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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor. In order to judge the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{A}$, an $l^{p, q}$-singular value inclusion set with parameters is first constructed. Subsequently, by selecting appropriate parameters, the optimal singular value inclusion interval is derived, which provides a sufficient condition for the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{A}$. Secondly, lower and upper bounds for the $l^{p, q}{ }_{\text {-spectral radius }}$ of a nonnegative rectangular tensor are given. Thirdly, the relationship between $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and $Z$-eigenpairs of the lifting square tensor of $\mathcal{A}$ is derived, which provides an alternative method to find all $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, the relationship between $l^{p, q}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and generalized eigenvalues/eigenvectors of the lifting square tensor of $\mathcal{A}$ and the lifting square tensor of the identity rectangular tensor is derived, which provides an alternative method to find all $l^{p, q}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$. Finally, numerical examples are given to verify the theoretical results.
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## 1 Introduction

Real rectangular tensors arise from the strong ellipticity condition problem in solid mechanics $[9,17]$ and the entanglement problem in quantum physics [4, 6]. The definition of singular values of a real rectangular tensor is introduced by Lim [10] and Chang et al. [1]. Recently, Ling and Qi [11] extended the concept of singular values of a rectangular tensor in [1] to $l^{k, s_{-}}$ singular value of a rectangular tensor and yielded many properties on $l^{k, s}$-singular values. Subsequently, Yao et al. [19] made further research on $l^{k, s}$-singular values of a rectangular tensor. Now, let us recall the concept of $l^{k, s}$-singular values of a real rectangular tensor.

Let $p, q, m$ and $n$ be positive integers, $m, n \geq 2,[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, \mathbb{C}($ resp. $\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all complex (resp. real) numbers, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ ) be the set of all dimension $n$ real (resp. nonnegative) vectors. We call $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right)$ a real $(p, q)$-th order $m \times n$ dimensional rectangular tensor, denoted by $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$, if

$$
a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], \quad j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n] .
$$
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For simplicity, we call $\mathcal{A}$ a real rectangular tensor. If all entries of $\mathcal{A}$ are nonnegative numbers, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a nonnegative rectangular tensor and it is represented by $\mathcal{A} \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}$ is called a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor, if

$$
a_{\pi\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}\right) \tau\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}\right)}=a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}, \quad \forall \pi \in S_{p}, \forall \tau \in S_{q}
$$

where $S_{p}$ (resp. $S_{q}$ ) is the permutation group of $p$ (resp. $q$ ) indices and $\pi$ (resp. $\tau$ ) is any permutation of indices among $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}$ (resp. $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}$ ).

For any vector $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and any positive integer $k$, denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{[k]} & :=\left(z_{1}^{k}, \ldots, z_{n}^{k}\right)^{\top}, \\
\|z\|_{k} & :=\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{k}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{k}\right)^{1 / k}, \\
\varphi_{k}^{(n)}(z) & :=\left(\operatorname{sign}\left(z_{1}\right)\left|z_{1}\right|^{k}, \ldots, \operatorname{sign}\left(z_{n}\right)\left|z_{n}\right|^{k}\right)^{\top},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{sign}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
1, & z>0 \\
0, & z=0 \\
-1, & z<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}$ be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, whose $i$ th component is

$$
\left(\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}\right)_{i}=\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{1}} \cdots y_{j_{q}},
$$

and $\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}$ be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, whose $j$ th component is

$$
\left(\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}\right)_{j}=\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{2}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j j_{2} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{2}} \cdots y_{j_{q}}
$$

Definition 1.1 ([11, Definition 2.1]). Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n] . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ g i v e n ~ i n t e g e r s ~} k, s \in$ $\{2, \ldots, p+q, \ldots\}$, if $(\lambda, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is a solution of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}=\lambda \varphi_{k-1}^{(m)}(x)  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}=\lambda \varphi_{s-1}^{(n)}(y) \\
\|x\|_{k}=\|y\|_{s}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $\lambda$ is called an $l^{k, s}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(x, y)$ is called a pair of $l^{k, s}$-singular vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with $\lambda$.

For the existence of the $l^{k, s}$-singular values/vectors pair of $\mathcal{A}$, Ling and Qi in [11, Theorem 2.1] showed the fact:

Theorem 1.2 ([11, Theorem 2.1]). Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric. Then for every $k, s \in\{2, \ldots, p+q, \ldots\}$, its $l^{k, s}$-singular values and singular vectors pair always exist.

Based on Theorem 1.2, we in this paper assume that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ always is a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor, denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all $l^{k, s}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$, and call $\rho_{k, s}(\mathcal{A})$ the $l^{k, s}$-spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}[11$, Definition 3.1] if it is the largest absolute $l^{k, s}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e.,

$$
\rho_{k, s}(\mathcal{A})=\max \{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\}
$$

Also in [11], Ling and Qi obtained bounds for the $l^{k, s}$-spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}$ as follows:

Lemma 1.3 ([11, Corollary 3.3]). Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric. If $k \leq p$ and $s \leq q$, then

$$
\rho_{k, s}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i}(\mathcal{A}) & =\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]}\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right|  \tag{1.4}\\
C_{j}(\mathcal{A}) & =\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{2}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]}\left|a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j j_{2} \cdots j_{q}}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the diversity of selection of $p, q, k$ and $s$, many scholars have studied the properties of $l^{k, s}$-singular values when these parameters are taken as special values. For example, when $k=s=p+q$, such $l^{k, s}$-singular values of rectangular tensors are introduced by Chang et al. and called singular value of rectangular tensors in [1]. Subsequently, properties, lower and upper bounds of spectral radius and inclusion sets for singular values of rectangular tensors are studied in $[15,18,21,22,23,24]$. When $k=p$ and $s=q$, such $l^{k, s}$-singular values of rectangular tensors are called $V$-singular values and studied in [7]. In this paper, such $l^{k, s}$-singular values are called $l^{p, q}$-singular values as $k=p$ and $s=q$.

Given a partially symmetric rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$, it determines a multivariate polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q}=\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{1}} \cdots y_{j_{q}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When both $p$ and $q$ are even, if $f(x, y)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, then we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite. When $\mathcal{A}$ is the elasticity tensor, which is a real rectangular tensor with $p=q=2$ and $m=n=2$ or 3 , the strong ellipticity condition holds if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite [14]. Since the strong ellipticity condition plays an important role in nonlinear elasticity and materials, positive definiteness of such a partially symmetric tensor has a sound application background. When $k$ and $s$ are even and $k, s \geq 2$, Yao et al. [19] proposed the following method to judge the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{A}$ by using its $l^{k, s}$-singular values.

Theorem 1.4 ([19, Theorem 2]). Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric with $p$ and $q$ being even, $k$ and $s$ be even and $k, s \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite if and only if all of its $l^{k, s}$-singular values are positive.

There is another way to judge the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{A}$ : One can try to construct a set which includes all $l^{k, s}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ in the complex plane, and furthermore if the set lies in the right half complex plane, then we can conclude that all $l^{k, s}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ are positive and, consequently, $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite.

Although many researchers have constructed such sets [15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24], unfortunately, all these sets contain the origin, and hence they cannot be used to judge the positive definiteness of a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor. Then, a question is naturally raised: How to construct a singular value inclusion set that can be used to judge the positive definiteness of a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor? We focus on this issue in this paper.

The rest is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we construct an $l^{p, q}$-singular value inclusion set with parameter vectors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to locate all $l^{p, q_{-s i n g u l a r ~ v a l u e s ~ o f ~ a ~ r e a l ~ r e c t a n g u l a r ~}^{\text {s }} \text {, }}$
tensor. Subsequently, by selecting appropriate parameter vectors $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we obtain the optimal singular value inclusion interval and use it to judge the positive definiteness of a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor. In other words, as an application of the set, we present a sufficient condition of the positive definiteness of a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor. As another application of the set, we obtain an upper bound of the $l^{p, q}$-spectral radius of a nonnegative rectangular tensor in Section 3. Also in Section 3, we present a lower bound of the $l^{p, q}$-spectral radius. In Section 4, we focus on calculation of all $l^{p, q_{-}}$-singular values/vectors of a real rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$, derive the relationship between all $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and $Z$-eigenpairs of the lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, and derive the relationship between all $l^{p, q}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and generalized eigenvalues/eigenvectors of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of the identity rectangular
 In Section 5, we use two examples to verify the theoretical results. In the end, we give some conclusions to end this paper.

## 5 Locations for $l^{p, q}$-Singular Values of a Real Rectangular Tensor with $p$ and $q$ Even

Taking $k=p$ and $s=q$ in Definition 1.1, then (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) reduce to the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}=\lambda \varphi_{p-1}^{(m)}(x),  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}=\lambda \varphi_{q-1}^{(n)}(y) \\
\|x\|_{p}=\|y\|_{q}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let both $p$ and $q$ be even, $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For any given $x_{i}, i \in[m]$, if $x_{i}>0$, then $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ and hence $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-1}=x_{i}^{p-1}$; if $x_{i}<0$, then $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{i}\right)=-1$ and hence $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-1}=(-1)\left(-x_{i}\right)^{p-1}=x_{i}^{p-1}$; and if $x_{i}=0$, then $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-1}=x_{i}^{p-1}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}\right|^{p-1}=x_{i}^{p-1}$ for any $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i \in[m]$, which implies that $\varphi_{p-1}^{(m)}(x)=x^{[p-1]}$. Similarly, it follows that $\varphi_{q-1}^{(n)}(y)=y^{[q-1]}$. Then (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) are equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}=\lambda x^{[p-1]}  \tag{2.4}\\
\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}=\lambda y^{[q-1]} \\
x_{1}^{p}+\cdots+x_{m}^{p}=1 \\
y_{1}^{q}+\cdots+y_{n}^{q}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and then we call $\lambda$ an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(x, y)$ a pair of $l^{p, q}$-singular vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with $\lambda$. Here, $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all $l^{p, q}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$.

Now, we construct a set with parameter vectors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to locate all $l^{p, q}$-singular values of a real rectangular tensor.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ with both $p$ and $q$ even, $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta):=\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \alpha) \cap \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \alpha):=\bigcup_{i \in[m]} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_{i}\right), \quad \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \beta):=\bigcup_{j \in[n]} \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}, \beta_{j}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_{i}\right):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}:\left|z-\alpha_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}-\alpha_{i}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \\
& \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}, \beta_{j}\right):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}:\left|z-\beta_{j}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}-\beta_{j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}, \\
& r_{i}(\mathcal{A}):=R_{i}(\mathcal{A})-\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}\right|, \quad c_{j}(\mathcal{A}):=C_{j}(\mathcal{A})-\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}\right|, \quad i \in[m], j \in[n], \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and $R_{i}(\mathcal{A})$ and $C_{j}(\mathcal{A})$ are defined in (1.4).
Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}), x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ be an $l^{p, q_{-}}$-singular vectors pair of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with $\lambda$. Let $\left|x_{g}\right|=\max _{i \in[m]}\left\{\left|x_{i}\right|\right\}$ and $\left|y_{h}\right|=$ $\max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\left|y_{j}\right|\right\}$. Then $0<\left|x_{g}\right| \leq 1$ and $0<\left|y_{h}\right| \leq 1$. For any given real number $\alpha_{g}$, by the $g$-th equation of (2.4), i.e.,

$$
\lambda x_{g}^{p-1}=\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]} a_{g i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{1}} \cdots y_{j_{q}}
$$

and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda-\alpha_{g}\right) x_{g}^{p-1} \\
= & \lambda x_{g}^{p-1}-\alpha_{g} x_{g}^{p-1}\left(y_{1}^{q}+\cdots+y_{n}^{q}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
= & \sum_{\substack{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]}} a_{g i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{1}} \cdots y_{j_{q}}-\alpha_{g} x_{g}^{p-1}\left(y_{1}^{q}+\cdots+y_{n}^{q}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n], \ldots, g \\
\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}\right) \neq(g, \ldots, g, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots,(g, \ldots, g, n, \ldots, n)}} a_{g i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{1}} \cdots y_{j_{q}} \\
& +\left(a_{g \cdots g 1 \cdots 1}-\alpha_{g}\right) x_{g}^{p-1} y_{1}^{q}+\cdots+\left(a_{g \cdots g n \cdots n}-\alpha_{g}\right) x_{g}^{p-1} y_{n}^{q} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.7) and $q$ being even, we have $0 \leq\left|y_{j}\right| \leq 1$ for each $j \in[n]$. Taking modulus in (2.11) and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\lambda-\alpha_{g}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1} \\
\leq & \sum_{\substack{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n],\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \neq(g, \ldots, g, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots,(g, \ldots, g, n, \ldots, n)\right.}}\left|a_{g i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right|\left|x_{i_{2}}\right| \cdots\left|x_{i_{p}}\right|\left|y_{j_{1}}\right| \cdots\left|y_{j_{q}}\right| \\
& +\left|a_{g \cdots g 1 \cdots 1}-\alpha_{g}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1}\left|y_{1}\right|^{q}+\cdots+\left|a_{g \cdots g n \cdots n}-\alpha_{g}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1}\left|y_{n}\right|^{q} \\
\leq & \sum_{\substack{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n],}}^{\substack{\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \neq(g, \ldots, g, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots,(g, \ldots, g, n, \ldots, n)\right.}}\left|a_{g i_{2} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1} \\
& +\left|a_{g \cdots g 1 \cdots 1}-\alpha_{g}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1}+\cdots+\left|a_{g \cdots g n \cdots n}-\alpha_{g}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1} \\
= & \left(R_{g}(\mathcal{A})-\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{g \cdots g t \cdots t}\right|\right)\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1}+\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{g \cdots g t \cdots t}-\alpha_{g}\right|\left|x_{g}\right|^{p-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left|\lambda-\alpha_{g}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{g \cdots g t \cdots t}-\alpha_{g}\right|+R_{g}(\mathcal{A})-\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{g \cdots g t \cdots t}\right|,
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{g}\left(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_{g}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in[m]} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_{i}\right)=\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \alpha) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any given real number $\beta_{h}$, by the $h$-th equation of (2.5), i.e.,

$$
\lambda y_{h}^{q-1}=\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{2}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} h j_{2} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{2}} \cdots y_{j_{q}},
$$

and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda-\beta_{h}\right) y_{h}^{q-1} \\
& =\lambda y_{h}^{q-1}-\beta_{h}\left(x_{1}^{p}+\cdots+x_{m}^{p}\right) y_{h}^{q-1}  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{2}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} h j_{2} \cdots j_{q}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{2}} \cdots y_{j_{q}}-\beta_{h}\left(x_{1}^{p}+\cdots+x_{m}^{p}\right) y_{h}^{q-1} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}\left[m p, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n],\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}, i_{2}, \ldots, j_{q}\right) \neq(1, \ldots 1, h, \ldots, h), \ldots,(m, \ldots, m, h, \ldots, h)\right.}} a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} h j_{2} \ldots j_{q}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} y_{j_{2}} \cdots y_{j_{q}} \\
& +\left(a_{1 \cdots 1 h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right) x_{1}^{p} y_{h}^{q-1}+\cdots+\left(a_{m \cdots m h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right) x_{m}^{p} y_{h}^{q-1} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.6) and $p$ being even, we have $0 \leq\left|x_{i}\right| \leq 1$ for each $i \in[m]$. Taking modulus in (2.14) and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\lambda-\beta_{h}\right|\left|y_{h}\right|^{q-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left|a_{1 \cdots 1 h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|^{p}\left|y_{h}\right|^{q-1}+\cdots+\left|a_{m \cdots m h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right|\left|x_{m}\right|^{p}\left|y_{h}\right|^{q-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left|a_{1 \cdots 1 h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right|\left|y_{h}\right|^{q-1}+\cdots+\left|a_{m \cdots m h \cdots h}-\beta_{h} \| y_{h}\right|^{q-1} \\
& =\left(C_{h}(\mathcal{A})-\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \ldots t h \cdots h}\right|\right)\left|y_{h}\right|^{q-1}+\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right|\left|y_{h}\right|^{q-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left|\lambda-\beta_{h}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t h \cdots h}-\beta_{h}\right|+C_{h}(\mathcal{A})-\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t h}\right|,
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{h}\left(\mathcal{A}, \beta_{h}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in[n]} \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}, \beta_{j}\right)=\widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \beta) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.12) and (2.15), we have $\lambda \in[\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \alpha) \cap \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \beta)]$, i.e., $\lambda \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta)$, which implies that the conclusion (2.8) follows.

Next, we consider a problem: How to choose appropriate parameter vectors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to optimize the $l^{p, q}$-singular value inclusion interval in Theorem 2.1? Before giving the optimal inclusion interval for $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta)$ in Theorem 2.1, two lemmas are given by taking $a=1$ in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 of [16].

Lemma 2.2. Let

$$
f(x)=x-\sum_{i \in[n]}\left|x-b_{i}\right|-c
$$

be a real valued function about $x$, where $b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{1} \leq b_{2} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
(a) If $n$ is odd, then

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_{i}-\sum_{i=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n} b_{i}-c
$$

and this takes place for every $x \in\left[b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right]$ if $b_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \neq b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$, and only for $x=b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ if $b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}=b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$. Note that let $\left[b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}, b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right]$ be $\left[b_{\frac{n+1}{2}},+\infty\right)$ if $\stackrel{2}{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ does not exist.
(b) If $n$ is even, then

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} b_{i}-\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n} b_{i}-c
$$

and this maximum is reached when $x=b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$.
Lemma 2.3. Let

$$
g(x)=x+\sum_{i \in[n]}\left|x-b_{i}\right|+c
$$

be a real valued function about $x$, where $b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{1} \leq b_{2} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
(a) If $n$ is odd, then

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x)=\sum_{i=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n} b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} b_{i}+c
$$

and this takes place for every $x \in\left[b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right]$ if $b_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \neq b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, and only for $x=b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ if $b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}=b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$.
(b) If $n$ is even, then

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x)=\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n} b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} b_{i}+c
$$

and this minimum is reached when $x=b_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ with both $p$ and $q$ even. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{A}):=\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}):=\bigcup_{i \in[m]}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}(\mathcal{A}):=\left[\tilde{l}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right]\right), \quad \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}):=\bigcup_{j \in[n]}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{j}(\mathcal{A}):=\left[\hat{l}_{j}, \hat{u}_{j}\right]\right)
$$

and $\tilde{l}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{i}, \hat{l}_{j}$ and $\hat{u}_{j}$ are taken by the following methods:
(a) if $n$ is odd, then

$$
\tilde{l}_{i}=\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n} b_{i, t}-r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \tilde{u}_{i}=\sum_{t=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} b_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) ;
$$

(b) if $n$ is even, then

$$
\tilde{l}_{i}=\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n} b_{i, t}-r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \tilde{u}_{i}=\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} b_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) ;
$$

(c) if $m$ is odd, then

$$
\hat{l}_{j}=\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=\frac{m+3}{2}}^{m} d_{t, j}-c_{j}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \hat{u}_{j}=\sum_{t=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{m} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} d_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}) ;
$$

(d) if $m$ is even, then

$$
\hat{l}_{j}=\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+2}^{m} d_{t, j}-c_{j}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \hat{u}_{j}=\sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+1}^{m} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} d_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}) .
$$

Here, for each $i \in[m], b_{i, 1} \leq b_{i, 2} \leq \cdots \leq b_{i, n}$ is an arrangement in non-decreasing order of $a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}$ for $t \in[n]$; for each $j \in[n], d_{1, j} \leq d_{2, j} \leq \cdots \leq d_{m, j}$ is an arrangement in non-decreasing order of $a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}$ for $t \in[m]$; and $r_{i}(\mathcal{A})$ and $c_{j}(\mathcal{A})$ are defined in (2.9).

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $\lambda \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta)$, which implies that there exists an index $i \in[m]$ and an index $j \in[n]$ such that $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}, \beta_{j}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda-\alpha_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}-\alpha_{i}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \lambda \in\left[\tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \tilde{g}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right], \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda-\beta_{j}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}-\beta_{j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \lambda \in\left[\hat{f}\left(\beta_{j}\right), \hat{g}\left(\beta_{j}\right)\right], \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}-\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|\alpha_{i}-a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}\right|-r_{i}(\mathcal{A})=\alpha_{i}-\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|\alpha_{i}-b_{i, t}\right|-r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \\
& \tilde{g}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}+\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|\alpha_{i}-a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})=\alpha_{i}+\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|\alpha_{i}-b_{i, t}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \\
& \hat{f}\left(\beta_{j}\right)=\beta_{j}-\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|\beta_{j}-a_{t \cdots t \cdots j}\right|-c_{j}(\mathcal{A})=\beta_{j}-\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|\beta_{j}-d_{t, j}\right|-c_{j}(\mathcal{A}), \\
& \hat{g}\left(\beta_{j}\right)=\beta_{j}+\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|\beta_{j}-a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})=\beta_{j}+\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|\beta_{j}-d_{t, j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we consider a question: How to choose parameters $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$ to minimize the inclusion intervals $\left[\tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \tilde{g}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right]$ in (2.17) and $\left[\hat{f}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \hat{g}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right]$ in (2.18)?
(a) Assume that $n$ is odd. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n} b_{i, t}-r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this maximum is reached for any $\alpha_{i} \in\left[b_{i, \frac{n+1}{2}}, b_{i, \frac{n+3}{2}}\right]$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{g}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{t=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} b_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this minimum is reached for any $\alpha_{i} \in\left[b_{i, \frac{n-1}{2}}, b_{i, \frac{n+1}{2}}\right]$. Taking $\alpha_{i}=b_{i, \frac{n+1}{2}}$ in (2.17) and using (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$
\lambda \in\left[\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n} b_{i, t}-r_{i}(\mathcal{A}), \sum_{t=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} b_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right],
$$

i.e., $\lambda \in\left[\tilde{l}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right]$, which implies that $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$ and, consequently, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$.
(b) Assume that $n$ is even. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\tilde{f}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}+1}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n} b_{i, t}-r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \tilde{f}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{g}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\tilde{g}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}}\right)=\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n} b_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} b_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \tilde{g}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}+1}\right) . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\alpha_{i}=b_{i, \frac{n}{2}}$ and $\alpha_{i}=b_{i, \frac{n}{2}+1}$ in (2.17), respectively, we have

$$
\lambda \in\left[\tilde{f}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}}\right), \tilde{g}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}}\right)\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda \in\left[\tilde{f}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}+1}\right), \tilde{g}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}+1}\right)\right] \text {. }
$$

By (2.21), (2.22) and the existence of $\lambda$, we have $\lambda \in\left[\tilde{f}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}+1}\right), \tilde{g}\left(b_{i, \frac{n}{2}}\right)\right]$, i.e., $\lambda \in\left[\tilde{l}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right]$, which implies that $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$ and, consequently, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$.
(c) Assume that $m$ is odd. For the interval (2.18), by using the similar method as (a) to derive the maximum of $\hat{f}\left(\beta_{j}\right)$ and the minimum of $\hat{g}\left(\beta_{j}\right)$, we have

$$
\lambda \in\left[\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=\frac{m+3}{2}}^{m} d_{t, j}-c_{j}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \sum_{t=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{m} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} d_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right],
$$

i.e., $\lambda \in\left[\hat{l}_{j}, \hat{u}_{j}\right]$, which implies that $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$, and, consequently, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$.
(d) Assume that $m$ is even. Similar to the proof of (b), we have

$$
\lambda \in\left[\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+2}^{m} d_{t, j}-c_{j}(\mathcal{A}), \sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+1}^{m} d_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} d_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right],
$$

i.e., $\lambda \in\left[\hat{l}_{j}, \hat{u}_{j}\right]$, which implies that $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$, and, consequently, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$.

In combination with (a), (b), (c) and (d), (2.16) follows.
Based on the interval $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ in Theorem 2.4, a sufficient condition for the positive definiteness of a partially symmetric rectangular tensor is derived.
Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ with both $p$ and $q$ even, and $\lambda$ be an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of A. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{i \in[m]} \tilde{l}_{i}>0 \quad \text { or } \quad \min _{j \in[n]} \hat{l}_{j}>0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{l}_{i}$ and $\hat{l}_{j}$ are defined in Theorem 2.4, then $\lambda>0$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A}$ is also partially symmetric, then $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite, consequently, $f(x)$ defined in (1.5) is positive definite.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\lambda \leq 0$. By Theorem 2.4, we have $\lambda \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$, which implies that there is an $i_{0} \in[m]$ and a $j_{0} \in[n]$ such that $\lambda \in\left[\tilde{l}_{i_{0}}, \tilde{u}_{i_{0}}\right]$ and $\lambda \in\left[\hat{l}_{j_{0}}, \hat{u}_{j_{0}}\right]$, which conflicts with the assumption $\lambda \leq 0$ from (2.23). Hence, $\lambda>0$. By Theorem 1.4, the conclusion follows.

## 3 Bounds for the $l^{p, q}$-Spectral Radius of Nonnegative Rectangular Tensors

In this section, we present a lower bound and an upper bound for the $l^{p, q}$-spectral radius $\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A})$ of a nonnegative rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$, and prove that the upper bound is smaller than that in Lemma 1.3, that is, Corollary 3.3 in [11].

Lemma 3.1 ([11, Theorem 3.1]). Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric. If there exist $\omega>0, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q} \geq \omega x^{[k-1]}, \quad \mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1} \geq \omega y^{[s-1]}
$$

where $k, s \in\{2, \ldots, p+q, \ldots\}$, then

$$
\rho_{k, s}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \omega \max \left\{\frac{\|y\|_{s}^{s-q}}{\|x\|_{k}^{p}}, \frac{\|x\|_{k}^{k-p}}{\|y\|_{s}^{q}}\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.2 ([12, Lemma 2.1]). Consider the real function of the real variable

$$
\phi(x)=\sum_{i \in[n]}\left|x-b_{i}\right|,
$$

for which $b_{1} \leq b_{2} \cdots \leq b_{n}$ are real numbers.
(i) If $n$ is odd, then

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(x)=\left(b_{n}+\cdots+b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right)-\left(b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\cdots+b_{1}\right) .
$$

This minimum is reached when $x=b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$.
(ii) If $n$ is even, then

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(x)=\left(b_{n}+\cdots+b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)-\left(b_{\frac{n}{2}}+\cdots+b_{1}\right) .
$$

This takes place for every $x \in\left[b_{\frac{n}{2}}, b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right]$ if $b_{\frac{n}{2}} \neq b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ and only for $x=b_{\frac{n}{2}}$ if $b_{\frac{n}{2}}=$ $b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric with both $p$ and $q$ even. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}\right\} \min _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \leq \rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \rho^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho^{*}(\mathcal{A})= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{3}\right\}, & \text { if } m \text { and } n \text { are odd, } \\
\min \left\{\eta_{2}, \eta_{4}\right\}, & \text { if } m \text { and } n \text { are even, } \\
\min \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{4}\right\}, & \text { if } m \text { is even and } n \text { is odd, } \\
\min \left\{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right\}, & \text { if } m \text { is odd and } n \text { is even, }\end{cases} \\
\eta_{1}=\max _{i \in[m]} \begin{cases}\left.\sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\}, & \eta_{2}=\max _{i \in[m]}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\},
\end{array}\right. \\
\eta_{3}=\max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{m+3}{2}}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}, \quad \eta_{4}=\max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+2}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\},\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $R_{i}(\mathcal{A})$ and $C_{j}(\mathcal{A})$ are defined in (1.4), $r_{i}(\mathcal{A})$ and $c_{j}(\mathcal{A})$ are defined in (2.9). Furthermore, $\rho^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}$.

Here, for each $i \in[m], \hat{b}_{i, 1} \leq \hat{b}_{i, 2} \leq \cdots \leq \hat{b}_{i, n+1}$ is an arrangement in non-decreasing order of 0 and $a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}$ for $t \in[n]$, and for each $j \in[n], \hat{d}_{1, j} \leq \hat{d}_{2, j} \leq \cdots \leq \hat{d}_{m+1, j}$ is an arrangement in non-decreasing order of 0 and $a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}$ for $t \in[m]$.
Proof. Let $\omega=\min _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}$. If $\omega>0$, taking $x=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$ and $y=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}=\left(R_{1}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots, R_{m}(\mathcal{A})\right)^{\top} \geq \omega x^{[p-1]} \\
\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}=\left(C_{1}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots, C_{n}(\mathcal{A})\right)^{\top} \geq \omega y^{[q-1]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \omega \max \left\{\frac{1}{\|x\|_{p}^{p}}, \frac{1}{\|y\|_{q}^{q}}\right\}=\omega \max \left\{\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\omega=0$, then (3.2) also holds. Hence, the left inequality in (3.1) follows.
Next, we prove the second inequality in (3.1). Let $\lambda$ be the $l^{p, q}$-singular value with $|\lambda|=\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \alpha) \cap \widehat{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A}, \beta)$, that is, there exists an $i \in[m]$ and a $j \in[n]$ such that $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}, \beta_{j}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\left|\lambda-\alpha_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[n]}\left|a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}-\alpha_{i}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})
$$

and

$$
\left|\lambda-\beta_{j}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in[m]}\left|a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}-\beta_{j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}),
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A})=|\lambda| \leq\left|\alpha_{i}-0\right|+\sum_{t \in[n]}\left|\alpha_{i}-a_{i \cdots i t \cdots t}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{t \in[n+1]}\left|\alpha_{i}-\hat{b}_{i, t}\right|+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A})=|\lambda| \leq\left|\beta_{j}-0\right|+\sum_{t \in[m]}\left|\beta_{j}-a_{t \cdots t j \cdots j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{t \in[m+1]}\left|\beta_{j}-\hat{d}_{t, j}\right|+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n$ is odd, then $n+1$ is even, and by (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\}=\eta_{1}
$$

If $n$ is even, then $n+1$ is odd, and by (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\}=\eta_{2}
$$

If $m$ is odd, then $m+1$ is even, and by (3.4) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{t=\frac{m+3}{2}}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{m+3}{2}}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}=\eta_{3}
$$

If $m$ is even, then $m+1$ is odd, and by (3.4) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+2}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+2}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}-\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}=\eta_{4}
$$

Apparently, if $m$ and $n$ are odd, then $\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{3}\right\}$; if $m$ and $n$ are even, then $\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min \left\{\eta_{2}, \eta_{4}\right\}$; if $m$ is even and $n$ is odd, then $\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{4}\right\}$; if $m$ is odd and $n$ is even, then $\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min \left\{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right\}$.

Finally, we prove that $\rho^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}$. By $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$, we have $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}} \geq 0$ for $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \in[m], j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \in[n]$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{1} \leq \max _{i \in[m]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}+\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\}=\max _{i \in[m]} R_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}, \\
& \eta_{2} \leq \max _{i \in[m]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n+1} \hat{b}_{i, t}+\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{b}_{i, t}+r_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \leq \max _{i \in[m]} R_{i}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}, \\
& \eta_{3} \leq \max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{m+3}{2}}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}+\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}=\max _{j \in[n]} C_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}, \\
& \eta_{4} \leq \max _{j \in[n]}\left\{\sum_{t=\frac{m}{2}+2}^{m+1} \hat{d}_{t, j}+\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \hat{d}_{t, j}+c_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \leq \max _{j \in[n]} C_{j}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and, consequently, the conclusion $\rho^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], j \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}), C_{j}(\mathcal{A})\right\}$ follows.

## 4 Calculation of $l^{p, q_{-}}$-Singular Values via the Lifting Square Tensors

In this section, we considered a question: How to calculate all $l^{p, q}$-singular values of a given real rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$ ? We first derive the relationship between the $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ and the $Z$-eigenvalues of its lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$, which provide a way to find all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$. Subsequently, we derive the relationship between the $l^{p, q}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ and the generalized eigenvalues of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$, which provide a way to find all $l^{p, q_{\text {-singular }}}$ values of $\mathcal{A}$. The idea of converting the singular value problem to an eigenvalue problem comes from Chen, Qi, Yang and Yang's work in [2, pp. 3725], in which the concept of the lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of a real rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is introduced.

For a rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$, its lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}=$ $\left(c_{t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{p+q}}\right)$ is an order $p+q$ dimension $m+n$ tensor which is defined as follows:
$c_{t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{p+q}}$
$= \begin{cases}a_{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m}, & \text { if } 1 \leq t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \leq m+n, \\ a_{t_{q+1}, \cdots, t_{q+p}, t_{1}-m, \cdots, t_{q}-m}, & \text { if } m+1 \leq t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q} \leq m+n, 1 \leq t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_{q+p} \leq m, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $z=\left(x^{\top}, y^{\top}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}=\binom{\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us recall the concept of an order $m$ dimension $n$ square tensor $\mathcal{B}$ and the definition of $Z$-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}$, which is introduced by Qi in [13]. We call $\mathcal{B}$ a real order $m$ dimension $n$ square tensor and denote by $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[m, n]}$, if $b_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m} \in[n]$.

Definition $4.1([13])$. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[m, n]}$. If there are $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and a nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{B} x^{m-1}=\lambda x \quad \text { and } \quad x^{\top} x=1,
$$

where $\mathcal{B} x^{m-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, whose $i$ th component is

$$
\left(\mathcal{B} x^{m-1}\right)_{i}=\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in[n]} b_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
$$

then $\lambda$ is called a $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{B}$ and $x$ is called a $Z$-eigenvector of $\mathcal{B}$ associated with $\lambda$. For simplicity, we call $(\lambda, x)$ a $Z$-eigenpair of $\mathcal{B}$.

### 4.1 Calculation of $l^{2,2}$-Singular Values via a Lifting Square Tensor

Taking $k=s=2$ in Definition 1.1 and using $\operatorname{sign}(a)|a|=a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent to the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}=\lambda x \\
\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}=\lambda y \\
\|x\|_{2}=\|y\|_{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and then we call $\lambda$ an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(x, y)$ a pair of $l^{2,2}$-singular vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with $\lambda$.

Next, the relationship between the $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and the $Z$-eigenvalues/ vectors of its lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric.
(a) If $\lambda$ is an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $(x, y)$, then $\lambda / \sqrt{2}^{p+q-2}$ is the $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $z=\left(x^{\top} / \sqrt{2}, y^{\top} / \sqrt{2}\right)^{\top}$ is its $Z$-eigenvector.
(b) If $\lambda(\neq 0)$ is a $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with corresponding $Z$-eigenvector $z=$ $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$, then $\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2} \lambda$ is the $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $\left(\sqrt{2} z_{x}, \sqrt{2} z_{y}\right)$, where $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=$ $\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$.
(c) Assume that 0 is a $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with corresponding $Z$-eigenvector $z=$ $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$. Let $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$. If $z_{x} \neq 0$ and $z_{y} \neq 0$, then 0 is an $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vector pair $\left(z_{x} /\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}, z_{y} /\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}\right)$. If $z_{x}=0$ or $z_{y}=0$, then 0 is not an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\lambda$ be an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $(x, y)$. Then $\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}=\lambda x, \mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}=\lambda y$ and $\|x\|_{2}=\|y\|_{2}=1$. Let $z=\left(x^{\top} / \sqrt{2}, y^{\top} / \sqrt{2}\right)^{\top}$. Then $\|z\|_{2}=1$. By (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1} & =\binom{\frac{\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1}}}{\frac{\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1}}}=\binom{\frac{\lambda x}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1}}}{\frac{\lambda y}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1}}}=\binom{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}}{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2}} \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2}}\binom{\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}}{\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}}=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2}} z,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\lambda / \sqrt{2}^{p+q-2}$ is a $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $z$ is its $Z$-eigenvector.
(b) Let $\lambda(\neq 0)$ be a $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top} \neq 0$ be its a $Z$-eigenvector. Let $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$. Then $z=\left(z_{x}^{\top}, z_{y}^{\top}\right)^{\top}$. By (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\binom{z_{x}}{z_{y}}=\lambda z=\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}=\binom{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{q-1}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we prove the fact: $z_{x} \neq 0$ and $z_{y} \neq 0$. Suppose that $z_{y}=0$ (Similarly, we can also assume that $z_{x}=0$. Here, we omit the proof for this case). By $z \neq 0$, we have $z_{x} \neq 0$, which implies that there is an $i \in[m]$ such that $z_{i} \neq 0$. By $\lambda \neq 0, z_{y}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda z_{i} & =\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}\right)_{i} \\
& =\sum_{t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \in[m+n]} c_{i t_{2} \cdots t_{p} t_{p+1} \cdots t_{p+q}} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \leq m+n}} a_{i, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}} \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $z_{i}=0$, which conflicts with that $z_{i} \neq 0$. Hence, both $z_{x}$ and $z_{y}$ must be not zero.
Next, we prove that $\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}=\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}=1 / \sqrt{2}$. For any $g \in[m]$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda z_{g} & =\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}\right)_{g} \\
& =\sum_{t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \in[m+n]} c_{g t_{2} \cdots t_{p} t_{p+1} \cdots t_{p+q}} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \leq m+n}} a_{g, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\lambda z_{g}^{2}=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \leq m+n}} a_{g, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m} z_{g} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left(z_{1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{m}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{g \in[m]} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \leq m+n}} a_{g, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m} z_{g} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \leq m+n}} a_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m} z_{t_{1}} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{p}} z_{t_{p+1}} \cdots z_{t_{p+q}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p} \leq m, 1 \leq t_{p+1}-m, \ldots, t_{p+q}-m \leq n}} a_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{p}, t_{p+1}-m, \cdots, t_{p+q}-m}\left(z_{x}\right)_{t_{1}}\left(z_{x}\right)_{t_{2}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \leq n}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\left(z_{x}\right)_{i_{1}} \cdots\left(z_{x}\right)_{i_{p}}\left(z_{y}\right)_{j_{1}} \cdots\left(z_{y}\right)_{j_{q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

For any $m+1 \leq h \leq m+n$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda z_{h} & =\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}\right)_{h} \\
& =\sum_{t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p+q} \in[m+n]} c_{h t_{2} \cdots t_{q} t_{q+1} \cdots t_{q+p}} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{q}} z_{t_{q+1}} \cdots z_{t_{q+p}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{m+1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{q} \leq m+n, 1 \leq t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_{q+p} \leq m}} a_{t_{q+1}, \cdots, t_{q+p}, h-m, t_{2}-m, \cdots, t_{q}-m} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{q}} z_{t_{q+1}} \cdots z_{t_{q+p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\lambda z_{h}^{2}=\sum_{\substack{m+1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{q} \leq m+n \\ 1 \leq t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_{q+p} \leq m}} a_{t_{q+1}, \cdots, t_{q+p}, h-m, t_{2}-m, \cdots, t_{q}-m} z_{h} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{q}} z_{t_{q+1}} \cdots z_{t_{q+p}}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left(z_{m+1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{m+n}^{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{m+1 \leq h \leq m+n}} \sum_{\substack{m+1 \leq t_{2}, \ldots, t_{q} \leq m+n, 1 \leq t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_{q+p} \leq m}} a_{t_{q+1}, \cdots, t_{q+p}, h-m, t_{2}-m, \cdots, t_{q}-m} z_{h} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{q}} z_{t_{q+1}} \cdots z_{t_{q+p}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{m+1 \leq t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{q} \leq m+n, 1 \leq t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_{q+p} \leq m}} a_{t_{t_{q+1}, \cdots, t_{q+p}, t_{1}-m, t_{2}-m, \cdots, t_{q}-m} z_{t_{1}} z_{t_{2}} \cdots z_{t_{q}} z_{t_{q+1}} \cdots z_{t_{q+p}}}=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t_{1}-m, t_{2}-m, \ldots, t_{q}-m \leq n, 1 \leq t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_{q+p} \leq m}} a_{t_{q+1}, \cdots, t_{q+p}, t_{1}-m, t_{2}-m, \cdots, t_{q}-m}\left(z_{y}\right)_{t_{1}-m}\left(z_{y}\right)_{t_{2}-m} \\
& \cdots \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q} \leq n}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\left(z_{x}\right)_{i_{1}} \cdots\left(z_{x}\right)_{i_{p}}\left(z_{y}\right)_{j_{1}} \cdots\left(z_{y}\right)_{t_{q}-m}\left(z_{x}\right)_{t_{q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.3), (4.4) and $\lambda \neq 0$, we have $z_{1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{m}^{2}=z_{m+1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{m+n}^{2}$. Furthermore, by $\|z\|_{2}=1$, we have $z_{1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{m}^{2}=z_{m+1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{m+n}^{2}=1 / 2$, i.e., $\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}=\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}=1 / \sqrt{2}$.

Let $x=z_{x} /\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}$ and $y=z_{y} /\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}$. Then $x=\sqrt{2} z_{x}, y=\sqrt{2} z_{y}$ and $\|x\|_{2}=\|y\|_{2}=1$. By (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}} & =\binom{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1} \mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1} \mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{q-1}}=\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1}\binom{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{q-1}}=\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1} \\
& =\sqrt{2}^{p+q-1} \lambda z=\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2} \lambda\binom{\sqrt{2} z_{x}}{\sqrt{2} z_{y}}=\sqrt{2}^{p+q-2} \lambda\binom{x}{y},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\sqrt{2}{ }^{p+q-2} \lambda$ is an $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ with the singular vector pair $(x, y)$.
(c) Let $\lambda=0$ be a $Z$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top} \neq 0$ be its a $Z$-eigenvector. Let $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$. Then $z=\left(z_{x}^{\top}, z_{y}^{\top}\right)^{\top}$ and (4.2) also holds. Suppose that $z_{x} \neq 0$ and $z_{y} \neq 0$. Let $x=z_{x} /\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}$ and $y=z_{y} /\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}$. Then $\|x\|_{2}=\|y\|_{2}=1$ and

$$
\binom{\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}}=\binom{\frac{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}^{p-1}\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}^{q}}}{\frac{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p} y^{q-1}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}^{q-1}}}=\binom{\frac{\lambda z_{x}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}^{p-1}\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}^{q}}}{\frac{\lambda z_{x}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}^{p}\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{2}^{q-1}}}=\binom{0}{0}=0\binom{x}{y}
$$

show that 0 is an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $(x, y)$.
Suppose that either $z_{x}$ or $z_{y}$ is a zero vector. If $z_{x}=0$, then by $z \neq 0$, we have $z_{y} \neq 0$. From (4.2), it can be seen that if $(x, y)$ is a singular vector pair of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with the singular value 0 , then $\|x\|_{2}=\|y\|_{2}=1, x=\alpha z_{x}$ and $y=\beta z_{y}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. By $\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{2}=0$, we have $\|x\|_{2}=0$, which implies that 0 cannot be a singular value of $\mathcal{A}$. Similarly, one can prove that 0 cannot be a singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ if $z_{y}=0$. Hence, the proof is completed.

Based on Theorem 4.2, one can find all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of a rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$ by calculating all $Z$-eigenvalues of its lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

### 4.2 Calculation of $l^{p, q}$-Singular Values via Two Lifting Square Tensors

Let $\mathcal{I}=\left(e_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be the identity rectangular tensor whose entries are defined as follows:

$$
e_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p} j_{1} \cdots j_{q}}= \begin{cases}1, & i_{1}=\cdots=i_{p}, j_{1}=\cdots=j_{q} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to verify that if both $p$ and $q$ are even, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I} x^{p-1} y^{q}=x^{[p-1]} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{I} x^{p} y^{q-1}=y^{[q-1]} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $\|x\|_{p}=1$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|y\|_{q}=1$.
Similarly, the lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(c_{t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{p+q}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{I}$ is an order $p+q$ dimension $m+n$ real tensor which is defined as follows:

$$
c_{t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{p+q}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } 1 \leq t_{1}=\cdots=t_{p} \leq m, m+1 \leq t_{p+1}=\cdots=t_{p+q} \leq m+n \\ 1, & \text { if } m+1 \leq t_{1}=\cdots=t_{q} \leq m+n, 1 \leq t_{q+1}=\cdots=t_{q+p} \leq m \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $z=\left(x^{\top}, y^{\top}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} z^{p+q-1}=\binom{\mathcal{I} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\mathcal{I} x^{p} y^{q-1}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determinant $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{A})$ of an order $m$ dimension $n$ tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is the resultant [3] of the system of homogeneous equations $\mathcal{A} x^{m-1}=0$, which is the unique polynomial on the entries of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying that $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{A})=0$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} x^{m-1}=0$ has a nonzero solution. In view of this, we call $\mathcal{A}$ a singular tensor if $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{A})=0$ and a nonsingular tensor if $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$. From (4.6), it is easy to verify that $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right)=0$ only when both $x$ and $y$ are zero vectors. Hence, $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right) \neq 0$.

Next, let us recall the generalized eigenvalue problem of tensor pairs which is introduced by Ding and Wei in [5]. Let $\mathbb{C}_{1,2}$ be the projective plane in which $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ are regarded as the same point, if there is a nonzero scalar $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)=\left(t \alpha_{2}, t \beta_{2}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two order $m$ dimension $n$ complex tensors. We call $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ a regular tensor pair if $\operatorname{det}(\beta \mathcal{A}-\alpha \mathcal{B}) \neq 0$ for some $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}_{1,2}$, and call $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ a singular tensor pair if $\operatorname{det}(\beta \mathcal{A}-\alpha \mathcal{B})=0$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}_{1,2}$.

Let $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ be a regular tensor pair. If there are $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}_{1,2}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\beta \mathcal{A} x^{m-1}=\alpha \mathcal{B} x^{m-1}
$$

then $(\alpha, \beta)$ is called an eigenvalue of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ and $x$ is called an eigenvector associated with $(\alpha, \beta)$. It is proved in [8, Theorem 3.1] that when $\mathcal{B}$ is nonsingular, i.e., $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0$, there is not a vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\mathcal{B} x^{m-1}=0$. This implies that $\beta \neq 0$ if $(\alpha, \beta)$ is an eigenvalue of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$. Hence, when $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0, \lambda=\alpha / \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an eigenvalue of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$, and

$$
\lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{A}-\lambda \mathcal{B})=0\}
$$

is called the spectrum, i.e., the set of all eigenvalues, of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$. Furthermore, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, then $\lambda$ is called an $H$-eigenvalue of $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ and $x$ is called its corresponding $H$-eigenvector [5].
Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[p ; q ; m ; n]}$ be partially symmetric with both $p$ and $q$ even.
(a) If $\lambda$ is an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $(x, y)$, then $\lambda$ is an $H$-eigenvalue of the regular tensor pair $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ and $z=\left(x^{\top}, y^{\top}\right)^{\top}$ is its corresponding $H$-eigenvector.
(b) Assume that $\lambda$ is an $H$-eigenvalue of the regular tensor pair $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ with corresponding $H$-eigenvector $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$. If $z_{x}:=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{\top} \neq 0$ and $z_{y}:=\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top} \neq 0$, then $\lambda$ is an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $\left(z_{x} /\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}, z_{y} /\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{q}\right)$. If $z_{x}=0$ or $z_{y}=0$, then $\lambda$ is not an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (a) If $\lambda$ is an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding singular vectors pair $(x, y)$, then $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$, and hence $z=\left(x^{\top}, y^{\top}\right)^{\top} \neq 0$. By (4.1), (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}=\binom{\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}}=\binom{\lambda x^{[p-1]}}{\lambda y^{[q-1]}}=\binom{\lambda \mathcal{I} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\lambda \mathcal{I} x^{p} y^{q-1}}=\lambda \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} z^{p+q-1},
$$

which implies that $\lambda$ is an $H$-eigenvalue of the regular tensor pair $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ and $z=\left(x^{\top}, y^{\top}\right)^{\top}$ is an $H$-eigenvector associated with $\lambda$. Here, $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ is a regular tensor pair because $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(0 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}-(-1) \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right) \neq 0$ for $(0,-1) \in \mathbb{C}_{1,2}$.
(b) Let $\lambda$ be an $H$-eigenvalue of $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ and $z=\left(z_{x}^{\top}, z_{y}^{\top}\right)^{\top}$ be its corresponding $H$ eigenvector, where $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+n}\right)^{\top}$. By (4.1), (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{q-1}}=\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} z^{p+q-1}=\lambda \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} z^{p+q-1}=\lambda\binom{\mathcal{I} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\mathcal{I} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{-1}}=\binom{\lambda z_{x}^{p-1}}{\lambda z_{y}^{q-1}} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $z_{x} \neq 0$ and $z_{y} \neq 0$. Let $x=z_{x} /\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}$ and $y=z_{y} /\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{q}$. Then $\|x\|_{p}=1$ and $\|y\|_{q}=1$. Furthermore, by (4.5) and (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{\mathcal{A} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\mathcal{A} x^{p} y^{q-1}} & =\binom{\frac{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{q}^{q}}}{\frac{\mathcal{A} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{q-1}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}^{p} z_{y} \|_{q}^{q-1}}}=\binom{\frac{\lambda \mathcal{I}_{x}^{p-1} z_{y}^{q}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}\| \|_{z} \|_{q}^{q}}}{\frac{\lambda \mathcal{I} z_{x}^{p} z_{y}^{q-1}}{\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}^{p}\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{q}^{q-1}}} \\
& =\binom{\lambda \mathcal{I} x^{p-1} y^{q}}{\lambda \mathcal{I} x^{p} y^{q-1}}=\binom{\lambda x^{[p-1]}}{\lambda y^{[q-1]}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\lambda$ is an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ with the singular vectors pair $\left(z_{x} /\left\|z_{x}\right\|_{p}, z_{y} /\left\|z_{y}\right\|_{q}\right)$.

Assume that either $z_{x}$ or $z_{y}$ is a zero vector. If $z_{x}=0$, then by $z \neq 0$, we have $z_{y} \neq 0$. From (4.7), it can be seen that if $(x, y)$ is an $l^{p, q_{-s i n g u l a r ~ v e c t o r s ~ p a i r ~ o f ~}^{\mathcal{A}} \text { associated with }}$ $\lambda$, then $\|x\|_{p}=\|y\|_{q}=1, x=\eta_{1} z_{x}$ and $y=\eta_{2} z_{y}$, where $\eta_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. By $z_{x}=0$, we have $\|x\|_{p}=0$, which implies that $\lambda$ cannot be an $l^{p, q}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$. Similarly, one can prove that $\lambda$ cannot be a singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ if $z_{y}=0$. Hence, the proof is completed.

Based on Theorem 4.3, one can find all $l^{p, q}$-singular values of a rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$ by calculating all $H$-eigenvalues of its lifting square tensor pair $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$.

## 5 Numerical Examples

In this section, two numerical examples are given to verify the theoretical results.
Example 5.1. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} j_{1} j_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 2]}$ be a partially symmetric rectangular tensor with entries defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1111}=a_{2222}=10, a_{1112}=a_{1121}=-1, a_{1122}=a_{2211}=9, a_{1211}=a_{2111}=-1 \\
a_{1212}=a_{1221}=a_{2112}=a_{2121}=-2, a_{1222}=a_{2122}=-1, a_{2212}=a_{2221}=-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously, $p=q=m=n=2$.
I. Localization for all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$.

We first consider the localization of all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{l}_{1}=\tilde{l}_{2}=\hat{l}_{1}=\hat{l}_{2}=1 \text { and } \tilde{u}_{1}=\tilde{u}_{2}=\hat{u}_{1}=\hat{u}_{2}=18 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\Gamma(\mathcal{A})=[1,18] .
$$

II. Secondly, the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{A}$ is considered.

By (5.1) and Theorem 2.5, one can judge that $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite.
III. Finally, we find all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$.

By computation, all entries of the lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(c_{i j k l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[4,4]}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1133} & =c_{2244}=c_{3311}=c_{4422}=10, \\
c_{1134} & =c_{1143}=c_{1233}=c_{1244}=c_{2133}=c_{2144}=c_{2234}=c_{2243} \\
& =c_{3312}=c_{3321}=c_{3411}=c_{3422}=c_{4311}=c_{4322}=c_{4412}=c_{4421}=-1, \\
c_{1144} & =c_{2233}=c_{3322}=c_{4411}=9, \\
c_{1234} & =c_{1243}=c_{2134}=c_{2143}=c_{3412}=c_{3421}=c_{4312}=c_{4321}=-2,
\end{aligned}
$$

and other $c_{i j k l}=0$. Calculating all $Z$-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by using zeig from the MATLAB toolbox 'TenEig', we get $80 Z$-eigenvalues counting multiplicity and their corresponding $Z$ eigenvectors. The $80 Z$-eigenvalues are 0 (multiplicity 48), 2.7500 (multiplicity 4), 4.7500 (multiplicity 4), 4.8333 (multiplicity 8 ), 5.2000 (multiplicity 8) and 5.7500 (multiplicity 8). All different $Z$-eigenvalues and their parts of $Z$-eigenvectors of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are listed in Table 1:

Table 1
$Z$-eigenvalues $\lambda_{z}$ and their parts of $Z$-eigenvectors $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{\top}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

| $\lambda_{z}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.0040 | 1.0000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.7500 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |
| 4.7500 | 0.5000 | -0.5000 | 0.5000 | -0.5000 |
| 4.8333 | 0.6606 | -0.2523 | 0.2523 | -0.6606 |
| 5.2000 | 0.1445 | -0.6922 | 0.1445 | -0.6922 |
| 5.7500 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | -0.5000 |

Table 1 shows that $z=(0.0040,1.0000,0,0)^{\top}$ is a $Z$-eigenvector associated with the $Z$ eigenvalue $\lambda_{z}=0$. Let $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{\top}$. Then $z_{x}=(0.0040,1.0000)^{\top} \neq 0$ and $z_{y}=(0,0)^{\top}=0$. In fact, all $Z$-eigenvectors $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{\top}$ associated with the $Z$-eigenvalue 0 have the characteristic: either $z_{x}=0$ or $z_{y}=0$. By Theorem 4.2, it follows that 0 is not an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\lambda$ be an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(x, y)$ be its a singular vectors pair. By Theorem $4.2, \lambda=2 \lambda_{z}, x=\sqrt{2} z_{x}$ and $y=\sqrt{2} z_{y}$. From this, we can get all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ and their corresponding singular vectors pairs. All different $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ and parts of their singular vector pairs (corresponding to those data in Table 1) are listed in Table 2:

Table 2
All $l^{2,2}$-singular values $\lambda$ and their parts of singular vectors pairs $(x, y)$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

| $\lambda$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.5000 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 |
| 9.5000 | 0.7071 | -0.7071 | 0.7071 | -0.7071 |
| 9.6667 | 0.9342 | -0.3568 | 0.3568 | -0.9342 |
| 10.4000 | 0.2043 | -0.9789 | 0.2043 | -0.9789 |
| 11.5000 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 | -0.7071 |

Table 2 shows that all different $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ are 5.5000, 9.5000, 9.6667, $10.4000,11.5000$, which verifies Theorem 2.4, that is, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 5.2. Consider the nonnegative rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} j_{1} j_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{[2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 2]}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1111}=a_{2222}=2, a_{1112}=a_{1121}=1, a_{1122}=a_{2211}=2, a_{1211}=a_{2111}=1, \\
a_{1212}=a_{1221}=a_{2112}=a_{2121}=1, a_{1222}=a_{2122}=1, a_{2212}=a_{2221}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

I. Calculation of all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$.

By computation, all entries of the lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(c_{i j k l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{[4,4]}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1133} & =c_{1144}=c_{2233}=c_{2244}=c_{3311}=c_{3322}=c_{4411}=c_{4422}=2 \\
c_{1134} & =c_{1143}=c_{1233}=c_{1234}=c_{1243}=c_{1244}=c_{2133}=c_{2134}=c_{2143}=c_{2144}=c_{2234}=c_{2243} \\
& =c_{3312}=c_{3321}=c_{3411}=c_{3412}=c_{3421}=c_{3422}=c_{4311}=c_{4312}=c_{4321}=c_{4322}=c_{4412} \\
& =c_{4421}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

and other $c_{i j k l}=0$. Calculating all $Z$-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by using zeig from the MATLAB toolbox 'TenEig', we get $48 Z$-eigenvalues counting multiplicity, which are 0 (multiplicity 32), 0.5000 (multiplicity 12) and 2.5000 (multiplicity 4). All different $Z$-eigenvalues and their parts of $Z$-eigenvectors of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are listed in Table 3:

Table 3
$Z$-eigenvalues $\lambda_{z}$ and their parts of $Z$-eigenvectors $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{\top}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

| $\lambda_{z}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.7071 | -0.7071 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.5000 | 0.5000 | -0.5000 | 0.5000 | -0.5000 |
| 2.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |

Table 3 shows that $z=(0.7071,-0.7071,0,0)^{\top}$ is a $Z$-eigenvector associated with the $Z$ eigenvalue $\lambda_{z}=0$. Let $z_{x}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)^{\top}$ and $z_{y}=\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{\top}$. Then $z_{x}=(0.7071,-0.7071)^{\top} \neq 0$ and $z_{y}=(0,0)^{\top}=0$. In fact, all $Z$-eigenvectors $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{\top}$ associated with the $Z$-eigenvalue 0 have the characteristic: either $z_{x}=0$ or $z_{y}=0$. By Theorem 4.2, it follows that 0 is not an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\lambda$ be an $l^{2,2}$-singular value of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(x, y)$ be its a singular vectors pair. By Theorem $4.2, \lambda=2 \lambda_{z}, x=\sqrt{2} z_{x}$ and $y=\sqrt{2} z_{y}$. From this, we can get all $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ and their corresponding singular vectors pairs. All different $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$ and parts of their singular vector pairs (corresponding to those data in Table 3) are listed in Table 4:

Table 4
All $l^{2,2}$-singular values $\lambda$ and their parts of singular vectors pairs $(x, y)$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

| $\lambda$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.0000 | 0.7071 | -0.7071 | 0.7071 | -0.7071 |
| 5.0000 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 | 0.7071 |

Table 4 shows that by calculating all $Z$-eigenvalues of its lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{[4,4]}$, we find all different $l^{2,2}$-singular values of $\mathcal{A}$, they are 1.0000 and 5.0000.
II. Bounds for the $l^{2,2}$-spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}$.

By Lemma 1.3, i.e., Corollary 3.3 of [11], we have

$$
\rho_{2,2}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 10
$$

By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
5 \leq \rho_{2,2}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 8
$$

which shows that the upper bound is smaller than that in Corollary 3.3 of [11] and that the lower bound can reach the exact value of $l^{2,2}$-spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}$ in some case.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we first in Theorem 2.1 constructed an $l^{p, q}$-singular value inclusion interval $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta)$ with two parameter vectors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for a real rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$. Subsequently, by selecting appropriate parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we derived the optimal singular value inclusion interval $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ in Theorem 2.4, which provides a sufficient condition for the positive definiteness of a real partially symmetric rectangular tensor in Theorem 2.5. Based on the intervals in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 of [11], we in Theorem 3.3 gave the lower and upper bounds for the $l^{p, q}$-spectral radius $\rho_{p, q}(\mathcal{A})$ of a nonnegative rectangular tensor $\mathcal{A}$. In order to find all $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$, we in Theorem 4.2 derived the relationship between $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and $Z$-eigenpairs of its lifting square tensor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and used the relationship to find all $l^{2,2}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$, which is verified to be feasible by Example 5.1. Similarly, in order to find all $l^{p, q}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$,
we converted the $l^{p, q_{-}}$-singular value problem of $\mathcal{A}$ to generalized eigenvalue problem of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$, and in Theorem 4.3 derived the relationship between $l^{p, q}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$ and $H$-eigenvalues/eigenvectors of its lifting square tensor pair $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$, which provides an alternative method to find all $l^{p, q}$-singular values/vectors of $\mathcal{A}$.
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