \geq (1 - \tau)\|v_n - z_n\|.$$

From  $\|v_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - z_n\| = 0$ . From

$$\|v_n - z_n\| = (1 - \beta_n)\|v_n - Tv_n\| \geq c\|v_n - Tv_n\|,$$

we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - Tv_n\| = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We also have from  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$  that

$$\langle z_n - x_{n+1}, J(z_n - u_n) \rangle \geq \|z_n - u_n\|^2$$

and hence

$$\|z_n - x_{n+1}\| \geq \|z_n - u_n\|.$$



From  $\|z_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \|z_n - v_n\| + \|v_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|$ ,  $z_n - v_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $v_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\|z_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - u_n\| = 0$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - J_{r_n} z_n\| = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow z_0$  and  $S$  is demiclosed, we have from (3.3) that  $z_0 \in F(S)$ . Similarly, from  $x_n - v_n \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $v_n \rightarrow z_0$ . Since  $T$  is demiclosed, we have from (3.4) that  $z_0 \in F(T)$ . We show  $z_0 \in A^{-1}0$ . From  $r_n \geq a$  and (3.5) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \|J(z_n - J_{r_n} z_n)\| = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{r_n} z_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \|J(z_n - J_{r_n} z_n)\| = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

For  $(p, p^*) \in A$ , from the monotonicity of  $A$ , we have

$$\langle p - J_{r_n} z_n, p^* - A_{r_n} z_n \rangle \geq 0 \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From  $v_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $v_n \rightarrow z_0$ , we get  $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ . Furthermore, from (3.5) we have  $J_{r_n} z_n \rightarrow 0$ . From  $J_{r_n} z_n \rightarrow 0$ , (3.7) and (3.6), we get  $\langle p - z_0, p^* \rangle \geq 0$ . From the maximality of  $A$ , we have  $z_0 \in A^{-1}0$ . Therefore, we have  $z_0 \in \Omega$ .

From  $w_1 = P_\Omega x_1$ ,  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and (3.1) we have that

$$\|x_1 - w_1\| \leq \|x_1 - z_0\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - w_1\|.$$

Then we get that  $\|x_1 - w_1\| = \|x_1 - z_0\|$  and hence  $z_0 = w_1$ . Therefore, we have  $x_n \rightarrow z_0 = w_1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Applications

In this section, using Theorem 3.1, we get well-known and new strong convergence theorems in Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces. We know the following result obtained by Marino and Xu [9]; see also [23].

**Lemma 4.1** ([9]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$  and let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k < 1$ . Let  $U : C \rightarrow H$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction. If  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $x_n - Ux_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $z \in F(U)$ .*

We also know the following result from Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [6]; see also [24].

**Lemma 4.2** ([6]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$  and let  $U : C \rightarrow H$  be generalized hybrid. If  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $x_n - Ux_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $z \in F(U)$ .*

Using Theorem 3.1 and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $A \subset H \times H$  be a maximal monotone operator and let  $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$  for all  $r > 0$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $S : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = F(S) \cap F(T) \cap A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = J_{r_n} z_n, \\ z_n = \beta_n v_n + (1 - \beta_n) T v_n, \\ v_n = S x_n, \\ C_{n*1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, z_n - u_n \rangle \geq \|z_n - u_n\|^2, \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 2\langle v_n - z, v_n - z_n \rangle \geq (1 - k)\|v_n - z_n\|^2 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, x_n - v_n \rangle \geq \|x_n - v_n\|^2 \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$ . If  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ , from (1) in Examples,  $T$  is  $k$ -demimetric. Furthermore, from Lemma 4.1,  $T$  is demiclosed. Furthermore, we know that a nonexpansive mapping  $S$  is 0-demimetric and demiclosed. We also know that the resolvent  $J_r$  of  $A$  for  $r > 0$  is  $(-1)$ -demimetric and demiclosed. Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

The following is a strong convergence theorem for nonexpansive mappings and generalized hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $A \subset H \times H$  be a maximal monotone operator and let  $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$  for all  $r > 0$ . Let  $S : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a generalized hybrid mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = F(S) \cap F(T) \cap A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = J_{r_n} z_n, \\ z_n = T v_n, \\ v_n = S x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, z_n - u_n \rangle \geq \|z_n - u_n\|^2, \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 2\langle v_n - z, v_n - z_n \rangle \geq \|v_n - z_n\|^2 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, x_n - v_n \rangle \geq \|x_n - v_n\|^2 \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is a generalized hybrid mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ , from (2) in Examples,  $T$  is 0-demimetric. Furthermore, from Lemma 4.2,  $T$  is demiclosed. A nonexpansive mapping  $S$  and the resolvent  $J_r$  are as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $f : E \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper, lower semicontinuous and convex function. Define the subdifferential of  $f$  as follows:

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in E^* : f(y) \geq \langle y - x, x^* \rangle + f(x), \forall y \in E\}$$

for each  $x \in E$ . Then, we know that  $\partial f$  is a maximal monotone operator; see [12] for more details. The following is a strong convergence theorem for three metric projections in a Banach space.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping on  $E$ . Let  $B, C$  and  $D$  be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of  $E$ . Let  $P_B, P_C$  and  $P_D$  be the metric projections of  $E$  onto  $B, C$  and  $D$ , respectively. Suppose that  $\Omega = B \cap C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in E$  and  $C_1 = E$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = P_B z_n, \\ z_n = P_C v_n, \\ v_n = P_D x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, J(z_n - u_n) \rangle \geq \|z_n - u_n\|^2, \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \langle v_n - z, J(v_n - z_n) \rangle \geq \|v_n - z_n\|^2 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } \langle x_n - z, J(x_n - v_n) \rangle \geq \|x_n - v_n\|^2 \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Set  $A = \partial i_B$  in Theorem 3.1, where  $i_B$  is the indicator function, that is,

$$i_B = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in B, \\ \infty, & x \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have that  $\partial i_B$  is a maximal monotone operator and  $J_r = P_B$  for  $r > 0$ . In fact, for any  $x \in E$  and  $r > 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} z = J_r x &\Leftrightarrow J(z - x) + r\partial i_B(z) \ni 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow J(x - z) \in r\partial i_B(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow i_B(y) \geq \langle y - z, \frac{J(x - z)}{r} \rangle + i_B(z), \forall y \in E \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \geq \langle y - z, J(x - z) \rangle, \forall y \in B \\ &\Leftrightarrow z = P_B x. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P_B$  is the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $B$ , from (3) in Examples,  $P_B$  is  $(-1)$ -demimetric. We also have that if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup p$  and  $x_n - P_B x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then

$p = P_B p$ . In fact, assume that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $x_n - P_B x_n \rightarrow 0$ . It is clear that  $P_B x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $\|J(x_n - P_B x_n)\| = \|x_n - P_B x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $P_B$  is the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $B$ , we have that

$$\langle P_B x_n - P_B p, J(x_n - P_B x_n) - J(p - P_B p) \rangle \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $-\|p - P_B p\|^2 = \langle p - P_B p, -J(p - P_B p) \rangle \geq 0$  and hence  $p = P_B p$ . Similarly,  $P_C$  and  $P_D$  are  $(-1)$ -demimetric and demiclosed. Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

The following is a strong convergence theorem for three metric resolvents in a Banach space.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping on  $E$ . Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $G$  be maximal monotone operators of  $E \times E^*$  and let  $J_r = (I + rJ^{-1}A)^{-1}$ ,  $Q_\lambda = (I + \lambda J^{-1}B)^{-1}$  and  $R_\mu = (I + \mu J^{-1}G)^{-1}$ , for all  $r > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ , respectively. Suppose that*

$$\Omega = A^{-1}0 \cap B^{-1}0 \cap G^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset.$$

For  $x_1 \in E$  and  $C_1 = E$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = J_r z_n, \\ z_n = Q_\lambda v_n, \\ v_n = R_\mu x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, J(z_n - u_n) \rangle \geq \|z_n - u_n\|^2, \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \langle v_n - z, J(v_n - z_n) \rangle \geq \|v_n - z_n\|^2 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{and } \langle x_n - z, J(x_n - v_n) \rangle \geq \|x_n - v_n\|^2 \left. \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $E$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Q_\lambda$  is the metric resolvent of  $B$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , from (4) in Examples,  $Q_\lambda$  is  $(-1)$ -demimetric. We also have that if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $x_n - Q_\lambda x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $p = Q_\lambda p$ . In fact, assume that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $x_n - Q_\lambda x_n \rightarrow 0$ . It is clear that  $Q_\lambda x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $\|J(x_n - Q_\lambda x_n)\| = \|x_n - Q_\lambda x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $Q_\lambda$  is the metric resolvent of  $B$ , we have from [1] that

$$\langle Q_\lambda x_n - Q_\lambda p, J(x_n - Q_\lambda x_n) - J(p - Q_\lambda p) \rangle \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $-\|p - Q_\lambda p\|^2 = \langle p - Q_\lambda p, -J(p - Q_\lambda p) \rangle \geq 0$  and hence  $p = Q_\lambda p$ . Similarly,  $J_r$  and  $R_\mu$  are  $(-1)$ -demimetric and demiclosed. Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the associate editor and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions which have helped to improve the presentation.

## References

- [1] K. Aoyama, F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, Three generalizations of firmly nonexpansive mappings: Their relations and continuous properties, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **10** (2009) 131–147.
- [2] F. E. Browder, Nonlinear maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces, *Math. Ann.* **175** (1968) 89–113.
- [3] F.E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **20** (1967) 197–228.
- [4] I. Cioranescu, *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [5] T. Igarashi, W. Takahashi and K. Tanaka, Weak convergence theorems for nonspreading mappings and equilibrium problems, in: *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, S. Akashi, W. Takahashi and T. Tanaka (eds.) Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2008, pp. 75–85.
- [6] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi and J.-C. Yao, Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert space, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **14** (2010) 2497–2511.
- [7] F. Kosaka and W. Takahashi, Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces, *SIAM. J. Optim.* **19** (2008) 824–835.
- [8] F. Kosaka and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **91** (2008) 166–177.
- [9] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudocontractions in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329** (2007) 336–346.
- [10] U. Mosco, Convergence of convex sets and of solutions of variational inequalities, *Adv. Math.* **3** (1969) 510–585.
- [11] S. Reich, Book Review: *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (1992) 367–370.
- [12] R.T. Rockafellar, On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* **33** (1970) 209–216.
- [13] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, The split common null point problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces, *Optimization* **65** (2016) 281–287.
- [14] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, The split common null point problem and the shrinking projection method in two Banach spaces, *Linear Nonlinear Anal.* **1** (2015) 297–304.
- [15] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.

- [16] W. Takahashi, *Convex Analysis and Approximation of Fixed Points*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000 (Japanese).
- [17] W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 11 (2010) 79–88.
- [18] W. Takahashi, The split common null point problem in two Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 16 (2015) 2343–2350.
- [19] W. Takahashi, The split common fixed point problem and strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods in two Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 17 (2016) 1051–1067.
- [20] W. Takahashi, The split common fixed point problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces, *J. Convex Anal.* 24 (2017) 1015–1028.
- [21] W. Takahashi, Y. Takeuchi and R. Kubota, Strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods for families of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008) 276–286.
- [22] W. Takahashi, H.-K. Xu and J.-C. Yao, Iterative methods for generalized split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 23 (2015) 205–221.
- [23] W. Takahashi, N.-C. Wong and J.-C. Yao, *Weak and strong mean convergence theorems for extended hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 12 (2011) 553–575.
- [24] W. Takahashi, J.-C. Yao and P. Kocourek, Weak and strong convergence theorems for generalized hybrid nonself-mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 11 (2010) 567–586.
- [25] H.-K. Xu, A variable Krasnosel’skii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility problem, *Inverse Problems* 22 (2006) 2021–2034.
- [26] M. Tsukada, Convergence of best approximations in a smooth Banach space, *J. Approx. Theory* 40 (1984) 301–309.

---

*Manuscript received 15 April 2020*  
*revised 17 April*  
*accepted for publication 28 February 2021*

MAYUMI HOJO

College of Engineering, Shibaura Institute of Technology  
307, Fukasaku, Minuma-ku, Saitama 337-8570, Japan  
E-mail address: mayumi-h@shibaura-it.ac.jp

WATARU TAKAHASHI

Center for Fundamental Science, Kaohsiung Medical University  
Kaohsiung 80702, Taiwan;

Keio Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences  
Keio University, Kouhoku-ku  
Yokohama 223-8521, Japan;

Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences  
Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama  
Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan  
E-mail address: wataru@is.titech.ac.jp; wataru@a00.itscom.net