



SEMICIRCULAR-LIKE AND SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS INDUCED BY p -ADIC ANALYTIC DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

ILWOO CHO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study semicircular-like elements, and semicircular elements induced by semigroup dynamical systems of p -adic number fields \mathbb{Q}_p over a unital C^* -probability space (A, ψ) , for all primes p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Remark that, for studying geometry with “very small” distance, the p -adic-analytic structures have been widely used in quantum physics (e.g., see [31]; also see [5, 9, 10, 11] and [30]). In this paper, we are interested in certain quantum observables dictated by geometry with very small distance (which are self-adjoint operators in certain Banach $*$ -algebras), equipped with their quantum-statistical data followed by the *semicircular(-like) law(s)*. In noncommutative statistical operator-algebraic structures, the semicircular law plays a key role in theories by the *central limit theorem* (e.g., see [35], also see [1, 2, 18, 23, 32] and [34]); i.e., the semicircular law (as the free distributions of semicircular elements in free-probability-theory point of view) is understood as the noncommutative version of the *Gaussian distribution* (or, the *normal distribution* in classical-statistics point of view).

The *weighted-semicircular elements* (whose free distributions are semicircular-like laws), and the corresponding *semicircular elements* (whose free distributions are the semicircular law) induced from the p -adic analysis on the p -adic number fields \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, have been studied in our earlier works [8, 9, 11] and [12], where \mathcal{P} is the set of all *primes* in the set \mathbb{N} of all *natural numbers*.

But, here, we generalize the main constructions-and-results of [8, 9] and [12], and universalize the theories of them under *dynamical system*. The main purpose of this paper is to construct-and-study *weighted-semicircular elements* and *semicircular elements* induced by certain *semigroup dynamical systems* of the σ -algebras $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of the p -adic number fields \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, by regarding $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as a *semigroup*.

$$\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p) = (\sigma_p(\mathbb{Q}_p), \cap),$$

where \cap is the usual *set-intersection*.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05E15, 11R47, 46L54, 47L30, 47L55.

Key words and phrases. Free Probability, Unital C^* -Probability Spaces, Semigroup Dynamical Systems, Crossed Product C^* -Algebras, p -Adic Number Fields \mathbb{Q}_p , Weighted-Semicircular Elements, Semicircular Elements.

Let S be an arbitrary *semigroup*, and assume that there exists a well-defined *injective map*,

$$\pi \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A),$$

where A is a *topological *-algebra* (C^* -algebra, or W^* -algebra, or *Banach *-algebra*, etc.) such that:

$$\pi(s) \in \text{Hom}(A),$$

for all $s \in S$, and

$$\pi(s_1 s_2) = \pi(s_1)\pi(s_2) \text{ on } A,$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$, where $s_1 s_2$ is a semigroup-product of s_1 and s_2 in S , and $\pi(s_1)\pi(s_2)$ means the product (or composition) of $\pi(s_1)$ and $\pi(s_2)$ in $\text{Hom}(A)$, and where

$$\text{Hom}(A) = \left\{ \beta : A \rightarrow A \left| \begin{array}{l} \beta \text{ is a} \\ \text{*}-\text{homomorphism} \\ \text{on } A \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then one can obtain the corresponding *semigroup dynamical system*,

$$(A, S, \pi).$$

For such a dynamical system (A, S, π) , one can construct the corresponding *crossed product topological *-algebra*,

$$\mathfrak{A}_S = A \times_{\pi} S,$$

generated by A and $\pi(S)$, satisfying the π -relation:

$$(a_1, s_1)(a_2, s_2) = (a_1\pi(s_1)(a_2), s_1 s_2),$$

and

$$(a_1, s_1)^* = (\pi(a_1^*), s_1),$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$, and $s_1, s_2 \in S$.

Here, for a fixed *unital C^* -probability space* (A, ψ) , we construct a suitable semi-group dynamical system,

$$(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \theta^p),$$

and corresponding *crossed product C^* -algebra* \mathfrak{A}_p ,

$$\mathfrak{A}_p = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p) \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where M_p is the C^* -algebra of measurable functions on \mathbb{Q}_p .

We study how \mathfrak{A}_p generate *weighted-semicircular elements* and *semicircular elements*. In particular, our weighted-semicircular elements will imply both free-distributional data on (A, ψ) , and p -adic-analytic information on \mathbb{Q}_p , for $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Our main results illustrate close connections among *number theory*, *operator theory*, *operator algebra theory*, *representation theory*, *theory of dynamical systems*, via *free probability theory*.

1.1. Remark: Non-Traditional & Traditional Approaches. Note that the (usual, or traditional) free probability theory provides noncommutative operator-algebraic version of *measure theory* and *statistics* (e.g., [10, 12, 23] through [29], and [31] through [35]). But the **-algebra* \mathcal{M}_p and corresponding *C*-algebra* M_p in the sense of [8, 11] and [12] are “commutative,” (Also, see Sections 3, 4 and 5 below), and hence, they have commutative *functional analysis* (determined up to suitable *linear functionals* on them). But, we applied free-probability-theoretic “methods,” “tools,” and “concepts” to study such *analysis* on these algebras, non-traditionally because we were only interested in operators having their distributional data, the semicircular(-like) law(s) there. Remark that, under such “non-traditional” senses, free probability theory well-covers commutative operator-algebraic analysis, however, *freeness* on commutative structures is trivial (which is not interesting in free-probabilistic, operator-algebra point of view), while the operators equipped with the semicircular(like) law(s) are nicely characterized-and-explained by free-probabilistic settings and language. In fact, in [8] and [11], by taking *free product* on our commutative structures, we considered “traditional” free probability on noncommutative free product algebras, and realized that our (weighted-)semicircular elements (of [12]) are well-fit for traditional free probability theory.

In this paper, with help of (non-traditional) free-probability-theoretic approaches of [8, 9, 11] and [12], we directly work on “traditional” free-probability-theoretic structures determined by *dynamical systems*.

1.2. Preview and Motivation. Relations between number theory and operator algebra theory have been studied in various different approaches (e.g., [5, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 30] and [31]). Especially, we cannot help emphasizing close connections between *primes* and *operators*. For example, in [10], we studied operator theory on number-theoretic *Hecke algebras* $\mathcal{H}(GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, where $GL_2(X)$ mean the *general linear groups* consisting of all invertible (2×2) -matrices over X , via *representation theory* and (traditional) free probability theory.

In [12], the author and Jorgensen constructed weighted-semicircular elements, and corresponding semicircular elements in a certain *Banach *-algebra* \mathfrak{LS}_p induced from the **-algebra* \mathcal{M}_p consisting of all *measurable functions* on a p -adic number fields \mathbb{Q}_p , for $p \in \mathcal{P}$. For any fixed prime p , one can obtain $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many weighted-semicircular elements $Q_{p,j}$ in certain $|\mathbb{Z}|$ -many non-traditional Banach **-probability spaces* $\mathfrak{LS}_p(j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By doing suitable scalar-multiplication on $Q_{p,j}$'s, we obtained corresponding semicircular elements $\Theta_{p,j}$'s in $\mathfrak{LS}_p(j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In [8], the author constructed the *free product Banach *-probability space* \mathfrak{LS} of the system $\{\mathfrak{LS}_p(j)\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, over both primes and integers (which is a traditional free-probability-theoretic structure), and studied weighted-semicircular elements $Q_{p,j}$'s, and semicircular elements $\Theta_{p,j}$'s in \mathfrak{LS} , as *free generators* of \mathfrak{LS} . The free distributions of *free reduced words* in $Q_{p,j}$'s, or $\Theta_{p,j}$'s were considered there. The main results of [8] demonstrate that the non-traditionally obtained semicircular(-like) elements of [12] are indeed semicircular(-like) elements traditionally.

As an application of [8], in [11], we studied *free stochastic calculus* on \mathfrak{LS} for the *free stochastic motions* (or *free stochastic processes*) determined by the weighted-semicircular laws, and the semicircular law of [8].

In this paper, we will extend the foundations of [8, 11] and [12], and generalize the results of them under dynamical systems in the traditional free-probability-theoretic senses.

1.3. Overview. In Sections 2, we briefly introduce backgrounds of our works: free probability theory, and p -adic analysis. Our (non-traditional) free-probabilistic models on \mathcal{M}_p is established and considered in Sections 3. And then, we construct suitable Hilbert-space representations of \mathcal{M}_p , preserving the free-distributional data of Section 3 implying number-theoretic information in Section 4. Under representation, the C^* -algebras M_p and corresponding (non-traditional) C^* -probabilistic structures are studied in Section 5.

In Section 6, from non-traditional C^* -probabilistic structures of Section 5, we construct (traditional) C^* -probability spaces $(\mathfrak{A}_p, \psi_{p,j})$ induced by *semigroup-dynamical systems*

$$((A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p), \sigma(p), \theta^p)$$

of the semigroup

$$\sigma(p) = (\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \cap),$$

the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with the usual set-intersection \cap , acting on a unital C^* -probability space (A, ψ) , where

$$\mathfrak{A}_p = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p) \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(p)$$

are the *crossed product C^* -algebras* of the semigroup-dynamical systems. We study free probability on \mathfrak{A}_p .

In Sections 7 and 8, the weighted-semicircular elements, implying free distributions from (A, ψ) , and p -adic analysis from M_p , are established-and-studied. Under suitable additional conditions, semicircular elements are naturally obtained from our weighted-semicircular elements.

In Sections 9, we universalize the weighted-semicircularity and the corresponding semicircularity of Section 8 under *free product*.

The free-distributional data of *free reduced words* in our weighted-semicircular elements, and those in semicircular elements, are computed in Section 10.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly introduce backgrounds of our proceeding works. For more about pure number-theoretic motivations, and backgrounds, e.g., see [16] and [17].

2.1. Free Probability. Readers can review fundamental combinatorial-and-analytic *free probability* from [26] and [35]. *Free probability* is understood as the noncommutative operator-algebraic version of classical *measure theory* and *statistics*. The classical *independence* is replaced to be the *freeness* by replacing *measures* on sets to *linear functionals* on algebras. It has various applications not only in pure mathematics (e.g., [23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33] and [34]), but also in related fields ([6, 4] through [12]).

Here, we will use *combinatorial free probability theory* of Speicher (e.g., [26]). Especially, in the text, without introducing detailed definitions and combinatorial backgrounds, *free moments* and *free cumulants* of operators will be computed. Also,

the free product of noncommutative (free) probability spaces is considered without detailed introduction.

2.2. Calculus on \mathbb{Q}_p . For more about *p-adic analysis* and *Adelic analysis*, see [30] and [31]. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be a prime, and let \mathbb{Q} be the set of all rational numbers. Define a non-Archimedean norm $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q} by

$$|x|_p = \left| p^k \frac{a}{b} \right|_p = \frac{1}{p^k},$$

whenever $x = p^k \frac{a}{b}$, where $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We call $|\cdot|_p$, the *p-norm on \mathbb{Q}* , for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$. For instance,

$$\left| \frac{8}{3} \right|_2 = \left| 2^3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right|_2 = \frac{1}{2^3} = \frac{1}{8},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{8}{3} \right|_3 = |3^{-1} \cdot 8|_3 = \frac{1}{3^{-1}} = 3,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{8}{3} \right|_q = \frac{1}{q^0} = 1, \text{ whenever } q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}.$$

The *p-adic number fields* \mathbb{Q}_p are the maximal *p-norm* closures in \mathbb{Q} . So, under norm topology, the set \mathbb{Q}_p forms a *Banach space* (e.g., [31]).

All elements x of \mathbb{Q}_p are expressed by

$$x = \sum_{k=-N}^{\infty} x_k p^k, \text{ with } x_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\},$$

for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, decomposed by

$$x = \sum_{l=-N}^{-1} x_l p^l + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^k.$$

If $x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^k$ in \mathbb{Q}_p , then we call x , a *p-adic integer*. Remark that, $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a *p-adic integer*, if and only if $|x|_p \leq 1$. So, by collecting all *p-adic integers* in \mathbb{Q}_p , one can define the *unit disk \mathbb{Z}_p of \mathbb{Q}_p* ,

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p \leq 1\}.$$

Under the *p-adic addition* and the *p-adic multiplication* in the sense of [31], this Banach space \mathbb{Q}_p forms a *ring* algebraically, i.e., \mathbb{Q}_p is a *Banach ring*.

Also, one can understand this *Banach ring \mathbb{Q}_p* as a *measure space*,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = (\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p),$$

where $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the σ -algebra of \mathbb{Q}_p , consisting of all μ_p -measurable subsets, where μ_p is a left-and-right additive invariant *Haar measure* on \mathbb{Q}_p , satisfying

$$\mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1.$$

If we define

$$(2.1) \quad U_k = p^k \mathbb{Z}_p = \{p^k x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : x \in \mathbb{Z}_p\},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then these μ_p -measurable subsets U_k 's of (2.1) satisfy

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k,$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_p(U_k) = \frac{1}{p^k} = \mu_p(x + U_k), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

and

$$\cdots \subset U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p \subset U_{-1} \subset U_{-2} \subset \cdots.$$

i.e., the family $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of (2.1) forms a *basis* of the Banach topology for \mathbb{Q}_p (e.g., [31]).

Define now subsets $\partial_k \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_k = U_k \setminus U_{k+1}, \text{ for all } k \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

We call such μ_p -measurable subsets ∂_k , the k -th *boundaries* (of U_k) in \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (2.2) and (2.3), one obtains that

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \partial_k,$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_p(\partial_k) = \mu_p(U_k) - \mu_p(U_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p^{k+1}},$$

and

$$\partial_{k_1} \cap \partial_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \partial_{k_1},$$

for all $k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, where \sqcup means the *disjoint union*.

Now, let \mathcal{M}_p be the (pure-algebraic) *algebra*,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{M}_p = \mathbb{C} [\{\chi_S : S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)\}],$$

where χ_S are the usual *characteristic functions* of μ_p -measurable subsets S of \mathbb{Q}_p .

So, $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, if and only if

$$(2.5)' \quad f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S, \text{ with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

where \sum is the *finite sum*.

Remark that the algebra \mathcal{M}_p of (2.5) forms a **-algebra over* \mathbb{C} , with its well-defined *adjoint*,

$$\left(\sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \right)^* \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \overline{t_S} \chi_S,$$

where $t_S \in \mathbb{C}$ with their *conjugates* $\overline{t_S}$ in \mathbb{C} .

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ be in the sense of (2.5)'. Then one can define the *p-adic integral* of f by

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \mu_p(S).$$

Note that, by (2.4), if $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then there exists a unique subset Λ_S of \mathbb{Z} , such that

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda_S = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid S \cap \partial_j \neq \emptyset\},$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} d\mu_p \\ \text{(by (2.6))} \quad &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \\ (2.8) \quad &\leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (2.4), for the subset Λ_S of \mathbb{Z} of (2.7).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and let $\chi_S \in \mathcal{M}_p$. Then there exist $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that*

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_S, \text{ and} \\ \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

Proof. The existence of r_j and the p -adic integration in (2.9) is guaranteed by (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8). \square

3. ANALYSIS ON \mathcal{M}_p

Throughout this section, fix a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and \mathbb{Q}_p , the corresponding p -adic number field, and let \mathcal{M}_p be the $*$ -algebra (2.5). In this section, we establish a suitable (non-traditional) free-probabilistic model on \mathcal{M}_p to study analysis on \mathcal{M}_p .

Let U_k and ∂_k be in the sense of (2.1), respectively, (2.3) in \mathbb{Q}_p , i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad U_k = p^k \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } \partial_k = U_k \setminus U_{k+1}, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define a linear functional $\varphi_p : \mathcal{M}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the p -adic integration (2.6),

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_p(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{M}_p.$$

Then, by (3.2), one naturally obtain that

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_j}) = \frac{1}{p^j}, \text{ and } \varphi_p(\chi_{\partial_j}) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (2.2), respectively, (2.4).

Definition 3.1. The pair $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ is called the (non-traditional) p -adic free probability space, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where φ_p is the linear functional (3.2) on \mathcal{M}_p .

Let U_k be in the sense of (3.1) in \mathbb{Q}_p , and $\chi_{U_k} \in \mathcal{M}_p$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}},$$

by (2.2), where $\max\{k_1, k_2\}$ means the *maximum* in $\{k_1, k_2\}$. Thus, one can verify that

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_p \left(\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} \right) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}}.$$

Inductive to (3.3), we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{U_{j_l}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{j_1, \dots, j_N\}}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{U_{j_l}} \right) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{j_1, \dots, j_N\}}}.$$

Proof. The proof of (3.4) is done by induction on (3.3). □

Now, let ∂_k be the k -th boundary of (3.1) in \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, one obtains that

$$(3.5) \quad \chi_{\partial_{k_1}} \chi_{\partial_{k_2}} = \chi_{\partial_{k_1} \cap \partial_{k_2}} = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \chi_{\partial_{k_1}}, \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_p \left(\chi_{\partial_{k_1}} \chi_{\partial_{k_2}} \right) = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \left(\frac{1}{p^{k_1}} - \frac{1}{p^{k_1+1}} \right),$$

where δ is the *Kronecker delta*.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{\partial_{j_l}} = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} \chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{\partial_{j_l}} \right) = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j_1}} - \frac{1}{p^{j_1+1}} \right),$$

where

$$\delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} = \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} \delta_{j_l, j_{l+1}} \right) (\delta_{j_N, j_1}).$$

Proof. The proof of (3.6) is done by induction on (3.5). □

Thus, one can get that, for any $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_p(\chi_S) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

by (3.6), where $0 \leq r_j \leq 1$ are in the sense of (2.9), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Also, if $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2} &= \left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{S_1}} \chi_{S_1 \cap \partial_k} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_2}} \chi_{S_2 \cap \partial_j} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Lambda_{S_1} \times \Lambda_{S_2}} (\chi_{S_1 \cap \partial_k} \chi_{S_2 \cap \partial_j}) \\
 (3.8) \quad &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Lambda_{S_1} \times \Lambda_{S_2}} \delta_{k,j} \chi_{(S_1 \cap S_2) \cap \partial_j} \\
 &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} \chi_{(S_1 \cap S_2) \cap \partial_j},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2}.$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), one can get that there exist $w_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad &0 \leq w_j \leq 1, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}, \text{ and} \\
 &\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} w_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In (3.9), definitely, if Λ_{S_1, S_2} is empty, then $\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2}) = 0$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and let $\chi_{S_l} \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let*

$$\Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} = \bigcap_{l=1}^N \Lambda_{S_l} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z},$$

where Λ_{S_l} are in the sense of (2.7), for $l = 1, \dots, N$. Then there exist $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad &0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}, \text{ and} \\
 &\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of (3.10) is done by induction on (3.9). □

The above free-moment formula (3.10) provides a universal tool to compute the distributional data of elements in our p -adic free probability space $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$.

4. REPRESENTATIONS OF $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$

Fix a prime p in \mathcal{P} . Let $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ be the p -adic free probability space. By understanding \mathbb{Q}_p as a measure space, construct the L^2 -space of \mathbb{Q}_p ,

$$(4.1) \quad H_p \stackrel{def}{=} L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p) = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

over \mathbb{C} , consisting of all square-integrable μ_p -measurable functions on \mathbb{Q}_p . Then this L^2 -space is a well-defined Hilbert space equipped with its inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$,

$$(4.2) \quad \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_2 \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1 h_2^* d\mu_p,$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \in H_p$.

The L^2 -space H_p of (4.1) is the $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm completion in \mathcal{M}_p , where

$$\|f\|_2 \stackrel{def}{=} \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_2}, \text{ for all } f \in H_p,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is the inner product (4.2) on H_p .

Definition 4.1. We call the Hilbert space H_p of (4.1), the p -adic Hilbert space.

By the definition (4.1) of the p -adic Hilbert space H_p , our $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p acts on H_p , via an algebra-action α^p ,

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha^p(f)(h) = fh, \text{ for all } h \in H_p,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$. i.e., for any $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, the image $\alpha^p(f)$ is a multiplication operator on H_p with its symbol f contained in the operator algebra $B(H_p)$ of all bounded linear operators on H_p .

Notation. Denote $\alpha^p(f)$ by α_f^p , for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$. Also, for convenience, denote $\alpha_{\chi_S}^p$ simply by α_S^p , for all $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For instance,

$$\alpha_{U_k}^p = \alpha_{\chi_{U_k}}^p = \alpha^p(\chi_{U_k}),$$

and

$$\alpha_{\partial_k}^p = \alpha_{\chi_{\partial_k}}^p = \alpha^p(\chi_{\partial_k}),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where U_k and ∂_k are in the sense of (3.1), for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By the definition (4.3), the linear morphism α^p is a well-determined $*$ -algebra-action of \mathcal{M}_p acting on H_p , equivalently, it is a well-defined $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{M}_p into $B(H_p)$; Indeed, it is not difficult to check that

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha_{f_1 f_2}^p = \alpha_{f_1}^p \alpha_{f_2}^p$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$; and

$$(4.5) \quad (\alpha_f^p)^* = \alpha_{f^*}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$.

Proposition 4.2. The linear morphism α^p of (4.3) is a well-defined $*$ -algebra-action of \mathcal{M}_p acting on H_p . Equivalently, the pair (H_p, α^p) is a well-determined Hilbert-space representation of \mathcal{M}_p .

Proof. By (4.4) and (4.5), the morphism α^p of (4.3) is a bounded $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{M}_p to $B(H_p)$. So, the pair (H_p, α^p) is a Hilbert-space representation of \mathcal{M}_p . □

Definition 4.3. The Hilbert-space representation (H_p, α^p) is said to be the p -adic representation of \mathcal{M}_p .

Depending on the p -adic representation (H_p, α^p) of \mathcal{M}_p , one can construct the C^* -algebra M_p in the operator algebra $B(H_p)$.

Definition 4.4. Let M_p be the operator-norm closure of \mathcal{M}_p in the operator algebra $B(H_p)$, i.e.,

$$(4.6) \quad M_p \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\alpha^p(\mathcal{M}_p)} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[\alpha_f^p : f \in \mathcal{M}_p]} \text{ in } B(H_p),$$

where \overline{X} mean the operator-norm closures of subsets X of $B(H_p)$. Then this C^* -subalgebra M_p of $B(H_p)$ is called the p -adic C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$.

5. FREE-PROBABILISTIC MODELS ON M_p

Throughout this section, let's fix a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ be the p -adic free probability space. And let M_p be the p -adic C^* -algebra (4.6) determined by the p -adic representation $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$. We here consider suitable (non-traditional) free-probabilistic models on M_p .

Define a linear functional $\varphi_j^p : M_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by a linear morphism,

$$(5.1) \quad \varphi_j^p(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \alpha_a^p(\chi_{\partial_j}), \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2, \text{ for all } a \in M_p,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is the inner product (4.2) on the p -adic Hilbert space H_p of (4.1), and where $\{\partial_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the boundaries of \mathbb{Q}_p .

First, remark that, if $a \in M_p$, then

$$a = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \text{ in } M_p,$$

where \sum is finite or infinite (limit of finite) sum(s) under C^* -topology of M_p .

Definition 5.1. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let φ_j^p be the linear functional (5.1) on the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p . Then the pair (M_p, φ_j^p) is said to be the (non-traditional) j -th p -adic C^* -probability space.

So, one can get the system

$$\{(M_p, \varphi_j^p) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of the j -th p -adic C^* -probability spaces.

Now, fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and take the corresponding j -th p -adic C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) . For $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and a generating operator $\alpha_S^p \in M_p$, one has that

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_j^p(\alpha_S^p) &= \langle \alpha_S^p(\chi_{\partial_j}), \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \chi_{S \cap \partial_j}, \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} d\mu_p \\ &= \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \\ &= r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 5.2. *Let $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\alpha_S^p = \alpha_{\chi_S}^p \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $r_S \in \mathbb{R}$, such that*

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &0 \leq r_S \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \\ &\text{and} \\ &\varphi_j^p((\alpha_S^p)^n) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Remark that the generating operator α_S^p is a projection in M_p , in the sense that:

$$(\alpha_S^p)^* = \alpha_S^p = (\alpha_S^p)^2, \text{ in } M_p.$$

So,

$$(\alpha_S^p)^n = \alpha_S^p, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varphi_j^p((\alpha_S^p)^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_S^p) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for some $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R} , by (5.2). □

The above formula (5.3) characterizes the distributions of the projections α_S^p in the j -th p -adic C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) , for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

6. SEMIGROUP DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS INDUCED BY \mathbb{Q}_p

In this section, we study dynamical systems induced by the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of a p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p , for $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Throughout this section, let's fix a *unital C^* -probability space* (A, ψ) of a noncommutative C^* -algebra A in an *operator algebra* $B(H)$ (consisting of all bounded operators on H), where H is a Hilbert space where A acts, and a bounded linear functional ψ on A , satisfying

$$\psi(1_A) = 1,$$

where 1_A is the *unit* (or the *multiplication-identity*) of A .

Let M_p be our p -adic C^* -algebra (4.6) in the operator algebra $B(H_p)$, where H_p is the p -adic Hilbert space of Section 4.

Define now a new C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p by the *tensor product C^* -algebra* $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p$ in the operator algebra $B(H \otimes H_p)$, where $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the tensor product of C^* -algebras, and \otimes is the tensor product of Hilbert spaces, i.e.,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p \subset B(\mathcal{H}_p),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_p = H \otimes H_p$.

Now, understand $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as a *semigroup* $(\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \cap)$, where \cap is the usual *set-intersection*. We denote this semigroup simply by $\sigma(p)$, i.e.,

$$(6.2) \quad \sigma(p) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \cap).$$

Indeed, such an algebraic structure $\sigma(p)$ of (6.2) is a well-defined semigroup because \cap is not only well-defined, but also associative on $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Now, note that there exists an *injective map* $\pi^p : \sigma(p) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_p)$ assigning $S \in \sigma(p)$ to an operator,

$$(6.3) \quad \pi^p(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1_A \otimes \alpha_S^p \in \mathcal{A}_p,$$

in the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p of (6.1), where α^p is the representation (4.3), satisfying (4.4) and (4.5) on the p -adic Hilbert space H_p . Remark that, since we assumed that the given C^* -probability space (A, ψ) is unital, the unit $1_A \in A$ is well-determined, and hence, the morphism π^p of (6.3) is well-defined, injectively.

Then this morphism π^p of (6.3) satisfies that:

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^p(S_1 \cap S_2) &= \pi^p(S_1)\pi^p(S_2) \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_p, \\ &\text{and} \\ \pi^p(S)^* &= \pi^p(S) \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_p, \end{aligned}$$

for all $S, S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(p)$.

Since the map π^p of (6.3) is well-determined satisfying (6.4), one can define a *semigroup-action* θ^p of the semigroup $\sigma(p)$ of (6.2) acting on the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p by

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\theta^p(S))(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) &= \pi^p(S)^*(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p)\pi^p(S) \\ &= a \otimes \alpha_S^p \alpha_Y^p \alpha_S^p = a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $S, Y \in \sigma(p)$, in \mathcal{A}_p .

Notation. For convenience, we denote the images $\theta^p(S)$ by $\theta_S^p, \forall S \in \sigma(p)$.

Let θ^p be the morphism (6.5). Then

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{S_1 \cap S_2}^p(a \otimes \alpha_Y) &= a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S_1 \cap S_2}^p \\ &= a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S_2 \cap S_1}^p = \left(a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S_2}^p\right) \left(1_A \otimes \alpha_{S_1}^p\right) \\ &= \left(\theta_{S_1}^p(a)\right) \left(1_A \otimes \alpha_Y^p \alpha_{S_2}^p\right) = \theta_{S_1}^p \left(\theta_{S_2}^p(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p)\right) \\ &= \left(\theta_{S_1}^p \theta_{S_2}^p\right) (a \otimes \alpha_Y^p), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(p)$, i.e.,

$$(6.6) \quad \theta_{S_1 \cap S_2}^p = \theta_{S_1}^p \theta_{S_2}^p \text{ on } A, \forall S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(p).$$

Also, one can get that

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_S^p \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p)^* \right) &= \theta_S^p \left(a^* \otimes \alpha_Y^p \right) = a^* \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p \\ &= \left(a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p \right)^* = \left(\theta_S^p \left(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p \right) \right)^*, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$, and $Y, S \in \sigma(p)$, i.e.,

$$(6.7) \quad \theta_S^p (T^*) = \left(\theta_S^p (T) \right)^*,$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{A}_p$.

By (6.6) and (6.7), indeed, the morphism θ^p of (6.5) forms a semigroup-action of $\sigma(p)$ acting on A in \mathcal{A}_p , because

$$(6.8) \quad \theta_S^p \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_p), \text{ for all } S \in \sigma(p),$$

where

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_p) = \left\{ \theta \left| \begin{array}{l} \theta \text{ is a bounded} \\ \text{*}-\text{homomorphism on } \mathcal{A}_p \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Now, construct the mathematical triple,

$$(6.9) \quad (\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p), \text{ for } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p)$ be a triple (6.9). Then it forms a semigroup C^* -dynamical system of $\sigma(p)$ acting on \mathcal{A}_p .*

Proof. It suffices to show that the morphism θ^p of (6.5) is a well-defined semigroup-action of $\sigma(p)$ acting on \mathcal{A}_p . But, it is shown by (6.8) with help of (6.6) and (6.7). \square

The above proposition shows that there exists a well-determined semigroup dynamical system (6.9) from the p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, whenever we fix a suitable C^* -probability space (A, ψ) .

Definition 6.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p)$ be a semigroup dynamical system (6.9) for $p \in \mathcal{P}$. We call it a p -adic (semigroup-) A -dynamical system of $\sigma(p)$ (on \mathcal{A}_p), where (A, ψ) is a fixed unital C^* -probability space.

For a p -adic A -dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p)$ of (6.9), one can define the corresponding *crossed product C^* -algebra* \mathfrak{A}_p by a ($*$ -isomorphic) C^* -(sub)algebra of $B(\mathcal{H}_p \otimes H_p)$ generated by A and $\theta^p(\sigma(p))$,

$$(6.10) \quad \mathfrak{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(p),$$

consisting of limits of linear combinations of

$$(a \otimes \sigma_Y^p, S) \in \mathfrak{A}_p,$$

with $a \in (A, \psi)$ for all $Y, S \in \sigma(p)$.

Then, on this crossed product C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A}_p of (6.10) follow so-called the θ^p -relation:

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p, S_1) (a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p, S_2) &= ((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p) \theta_{S_1}^p (a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p), S_1 \cap S_2), \text{ and} \\ (a \otimes \alpha_Y^p, S)^* &= (\theta_S^p ((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p)^*), S), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2, a \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y_1, Y_2, Y, S_1, S_2, S \in \sigma(p)$.

By (6.5), the above θ^p -relation (6.11) can be re-written by

$$(6.11)' \quad \begin{aligned} (a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p, S_1) (a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p, S_2) &= ((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p)(a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2 \cap S_1}^p), S_1 \cap S_2) \\ &= (a_1 a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1 \cap Y_2 \cap S_1}^p, S_1 \cap S_2), \text{ and} \\ (a \otimes \alpha_Y^p, S)^* &= (a^* \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p, S), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y_1, Y_2, Y, S_1, S_2, S \in \sigma(p)$.

So, without loss of generality, if we mention about the θ^p -relation (6.11) on the crossed product C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A}_p of (6.10), we directly regard it as (6.11)' from below.

Definition 6.3. Let $\mathfrak{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(p) = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p) \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(p)$ be the crossed product C^* -algebra (6.10) generated by a p -adic A -dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p)$ of (6.9),

satisfying the θ^p -relation (6.11), or (6.11)', where (A, ψ) be a fixed unital C^* -probability space. Then we call \mathfrak{A}_p , the p -adic A -dynamical (C^* -)algebra of $\sigma(p)$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Define now a “conditional” tensor product C^* -algebra

$$(6.12) \quad \mathfrak{A}_p^0 = \mathcal{A}_p \otimes_{\theta^p} M_p = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p) \otimes_{\theta^p} M_p,$$

by the C^* -subalgebra the (usual) tensor product C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p$ of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p of (6.1) and the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p of (4.6), satisfying the θ^p -condition:

$$(6.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p) \otimes \alpha_{S_1}^p \right) \left((a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p) \otimes \alpha_{S_2}^p \right) = \left((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p) \theta_{S_1}^p (a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p) \right) \otimes \alpha_{S_1}^p \alpha_{S_2}^p, \\ & \text{and} \\ & \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) \otimes \alpha_S^p \right)^* = \left(\theta_S^p \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p)^* \right) \right) \otimes \left(\alpha_S^p \right)^*, \end{aligned}$$

equivalently,

$$(6.13)' \quad \begin{aligned} & \left((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p) \otimes \alpha_{S_1}^p \right) \left((a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p) \otimes \alpha_{S_2}^p \right) = \left(a_1 a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1 \cap Y_2 \cap S_1}^p \right) \otimes \alpha_{S_1 \cap S_2}^p, \\ & \text{and} \\ & \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) \otimes \alpha_S^p \right)^* = \left(a^* \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p \right) \otimes \alpha_S^p, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2, a \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y_1, Y_2, Y, S_1, S_2, S \in \sigma(p)$.

Then we obtain the following isomorphism theorem of our p -adic A -dynamical algebra \mathfrak{A}_p of (6.10).

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A}_p = A \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(p)$ be the p -adic A -dynamical algebra (6.10) of a p -adic A -dynamical system $(A, \sigma(p), \theta^p)$ of (6.9), and let $\mathfrak{A}_p^0 = A \otimes_{\theta^p} M_p$ be the conditional tensor product C^* -algebra (6.12) with its θ^p -condition (6.13), or (6.13)'. Then*

$$(6.14) \quad \mathfrak{A}_p \stackrel{*}{\cong} \mathfrak{A}_p^0 \text{ in } B(\mathcal{H}_p \otimes H_p),$$

where “ $\stackrel{*}{\cong}$ ” means “being $*$ -isomorphic.”

Proof. First of all, note that the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p of (4.6) is generated by $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \sigma(p)$.

Let \mathfrak{A}_p and \mathfrak{A}_p^0 be in the sense of (6.10), respectively, (6.12), as C^* -subalgebras of $B(\mathcal{H}_p \otimes H_p)$. Remark that \mathfrak{A}_p satisfies the θ^p -relation (6.11), and \mathfrak{A}_p^0 satisfies the θ^p -conditional relation (6.13).

Define now a morphism $\Phi : \mathfrak{A}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_p^0$ by a linear transformation satisfying

$$(6.15) \quad \Phi \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p), S \right) = \left(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p \right) \otimes \alpha_S^p \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}_p^0,$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$ and $Y, S \in \sigma(p)$.

By the definition (6.15) of Φ , it is bounded and bijective under linearity because of the generator-preserving property.

This bijective bounded linear transformation Φ of (6.15) satisfies that by (6.11)'

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p, S_1)(a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p, S_2) \right) &= \Phi \left(a_1 a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1 \cap Y_2 \cap S_1}^p, S_1 \cap S_2 \right) \\ \text{by (6.15)} \quad &= \left(a_1 a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1 \cap Y_2 \cap S_1}^p \right) \otimes \left(\alpha_{S_1 \cap S_2}^p \right) \\ \text{by (6.13)'} \quad &= \left((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p) \otimes \alpha_{S_1}^p \right) \left((a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p) \otimes \alpha_{S_2}^p \right) \\ &= \Phi \left((a_1 \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p), S_1 \right) \Phi \left((a_2 \otimes \alpha_{Y_2}^p), S_2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

in \mathfrak{A}_p^0 by (6.15), for all $a_l \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y_l, S_l \in \sigma(p)$, for all $l = 1, 2$. Thus, under linearity, one obtains that:

$$(6.16) \quad \Phi(T_1 T_2) = \Phi(T_1) \Phi(T_2) \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}_p^0,$$

for all $T_1, T_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_p$.

Also, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p, S)^* \right) &= \Phi \left((a^* \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p, S) \right) \\ \text{by (6.11)'} \quad &= (a^* \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p) \otimes \alpha_S^p \\ \text{by (6.15)} \quad &= (a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap S}^p)^* \otimes (\alpha_S^p)^* \\ \text{by (6.13)'} \quad &= \left(\Phi \left((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p), S \right) \right)^*, \end{aligned}$$

in \mathfrak{A}_p^0 , for all $a \in (A, \psi)$ and $Y, S \in \sigma(p)$. So, one has

$$(6.17) \quad \Phi(T^*) = (\Phi(T))^* \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}_p^0, \text{ for all } T \in \mathfrak{A}_p.$$

Therefore, by (6.16) and (6.17), the bijective bounded linear transformation Φ of (6.15) is a $*$ -homomorphism from \mathfrak{A}_p to \mathfrak{A}_p^0 , equivalently, it is a $*$ -isomorphism from \mathfrak{A}_p onto \mathfrak{A}_p^0 . Thus, two C^* -algebras \mathfrak{A}_p and \mathfrak{A}_p^0 are $*$ -isomorphic. \square

By the structure theorem (6.14), our p -adic A -dynamical algebra \mathfrak{A}_p of (6.10) and the conditional tensor product C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A}_p^0 of (6.13) are same as C^* -algebras. So, in the following text, we use \mathfrak{A}_p and \mathfrak{A}_p^0 alternatively, case-by-case.

Notation and Assumption. From below, we use the notation \mathfrak{A}_p for both \mathfrak{A}_p and \mathfrak{A}_p^0 , and we call \mathfrak{A}_p^o , the p -adic A -dynamical algebra of $(\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p)$, too. Similarly, we use the terms

$$(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p, S) \text{ and } (a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) \otimes \alpha_S^p,$$

as a same object in the A -dynamical p -adic C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}_p = \mathfrak{A}_p^o$, case-by-case, for all $a \in (A, \psi)$ and $Y, S \in \sigma(p)$.

Also, if there are no confusions, we sometimes denote

$$\theta_S^p(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) \text{ simply by } (a \otimes \alpha_Y^p)^S,$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y, S \in \sigma(p)$.

Before proceeding, let's consider the following basic computations. Observe that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, if $\beta_l = a_l \otimes \alpha_{Y_l}^p \in \mathcal{A}_p$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$, then

$$(6.18) \quad \prod_{l=1}^N (\beta_l, S_l) = \left(\left(\beta_1 \beta_2^{S_1} \beta_3^{S_1 \cap S_2} \dots \beta_N^{S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_{N-1}} \right), S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_N \right),$$

by the induction on (6.11) (or that on (6.13)), for all $a_l \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y_l, S_l \in \sigma(p)$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $(a_l \otimes \alpha_{Y_l}^p, S_l)$ be generating operators of the p -adic A -dynamical algebra \mathfrak{A}_p , with $a_l \in (A, \psi)$, and $Y_l, S_l \in \sigma(p)$, for all $l = 1, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Then*

$$(6.19) \quad \prod_{l=1}^N (a_l \otimes \alpha_{Y_l}^p, S_l) = \left(\left(\prod_{l=1}^N a_l \right) \otimes \left(\alpha^p_{\left(\prod_{l=1}^N Y_l \right) \cap \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} S_l \right)} \right), \prod_{l=1}^N S_l \right)$$

Proof. The proof of (6.19) is done by (6.18), and by (6.11)', or (6.13)'.

Indeed, by (6.18), one can get that, if $\beta_l = a_l \otimes \alpha_{Y_l}^p \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and hence, if $(\beta_l, S_l) \in \mathfrak{A}_p$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{l=1}^N (\beta_l, S_l) \\ &= \left(\beta_1 \beta_2^{S_1} \beta_3^{S_1 \cap S_2} \dots \beta_N^{S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_{N-1}}, S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_N \right) \\ &= \left((a_1 a_2 \dots a_N) \otimes \alpha_{Y_1}^p \alpha_{Y_2 \cap S_1}^p \alpha_{Y_3 \cap S_1 \cap S_2}^p \dots \alpha_{Y_{N-1} \cap S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_{N-1}}^p, S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_N \right) \\ &= \left((a_1 a_2 \dots a_N) \otimes \alpha_{Y_1 \cap (Y_2 \cap S_1) \cap \dots \cap (Y_{N-1} \cap S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_{N-1})}^p, S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_N \right) \\ &= \left((a_1 a_2 \dots a_N) \otimes \alpha_{(Y_1 \cap Y_2 \cap \dots \cap Y_N) \cap (S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_{N-1})}^p, S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the formula (6.19) holds. \square

7. ON C^* -SUBALGEBRAS \mathfrak{S}_p OF M_p

As we have seen in Section 6, if we have a fixed unital C^* -probability space (A, ψ) , one can construct the p -adic A -dynamical C^* -algebra

$$\mathfrak{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p \times_{\theta^p} \sigma(p) = \mathcal{A}_p \otimes_{\theta^p} M_p$$

of (6.10) with its θ^p -relation (6.11), induced by a p -adic dynamical system,

$$(\mathcal{A}_p, \sigma(p), \theta^p),$$

where $\sigma(p)$ is the semigroup $(\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \cap)$, and $\mathcal{A}_p = A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

In this section, for any fixed $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we define a certain C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p of our p -adic C^* -algebra M_p of (4.6), and construct the corresponding C^* -subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{S}_p^A = A \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p \text{ of } \mathfrak{A}_p.$$

And consider (traditional) free probability on \mathfrak{S}_p^A determined by sectionized linear functionals

$$\{\psi_{p,j} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

7.1. C^* -Subalgebras \mathfrak{S}_p of M_p . Throughout this section, let's fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and let M_p be the p -adic C^* -algebra (4.6). Take operators

$$(7.1) \quad P_{p,j} = \alpha_{\partial_j}^p \in M_p,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where ∂_j are the j -th boundaries (2.3) of \mathbb{Q}_p contained in the semigroup $\sigma(p)$.

As we have seen, these operators $P_{p,j}$ of (8.1) are *projections* on the p -adic Hilbert space H_p in M_p , i.e.,

$$(7.1)' \quad P_{p,j}^* = P_{p,j} = P_{p,j}^2, \text{ moreover, } P_{p,j_1} P_{p,j_2} = \delta_{j_1, j_2} P_{p,j_1},$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and $j, j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now restrict our interests to these projections $P_{p,j}$ of (7.1).

Definition 7.1. Let \mathfrak{S}_p be the C^* -subalgebra

$$(7.2) \quad \mathfrak{S}_p = C^* (\{P_{p,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \overline{\mathbb{C} \{P_{p,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \text{ of } M_p,$$

where $P_{p,j}$ are projections (7.1), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call this C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p , the p -adic projection (C^* -sub)algebra of the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p .

Let \mathfrak{S}_p be the p -adic projection algebra of M_p . Then it satisfies the following structure theorem.

Proposition 7.2. *Let \mathfrak{S}_p be the p -adic projection algebra (7.2). Then*

$$(7.3) \quad \mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{*iso}{=} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_{p,j}) \stackrel{*iso}{=} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|},$$

in M_p .

Proof. The isomorphism theorem (7.3) is proven by (7.1)' and (7.2). □

By the structure theorem (7.3) of \mathfrak{S}_p , one can realize that \mathfrak{S}_p acts like a diagonal subalgebra inside M_p . By the definition (7.2) of \mathfrak{S}_p , one can get the (non-traditional) C^* -probability spaces,

$$(7.4) \quad (\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p), \text{ for } p \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

as a free-probabilistic sub-structures of the p -adic C^* -probability spaces (M_p, φ_j^p) 's, where the linear functionals φ_j^p in (7.4) mean the restrictions $\varphi_j^p|_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ on \mathfrak{S}_p of the linear functionals φ_j^p of (5.1) on M_p , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Notation. For convenience, we denote $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p)$ of (7.4) by $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}$, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}$ be in the sense of (7.4) for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $P_{p,k}$ be the generating projections (7.1) of $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(7.5) \quad \varphi_j^p ((P_{p,k})^n) = \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The formula (7.5) is proven by (5.3), (5.4) and (7.1). □

Let ϕ be the *Euler totient function*, i.e., it is an *arithmetic function* $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$(7.6) \quad \phi(n) = \left| \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ in } \mathbb{N}, \\ \text{and } \gcd(k, n) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \right|,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $|Y|$ mean the *cardinalities of sets* Y , and $\gcd(,)$ is the *greatest common divisor*. It is well-known that

$$\phi(p) = p - 1 = p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right), \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

So, the above formula (7.5) can be re-formulated to be

$$(7.7) \quad \varphi_j^p(P_{p,k}) = \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{\phi(p)}{p^{j+1}} \right), \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

7.2. On Conditional Tensor Product C^* -Algebras $A \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p$ in \mathfrak{A}_p . Let (A, ψ) be a fixed unital C^* -probability space, and let \mathfrak{S}_p be the p -adic projection subalgebra of M_p . Define now the *conditional tensor product C^* -algebra* \mathfrak{S}_p^A by

$$(7.8) \quad \mathfrak{S}_p^A \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{A}_p \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p) \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p$$

as a C^* -subalgebra of our p -adic A -dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}_p \otimes_{\theta^p} M_p = \mathfrak{A}_p$ under the θ^p -relation (6.13) (equivalent to (6.11)), where θ^p is the semigroup-action (6.5) of $\sigma(p)$. Such a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A of (7.8) is well-defined as a C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A}_p since the tensor-factor \mathfrak{S}_p is a well-defined C^* -subalgebra of M_p , for $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

By (7.8), all elements of \mathfrak{S}_p^A are generated by the operators formed by

$$(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) \otimes P_{p,k},$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, $Y \in \sigma(p)$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define a linear morphism

$$(7.9) \quad \Psi_p : \mathfrak{S}_p^A = \mathcal{A}_p \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$$

by the linear transformation satisfying

$$\Psi_p((a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) \otimes P_{p,j}) = a \otimes \alpha_Y^p P_{p,j} = a \otimes \alpha_{Y \cap \theta_j^p}^p,$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, $Y \in \sigma(p)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then, by the definition (7.9) of Ψ_p , it is a well-defined surjective bounded linear transformation from \mathfrak{S}_p^A onto \mathcal{A}_p , by (2.3) and (7.9).

It is not difficult to check that: the morphisms $g_j^p : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by linear morphisms satisfying

$$(7.10) \quad g_j^p(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) = \varphi_j^p(\psi(a)\alpha_Y^p)$$

are well-defined linear functionals on \mathcal{A}_p , for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, $Y \in \sigma(p)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where φ_j^p are in the sense of (7.4).

By (7.10), one can get that

$$(7.10)' \quad g_j^p(a \otimes \alpha_Y^p) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_Y^p) \psi(a).$$

Define now linear functionals $\psi_{p,j}$ on the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A of (7.8) by bounded linear morphisms from \mathfrak{S}_p^A to \mathbb{C} satisfying,

$$(7.11) \quad \psi_{p,j} = g_j^p \circ \Psi_p \text{ on } \mathfrak{S}_p^A,$$

where $\{g_j^p\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are in the sense of (7.10) (satisfying (7.10)'), and Ψ_p is in the sense of (7.9).

Let $P_{p,k} \in M_p$ and $P_{p,l} \in \mathfrak{S}_p$, for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $a \in (A, \psi)$, and let

$$(7.12) \quad T_{a,k}^{p,l} = (a \otimes P_{p,k}) \otimes P_{p,l} \in \mathfrak{S}_p^A.$$

Then, by (7.11), for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $T_{a,k}^{p,l} \in \mathfrak{S}_p^A$ is in the sense of (7.12), then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{p,j} \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right) &= g_j^p (a \otimes P_{p,k} P_{p,l}) \\ &= \delta_{k,l} g_j^p (a \otimes P_{p,k}) \\ &= \delta_{k,l} \varphi_j^p (\psi(a) P_{p,k}) \\ \text{by (7.10)'} &= \delta_{k,l} \varphi_j^p (P_{p,k}) \psi(a) \\ &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{j,k} \varphi_j^p (P_{p,j}) \psi(a) \\ &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \psi(a) \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \\ (7.13) &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \frac{\psi(a) \phi(p)}{p^{j+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

by (5.3).

Since these linear functionals $\psi_{p,j}$'s of (7.11) are well-defined linear functionals on \mathfrak{S}_p^A , the pairs

$$(7.14) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (\mathfrak{S}_p^A, \psi_{p,j}),$$

form well-defined (traditional) C^* -probability spaces, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$).

Definition 7.4. Let \mathfrak{S}_p^A be in the sense of (7.8) for a fixed $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $\psi_{p,j}$ be linear functionals (7.11), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then \mathfrak{S}_p^A is called the A -dynamical p (-adic)-projection (C^* -)algebra. The C^* -probability spaces $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A$ of (7.14) are said to be the A -dynamical j -th p (-adic)-projection (C^* -)probability spaces (induced by \mathfrak{S}_p), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As we discussed above, one can find the following free-distributional data on the A -dynamical j -th p -projection probability space.

Theorem 7.5. Let $T_{a,k}^{p,l} = (a \otimes P_{p,k}) \otimes P_{p,l}$ be a free random variable of the A -dynamical j -th p -projection probability space $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A$ of (7.14), where $a \in (A, \psi)$, $P_{p,k} \in M_p$, and $P_{p,l} \in \mathfrak{S}_p$, for $j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{p,j} \left(\left(T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right)^n \right) &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \psi(a^n) \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \\ (7.15) &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \left(\frac{\psi(a^n) \phi(p)}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $T_{a,k}^{p,l}$ be a given free random variable (7.12) in $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l}\right)^n &= ((a \otimes P_{p,k}) \otimes P_{p,l})^n \\
 &= \left(a^n \otimes P_{p,k}^n P_{p,l}^{n-1}\right) \otimes P_{p,l}^n \\
 &= \delta_{k,l} \left(a^n \otimes P_{p,k}\right) \otimes P_{p,k} \\
 &= \delta_{k,l} T_{a^n,k}^{p,k},
 \end{aligned}$$

by (6.19) and (7.9)

since $\{P_{p,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are mutually orthogonal in \mathfrak{S}_p

(7.16)

where $T_{a^n,k}^{p,k}$ is in the sense of (7.12) in \mathfrak{S}_p^A .

Thus, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(by (7.16))} \quad \psi_{p,j} \left(\left(T_{a,k}^{p,l}\right)^n \right) &= \delta_{k,l} \psi_{p,j} \left(T_{a^n,k}^{p,k} \right) \\
 &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \varphi_j^p(P_{p,j}) \psi(a^n) \\
 &= \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \psi(a^n) \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

by (7.13), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the free-distributional data (7.15) holds. \square

Assume that a fixed free random variable a of (A, ψ) is self-adjoint in A , in the sense that: $a^* = a$ in A . Then the operator $T_{a,k}^{p,l}$ of (7.12) is self-adjoint in the A -dynamical p -projection algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A , since

$$\left(T_{a,k}^{p,l}\right)^* = (a^* \otimes P_{p,k}^*) \otimes P_{p,l}^* = T_{a,k}^{p,l} \text{ in } \text{frak}S_p^A.$$

In such a case, the free distribution of $T_{a,k}^{p,l}$ is completely characterized by the free moments (7.15).

Corollary 7.6. *Let $T_{a,j}^{p,j}$ be a free random variable of (7.12) in the A -dynamical p -projection probability space $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\psi_{p,j} \left(\left(T_{a,j}^{p,j}\right)^n \right) = \psi(a^n) \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) = \frac{\psi(a^n) \phi(p)}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof of (7.17) is done by (7.16). \square

If $a \in (A, \psi)$ is self-adjoint, then the free distribution of $T_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is characterized by the free moments in (7.17). As one can see in (7.17), such non-vanishing free moments are determined by both number-theoretic data $\phi(p)$, and the free distribution $\{\psi(a^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of the self-adjoint element $a \in (A, \psi)$.

Corollary 7.7. *Let $T_{1_A,k}^{p,l}$ be a free random variable of the j -th A -dynamical p -projection probability space $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A$, where 1_A is the unit of (A, ψ) . Then*

$$\psi_{p,j} \left(\left(T_{1_A,k}^{p,l}\right)^n \right) = \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) = \frac{\delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \phi(p)}{p^{j+1}},$$

and hence,

$$(7.19) \quad \psi_{p,j} \left(\left(T_{1_A,j}^{p,j} \right)^n \right) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} = \frac{\phi(p)}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The free-distributional data (7.18) is obtained by (7.15), and the free moments (7.19) are gotten from (7.17). \square

7.3. Certain Banach $*$ -Probability Spaces $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ Induced by $\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A$. In this section, we fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a unital C^* -probability space (A, ψ) , and let

$$\mathfrak{S}_{p,j}^A = (\mathfrak{S}_p^A, \psi_{p,j})$$

be the j -th A -dynamical p -projection probability space (7.14). Also, we let

$$(7.20) \quad T_{a,k}^{p,l} \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (a \otimes P_{p,k}) \otimes P_{p,l}, \text{ with } P_{p,m} = \alpha_{\partial_m}^p,$$

be generating operators (7.12) in the A -dynamical p -projection algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A , for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define now linear morphisms c_p^A and a_p^A “acting on the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A ,” by the bounded linear transformations satisfying

$$(7.21) \quad c_p^A \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right) = T_{a,k}^{l+1}, \text{ and } a_p^A \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right) = T_{a,k}^{l+1},$$

in \mathfrak{S}_p^A .

Note that such bounded linear transformations c_p^A and a_p^A of (7.21) are regarded as Banach-space operators by understanding the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A as a Banach space (under the C^* -norm on \mathfrak{S}_p^A). i.e., they are Banach-space operators contained in the operator space $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$ in the sense of [13], consisting of all bounded operators on the Banach space \mathfrak{S}_p^A .

Remark 7.8. In [8, 11] and [12], we introduced the operators c_p and a_p directly on the p -adic projection algebra \mathfrak{S}_p , defined by

$$c_p(P_{p,k}) = P_{p,k+1}, \text{ and } a_p(P_{p,k}) = P_{p,k-1},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, one may regard our operators c_p^A and a_p^A of (7.21) as

$$(1_A \otimes 1_{M_p}) \otimes c_p, \text{ respectively, } (1_A \otimes 1_{M_p}) \otimes a_p$$

on $A \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p = \mathfrak{S}_p^A$, where the unit 1_A is understood as the multiplication operator “on A ” with its symbol 1_A , and 1_{M_p} is the identity $*$ -isomorphism on M_p , satisfying $1_{M_p}(T) = T$, for all $T \in M_p$.

Definition 7.9. Let c_p^A and a_p^A be the Banach-space operators (7.21) acting “on \mathfrak{S}_p^A .” Then we call them, the p -adic A -creation, respectively, the p -adic A -annihilation on \mathfrak{S}_p^A . Define now a new operator l_p^A by

$$(7.22) \quad l_p^A = c_p^A + a_p^A \text{ on } \text{frak}S_p^A.$$

This Banach-space operator $l_p^A \in B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$ is called the p -adic A -radial operator on \mathfrak{S}_p^A .

Consider that: if $T_{a,k}^{p,l}$ are in the sense of (7.20) in \mathfrak{S}_p^A , then

$$c_p^A a_p^A \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right) = c_p^A \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l-1} \right) = T_{a,k}^{p,l},$$

and

$$a_p^A c_p^A \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right) = a_p^A \left(T_{a,k}^{p,l+1} \right) = T_{a,k}^{p,l},$$

and hence, for any $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, if $T_{a,S}^{p,l} = (a \otimes \alpha_S^p) \otimes P_{p,l} \in \mathfrak{S}_p^A$, then

$$c_p^A a_p^A \left(T_{a,S}^{p,l} \right) = T_{a,S}^{p,l} = a_p^A c_p^A \left(T_{a,S}^{p,l} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(7.23) \quad c_p^A a_p^A = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} = a_p^A c_p^A,$$

where

$$1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} = (1_A \otimes 1_{M_p}) \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} \in B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A),$$

where $1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ is the identity $*$ -isomorphism on \mathfrak{S}_p , satisfying

$$1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}(P_{p,k}) = P_{p,k}, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 7.10. *Let c_p^A and a_p^A be the p -adic A -creation, respectively, the p -adic A -annihilation of (7.21) in $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$. Then*

$$(c_p^A)^{n_1} (a_p^A)^{n_2} = (a_p^A)^{n_2} (c_p^A)^{n_1},$$

$$(7.24) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (c_p^A a_p^A)^n &= (c_p^A)^n (a_p^A)^n = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} \\ &= (a_p^A)^n (c_p^A)^n = (a_p^A c_p^A)^n, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_1, n_2, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof of (7.24) is done by (7.23). □

By (7.24), one can realize that

$$(7.25) \quad (l_p^A)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (c_p^A)^k (a_p^A)^{n-k},$$

on \mathfrak{S}_p^A , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with axiomatization:

$$(c_p^A)^0 = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} = (a_p^A)^0,$$

where

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}, \text{ for all } k \leq n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Define a closed subspace \mathfrak{L}_p^A of the operator space $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$ by

$$(7.26) \quad \mathfrak{L}_p^A = \overline{\mathbb{C}[\{l_p^A\}]}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

where $\overline{Y}^{\|\cdot\|}$ mean the operator-norm $\|\cdot\|$ -closures of subsets Y of $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$, where

$$\|X\| = \sup \left\{ \|X(T)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} \mid \begin{array}{l} T \in \mathfrak{S}_p^A, \text{ such that} \\ \|T\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} = 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A}$ is the C^* -norm on the A -dynamical p -projection algebra \mathfrak{S}_p^A .

By the definition (7.26), this closed subspace forms an algebra embedded in the topological vector space $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$, furthermore, if we define an operation

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n (l_p^A)^n \right)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{t_n} (l_p^A)^n,$$

on \mathfrak{L}_p^A , where $\overline{t_n}$ are the conjugates of t_n in \mathbb{C} , then it forms a $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} . i.e., all elements of \mathfrak{L}_p^A are *adjointable* in $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$ in the sense of [13]. In conclusion, the topological structure \mathfrak{L}_p^A of (7.26) forms a *Banach $*$ -algebra* in the operator space $B(\mathfrak{S}_p^A)$.

Now, define a (usual) *tensor product Banach $*$ -algebra* $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ by

$$(7.27) \quad \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A \stackrel{def}{=} \mathfrak{L}_p^A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p^A.$$

i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A = \text{frak}L_p^A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} ((A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_p) \otimes_{\theta^p} \mathfrak{S}_p).$$

In the definition (7.27) of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$, note that the first tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the tensor product of Banach $*$ -algebras, and the second tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the tensor product of C^* -algebras, while \otimes_{θ^p} is the conditional tensor product (6.12) with θ^p -relations (6.13) (equivalent to (6.11)). Since \mathfrak{L}_p^A is a Banach $*$ -algebra, and \mathfrak{S}_p^A is a C^* -algebra, this tensor product $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ of (7.27) is a well-defined Banach $*$ -algebra under product topology.

Definition 7.11. The tensor product Banach $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ of (7.27) is called the A -dynamical p (-adic-)radial-projection (Banach- $*$ -)algebra for $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ be the A -dynamical p -radial-projection algebra for a fixed prime p . Define a linear morphism

$$E_{p,j}^A: \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_p^A$$

by the linear transformation satisfying that

$$(7.28) \quad E_{p,j}^A \left((l_p^A)^n \otimes T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{[\frac{n}{2}]+1} (l_p^A)^n \left(T_{a,k}^l \right) & \text{if } l = j \\ 0_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all generating operators

$$(l_p^A)^n \otimes T_{a,k}^{p,l}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (A, \psi),$$

of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$, where $T_{a,k}^l$ are in the sense of (7.20), for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Indeed, one can get that

$$\left(l_p^A \otimes T_{a,k}^{p,l} \right)^n = (l_p^A)^n \otimes \left(\delta_{k,l} T_{a^n,k}^{p,k} \right),$$

by (7.16), and hence, all non-zero generating operators have their forms,

$$(l_p^A)^n \otimes T_{x,k}^{p,l}, \text{ for some } x \in (A, \psi).$$

So, the definition (7.28) is meaningful under linearity. Indeed, the linear morphism $E_{p,j}^A$ of (7.28) is a well-defined bounded linear transformation from $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ onto \mathfrak{S}_p^A , by (7.3), (7.8), (7.26) and (7.27).

Now, define linear functionals $\tau_{p,j}^A$ on the A -tensor p -adic radial-projection algebra $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ of \mathfrak{S}_p by

$$(7.29) \quad \tau_{p,j}^A = \left(\frac{1}{\phi(p)} \psi_{p,j} \right) \circ E_{p,j}^A \text{ on } \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A,$$

where $\psi_{p,j}$ are the linear functionals (7.11) on \mathfrak{S}_p^A , and $E_{p,j}^A$ is the bounded linear morphism (7.28), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, for a fixed prime p , the pairs

$$(7.30) \quad \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A, \tau_{p,j}^A)$$

form well-defined Banach $*$ -probability spaces for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 7.12. Let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ be the A -dynamical p -radial-projection algebra (7.27) for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $\{\tau_{p,j}^A\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the linear functionals (7.29) on $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$. Then the corresponding Banach $*$ -probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ of (7.30) are called the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -(radial-projection Banach $*$ -)probability spaces, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $X_{a,k}^{p,l}$ be the generating operators of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ formed by

$$(7.31) \quad X_{a,k}^{p,l} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,k}^{p,l} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A,$$

for all $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $T_{a,k}^{p,l} \in \mathfrak{S}_p^A$ are in the sense of (7.20).

If $X_{a,k}^{p,l}$ are the generating operators (7.31) of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$, then

$$(7.32) \quad \begin{aligned} (X_{a,k}^{p,l})^n &= (l_p^A \otimes T_{a,k}^{p,l})^n \\ &= (l_p^A)^n \otimes (\delta_{k,l} T_{a^n,k}^{p,k}) \\ &= \delta_{k,l} \left((l_p^A)^n \otimes T_{a^n,k}^{p,k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (7.16) (Also, see (6.19)).

By (7.32), one can realize that, under our dynamical system, if $k \neq l$ in \mathbb{Z} , a generating operator $X_{a,k}^{p,l}$ satisfies

$$(X_{a,k}^{p,l})^n = \begin{cases} X_{a,k}^{p,l} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0_{\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

meanwhile, if $k = l$ in \mathbb{Z} , then

$$(X_{a,k}^{p,k})^n = (l_p^A)^n \otimes T_{a^n,k}^{p,k},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $0_{\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A}$ is the zero element of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$.

Theorem 7.13. Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ be the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability space. Let

$$X_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes ((a \otimes P_{p,j}) \otimes P_{p,j})$$

be a generating operator of the A -dynamical j -th filtered p -probability space $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ of (7.30) for $a \in (A, \psi)$. Then

$$(7.33) \quad \tau_{p,j}^A \left((X_{a,j}^{p,j})^n \right) = \left(\omega_n \left(p^{2(j+1)} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) (\psi(a^n)),$$

where

$$\omega_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and c_m are the m -th Catalan numbers,

$$c_m = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} = \frac{(2m)!}{m!(m+1)!}, \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Proof. If $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is a given generating operator in the sense of (7.31) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, for $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

by (7.29)

$$\tau_{p,j}^A \left((X_{a,j}^{p,j})^n \right) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{\phi(p)} \psi_{p,j} \right) \circ E_{p,j}^A \right) \left((X_{a,j}^{p,j})^n \right)$$

by (7.32)
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\phi(p)} \psi_{p,j} \right) \left(E_{p,j}^A \left((l_p^A)^n \otimes T_{a^n,j}^{p,j} \right) \right)$$

by (7.28)
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\phi(p)} \psi_{p,j} \right) \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} (l_p^A)^n \left(T_{a^n,j}^{p,j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{\phi(p)} \psi_{p,j} \right) \left((l_p^A)^n \left(T_{a^n,j}^{p,j} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$(7.34) \quad = \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \right) \psi_{p,j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (c_p^A)^k (a_p^A)^{n-k} \left(T_{a,j}^{p,j} \right) \right),$$

by (7.25).

Observe now that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(7.35) \quad (l_p^A)^{2n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{k} (c_p^A)^k (a_p^A)^{2n-k-1},$$

by (7.25). Thus, $(l_p^A)^{2n-1}$ does not contain $1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A}$ -terms by (7.35).

Similarly, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (l_p^A)^{2n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} (c_p^A)^k (a_p^A)^{2n-k} \\
 &= \binom{2n}{n} (c_p^A)^n (a_p^A)^n + [RestTerms] \\
 (7.36) \quad &= \binom{2n}{n} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A} + [RestTerms],
 \end{aligned}$$

by (7.23) and (7.24). So, $(l_p^A)^{2n}$ contains $\binom{2n}{n} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p^A}$ term by (7.36).

By (7.35) and (7.36), the formula (7.34) goes to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{p,j}^A \left((X_{a,j}^{p,j})^n \right) &\left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{([\frac{n}{2}] + 1) \phi(p)} \right) \psi_{p,j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (c_p^A)^k (a_p^A)^{n-k} (T_{a^n,j}^{p,j}) \right) \\
 (7.37) \quad &= \omega_n \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{([\frac{n}{2}] + 1) \phi(p)} \right) \psi_{p,j} \left(\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} (T_{a^n,j}^{p,j}) + [Rest\ Terms] (T_{a^n,j}^{p,j}) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

by (7.29), where

$$(7.38) \quad l\omega_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence, it goes to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \omega_n \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{(\frac{n}{2}+1)\phi(p)} \right) \psi_{p,j} \left(\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} (T_{a^n,j}^{p,j}) \right) \\
 &= \omega_n \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{(\frac{n}{2}+1)\phi(p)} \right) \left(\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{\frac{n}{2}+1} \right) \binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \varphi_j^p(P_{p,j}) \psi(a^n) \\
 &= \omega_n \left(\frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\phi(p)} \right) \left(c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) \left(\frac{\phi(p)}{p^{j+1}} \right) (\psi(a^n))
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c_m = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m}$ are the m -th Catalan numbers for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$= \left(\omega_n (p^{j+1})^n c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) (\psi(a^n)),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where ω_n are in the sense of (7.38). Therefore, the free-distributional data (7.33) holds. □

The above theorem provides a general tool to compute free distributions of arbitrary operators in the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability space $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. By the definitions (7.28) and (7.29), one also obtains the following generalized result of (7.33).

Theorem 7.14. *Let $X_{a,k}^{p,l}$ be a generating operator (7.31) of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and $j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$(7.39) \quad \tau_{p,j}^A \left(\left(X_{a,k}^{p,l} \right)^n \right) = \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k,j} \left(\omega_n \left(p^{2(j+1)} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) (\psi(a^n)),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $X_{a,k}^{p,l}$ be in the sense of (7.31) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, for $a \in (A, \psi)$, and $j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $j = k = l$ in \mathbb{Z} , then we obtain the formula (7.39) by (7.33). Meanwhile, if either $j \neq k$ or $k \neq l$ in \mathbb{Z} , then the free moments of $X_{a,k}^{p,l}$ vanish by (7.28) and (7.29). So, the formula (7.39) holds. \square

From below, we focus on studying generating operators $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ of j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ having non-zero free distributions, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

8. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULARITY ON $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$

In this section, we construct weighted-semicircular, and semicircular elements in the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in the previous sections, let (A, ψ) be a fixed unital C^* -probability space, and $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$, the A -dynamical p -radial-projection algebra.

8.1. Semicircular and Weighted-Semicircular Elements. Let (B, φ_B) be an arbitrary topological $*$ -probability space (C^* -probability space, or W^* -probability space, or Banach $*$ -probability space, etc.) equipped with a topological $*$ -algebra A (C^* -algebra, resp., W^* -algebra, resp., Banach $*$ -algebra, etc.), and a (bounded, or unbounded) linear functional φ_B on B .

Definition 8.1. Let a be a self-adjoint free random variable in (B, φ_B) . It is said to be even in (B, φ_B) , if all odd free moments of a vanish, i.e.,

$$(8.1) \quad \varphi_B(a^{2n-1}) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let a be a “self-adjoint,” and “even” free random variable of (B, φ_B) satisfying (8.1). Then a is said to be semicircular in (B, φ_B) , if

$$(8.2) \quad \varphi_B(a^{2n}) = c_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where c_n are the n -th Catalan number,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

It is well-known that, if $k_n^B(\dots)$ is the free cumulant on B in terms of a linear functional φ_B (in the sense of [26]), then a self-adjoint free random variable a is semicircular in (B, φ_B) , if and only if

$$(8.3) \quad k_n^B \left(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n\text{-times}} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (e.g., [26]). The above characterization (8.3) is obtained by the *Möbius inversion* of [26].

Thus, the semicircular elements a of (B, φ_B) can be re-defined by the self-adjoint free random variables satisfying the free-cumulant characterization (8.3). We will use the free-moment definition (8.2), and the free-cumulant characterization (8.3) alternatively below.

Motivated by (8.3), one can define the *weighted-semicircularity*.

Definition 8.2. Let $a \in (B, \varphi_B)$ be a self-adjoint free random variable. It is said to be weighted-semicircular in (B, φ_B) with its weight t_0 (in short, t_0 -semicircular), if there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, such that

$$(8.4) \quad k_n^B \left(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n\text{-times}} \right) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By the Möbius inversion of [26], one can get the following free-moment characterization (8.5) of the definition (8.4): A self-adjoint free random variable a of (B, φ_B) is t_0 -semicircular, if and only if there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, such that

$$(8.5) \quad \varphi(a^n) = \omega_n t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where ω_n are in the sense of (7.38), and c_m are the m -th Catalan numbers for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (Also, see [8] and [12]).

Therefore, we will use the free-cumulant definition (8.4) and the free-moment characterization (8.5) alternatively as weighted-semicircularity below.

8.2. Weighted-Semicircular Elements in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ be the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability space, where (A, ψ) is a fixed unital C^* -probability space.

Theorem 8.3. Let $X_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j}$ be a generating operator (7.31) of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. Assume that a free random variable $a \in (A, \psi)$ satisfies that:

- (i) a is self-adjoint in A ,
- (ii) $\psi(a) \in \mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ in \mathbb{C}^\times ,
- (iii) $\psi(a^n) = \psi(a)^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the operator $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is $(p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.

Proof. Let $a \in (A, \psi)$ be a given self-adjoint free random variable satisfying the additional conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), and let $X_{a,j}^j$ be the corresponding generating operator,

$$X_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes ((a \otimes P_{p,j}) \otimes P_{p,j}) \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j).$$

Then

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (X_{a,j}^{p,j})^* &= (l_p^A)^* \otimes (T_{a,j}^j)^* \\ &= l_p^A \otimes (T_{a^*,j}^{p,j}) = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} \\ &= X_{p,j}^{p,j}, \end{aligned}$$

by the θ^p -relation (6.13), and by the condition (i). Thus $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ by (8.6).

Consider that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{p,j}^A \left(\left(X_{a,j}^{p,j} \right)^n \right) &= \left(\omega_n \left(p^{2(j+1)} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) (\psi(a^n)) \\
 \text{by (7.38) and (7.39)} &= \left(\omega_n \left(p^{2(j+1)} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) (\psi(a)^n) \\
 \text{by (iii)} &= \left(\omega_n \left(p^{2(j+1)} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \right) (\psi(a)^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
 \text{by (ii)} &= \omega_n \left(p^{2(j+1)} \psi(a)^2 \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \\
 (8.7) &= \omega_n \left((p^{j+1} \psi(a))^2 \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the self-adjointness (8.6), and by (8.7), (8.5), (8.4), this operator $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is $(p^{j+1} \psi(a))^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ under the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). \square

By the weighted-semicircularity (8.7) of the above theorem, one obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 8.4. *Let $X_{1_A,j}^{p,j}$ be in the sense of (7.31) in the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability space $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where 1_A is the unit of (A, ψ) . Then $X_{1_A,j}^{p,j}$ is $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.*

Proof. Let $X_{1_A,j}^{p,j}$ be given as above in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. Then the unit 1_A of A satisfies that:

- (i)' 1_A is self-adjoint in A ,
- (ii)' $\psi(1_A) = 1 \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ in \mathbb{C} , and
- (iii)' $\psi(1_A^n) = 1 = \psi(1_A)^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, by (i)', (ii)', and (iii)', one realizes that 1_A automatically satisfy the additional conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of the above theorem. So, the operator $X_{1_A,j}^{p,j}$ is

$$\left[(p^{j+1} \psi(1_A))^2 = p^{2(j+1)} \right] \text{-semicircular}$$

in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, by (7.9).

Therefore, the statement (7.10) holds true. \square

The above corollary generalizes the weighted-semicircularity of [8] and [12] up to dynamical systems

8.3. Semicircular Elements in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. Let's fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ be the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability space.

Theorem 8.5. *Let $X_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes ((a \otimes P_{p,j}) \otimes P_{p,j})$ be a generating operator of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. Assume that a free random variable $a \in (A, \psi)$ satisfies that:*

- (i) a is self-adjoint in A ,
- (ii) $\psi(a) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ in \mathbb{C} , and

(iii) $\psi(a^n) = \psi(a)^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the operator

$$(8.8) \quad Y_{a,j}^{p,j} = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}\psi(a)} X_{a,j}^{p,j} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$$

is semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.

Proof. First of all, under the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), the operator $Y_{a,j}^{p,j}$ of (8.8) is very well-defined in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$.

Let $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ and $Y_{a,j}^{p,j}$ be given as above in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, where a free random variable $a \in (A, \psi)$ satisfies the additional conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Now, let $k_n^{A,p,j}(\dots)$ be the free cumulant (of [26]) on $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ in terms of the linear functional $\tau_{p,j}^A$.

Note and recall that, under the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), the generating operator $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is $(p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ by (8.7), (8.4) and (8.5).

Observe that

$$k_n^{A,p,j} \left(\underbrace{Y_{a,j}^{p,j}, Y_{a,j}^{p,j}, \dots, Y_{a,j}^{p,j}}_{n\text{-times}} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}\psi(a)} \right)^n k_n^{A,p,j} \left(X_{a,j}^{p,j}, \dots, X_{a,j}^{p,j} \right)$$

by the bi-module-map property of free cumulants (e.g., [26])

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}\psi(a)} \right)^2 k_2^{A,p,j} \left(X_{a,j}^{p,j}, X_{a,j}^{p,j} \right) & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}\psi(a)} \right)^2 (p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2 = 1 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the $(p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2$ -semicircularity (7.9) of $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.

Therefore, by (8.9) and (8.3), this operator $Y_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. \square

The following result is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 8.6. *Let $Y_{1_{A,j}}^{p,j} = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} X_{1_{A,j}}^{p,j} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. Then $Y_{1_{A,j}}^{p,j}$ is semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.*

Proof. Note and recall that $X_{1_{A,j}}^{p,j}$ is $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ by (8.7). So, with similar arguments, the semicircularity of $Y_{1_{A,j}}^{p,j}$ in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ is guaranteed. \square

The above corollary generalizes the semicircularity of [8, 11] and [12].

8.4. Summary. In Sections 9.2 and 9.3, we showed that, for any fixed $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a generating operator

$$X_{a,j}^{p,j} = a \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes ((a \otimes P_{p,j}) \otimes P_{p,j})$$

can be weighted-semicircular in the j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability space $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$. i.e., starting from a semigroup $\sigma(p)$, one can construct weighted-semicircular elements.

More precisely, if a “self-adjoint” free random variable a of a fixed unital C^* -probability space (A, ψ) satisfies

$$(8.10) \quad \psi(a) \in \mathbb{R}^\times \text{ in } \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } \psi(a^n) = \psi(a)^n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ is $(p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2$ -semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.

So, under the condition (8.10), the operator

$$Y_{a,j}^{p,j} = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}\psi(a)} X_{a,j}^{p,j}$$

is semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$.

9. THE A -DYNAMICAL FREE FILTERIZATION $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$

In this section, we use free product to construct the universalized, or globalized free-probabilistic structures from our j -th filtered A -dynamical p -probability spaces $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, let (A, ψ) be a fixed unital C^* -probability space, and let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$ be the A -dynamical p -radial-projection algebras for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Define the *free product Banach $*$ -probability space*,

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A &= (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A, \tau_A) \stackrel{def}{=} \star_{p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j) \\ &= \left(\star_{p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A, \star_{p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_{p,j}^A \right), \end{aligned}$$

by the free product $*$ -probability space (e.g., [26] and [35]).

Definition 9.1. We call this free product Banach $*$ -probability space $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A = (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}, \tau_A)$ of (9.1), the A -dynamical free filterization.

Let (B, φ_B) be an arbitrary topological $*$ -probability space, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{b_k\}_{k \in \Lambda}$ be a subset of B , where Λ is a countable (finite, or infinite) index set. Such a family \mathcal{F} is said to be a *free family*, if all elements b_k 's of \mathcal{F} are mutually free from each other in (B, φ_B) . i.e., whenever $k_1 \neq k_2$ in Λ , the elements b_{k_1} and b_{k_2} of \mathcal{F} are free in (B, φ_B) , if and only if all “mixed” free cumulants of

$$\{b_{k_1}, b_{k_1}^*\} \cup \{b_{k_2}, b_{k_2}^*\}$$

vanish (e.g., [26]), if and only if the minimal free summands $B[b_{k_1}]$ and $B[b_{k_2}]$ of B containing b_{k_1} , respectively, b_{k_2} (in the sense of Section 6) are distinct in B (e.g., [8]).

Suppose \mathcal{F} is a free family of (B, φ_B) , and assume that all elements of \mathcal{F} are (weighted-)semicircular in (B, φ_B) . Then this free family \mathcal{F} is said to be a *free (weighted-)semicircular family*.

Let $a \in (A, \psi)$ be a self-adjoint free random variable satisfying the additional condition (8.10). Construct subsets,

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}_a &= \left\{ X_{a,j}^{p,j} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{Y}_a &= \left\{ Y_{a,j}^{p,j} = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}\psi(a)} X_{a,j}^{p,j} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

in the A -dynamical free filterization $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, where

$$X_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes ((a \otimes P_{p,j}) \otimes P_{p,j})$$

are the generating operators of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A$, in the sense of Section 8, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ be the A -dynamical free filterization (9.1), and let \mathfrak{X}_a and \mathfrak{Y}_a be the subsets (9.2), where a self-adjoint element $a \in (A, \psi)$ satisfies (8.10).*

(9.3) The family \mathfrak{X}_a is a free weighted-semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$

(9.4) The family \mathfrak{Y}_a is a free semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{X}_a be in the sense of (9.2) in the A -dynamical free filterization $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, where a self-adjoint free random variable $a \in (A, \psi)$ satisfies the condition (8.10). By (9.1) and (9.2), all elements $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$'s of \mathfrak{X}_a are mutually free from each other in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, because all elements $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ of \mathfrak{X}_a are from mutually distinct free blocks $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$. So, this family \mathfrak{X}_a forms a free family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$.

Furthermore, by the condition (8.10) for $a \in (A, \psi)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_A \left(\left(X_{a,j}^{p,j} \right)^n \right) &= \tau_A \left(\left(X_{a,j}^{p,j} \right)^n \right) \\ &= \tau_{p,j}^A \left(\left(X_{a,j}^{p,j} \right)^n \right) \\ &= \omega_n \left((p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2 \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (8.7).

So, all elements of \mathfrak{X}_a are weighted-semicircular in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$. Therefore, \mathfrak{X}_a is a free weighted-semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, equivalently, the statement (9.3) holds true.

Similarly, one can conclude that the family \mathfrak{Y}_a is a free semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, i.e., the statement (9.4) holds. \square

By (9.3) and (9.4), one can get the following result.

Corollary 9.3. *Let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ be the A -dynamical free filterization, and let \mathfrak{X}_{1_A} and \mathfrak{Y}_{1_A} be in the sense of (9.2) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, where 1_A is the unit of (A, ψ) . Then*

(9.5) The family \mathfrak{X}_{1_A} is a free weighted-semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$.

(9.6) The family \mathfrak{Y}_{1_A} is a free semicircular family in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$.

Proof. The proofs of the statements (9.5) and (9.6) are done by (9.3), respectively, (9.4), with help of the weighted-semicircularity (8.7) and the semicircularity (8.9), because the unit 1_A satisfies the condition (8.10) in (A, ψ) . \square

The above corollary generalizes the main results of [8] up to dynamical systems.

10. FREE DISTRIBUTIONS OF FREE REDUCED WORDS IN $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$

Let (A, ψ) be a fixed unital C^* -probability space, and let

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A = (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A, \tau_A)$$

be the A -dynamical free filterization (9.1).

Notation and Assumption. In this section, we automatically assume $a \in (A, \psi)$ is a self-adjoint free random variable satisfying the additional conditions (8.10) for convenience. i.e.,

$$\psi(a) \in \mathbb{R}^\times \text{ and } \psi(a^n) = \psi(a)^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let \mathfrak{X}_a and \mathfrak{Y}_a be the corresponding free weighted-semicircular family (9.3), respectively, free semicircular family (9.4) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ under the condition (8.10). In this section, we consider free reduced words W of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ generated by \mathfrak{X}_a or \mathfrak{Y}_a . In particular, we are interested in the free-distributional data of such elements W in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$. It shows how our (weighted-)semicircularity affects the free distributions of W with respect to those on (A, ψ) , and p -adic analytic data over primes p .

10.1. Free Reduced Words of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ in \mathfrak{X}_a . Let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ be the A -dynamical free filterization (9.1), and let $a \in (A, \psi)$ be a self-adjoint free random variable (under (8.10)). And let \mathfrak{X}_a be the free weighted-semicircular family (9.3) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, consisting of $(p^{j+1}\psi(a))^2$ -semicircular elements

$$X_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes T_{a,j}^{p,j} = l_p^A \otimes ((a \otimes P_{p,j}) \otimes P_{p,j}),$$

contained in the free blocks $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p^A(j)$ of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since we already characterize the free distributions of all free reduced words $X_{a,j}^{p,j}$ with their “length-1” in \mathfrak{X}_a under the weighted-semicircularity (9.3), we focus on here free reduced words with their lengths > 1 in the A -dynamical free filterization $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$.

By the freeness on the family \mathfrak{X}_a in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, free reduced words W (with their lengths > 1) in \mathfrak{X}_a have their forms,

$$(10.1) \quad W = \prod_{l=1}^N \left(X_{a,j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l},j_{k_l}} \right)^{n_l}, \text{ with } X_{a,j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l},j_{k_l}} \in \mathfrak{X}_a, \text{ where}$$

$$p_{k_l} \in \mathcal{P}, j_{k_l} \in \mathbb{Z}, n_l \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, N,$$

for $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, such that either

$$(10.2) \quad (p_{k_1}, \dots, p_{k_N}) \in \text{alt}(\mathcal{P}^N), \text{ or } (j_{k_1}, \dots, j_{k_N}) \in \text{alt}(\mathbb{Z}^N),$$

where

$$(n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N,$$

which is not necessarily alternating in \mathbb{N} , where

$$\text{alt}(\mathcal{P}^N) = \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_N) \left| \begin{array}{l} (p_1, \dots, p_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N, \text{ satisfying} \\ p_1 \neq p_2, p_2 \neq p_3, \\ \dots, p_{N-1} \neq p_N, \text{ in } \mathcal{P} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and

$$\text{alt}(\mathbb{Z}^N) = \left\{ (j_1, \dots, j_N) \left| \begin{array}{l} (j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \text{ satisfying} \\ j_1 \neq j_2, j_2 \neq j_3, \\ \dots, j_{N-1} \neq j_N, \text{ in } \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

For example,

$$(2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 5, 7) \in \text{alt}(\mathcal{P}^7),$$

but

$$(2, 3, 3, 5, 2, 7, 2) \notin \text{alt}(\mathcal{P}^7).$$

The finite sequences, or the N -tuples in $\text{alt}(\mathcal{P}^N)$ (or, in $\text{alt}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$) are called *alternating N -tuples* in \mathcal{P} (resp., in \mathbb{Z}) (e.g., see [26] and [35]).

Define the subsets $alt_{MD}(\mathcal{P}^N)$ and $alt_{MD}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ by

$$alt_{MD}(\mathcal{P}^N) = \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_N) \left| \begin{array}{l} (p_1, \dots, p_N) \in alt(\mathcal{P}^N), \\ \text{and the entries } p_1, \dots, p_N \\ \text{are mutually distinct in } \mathcal{P}. \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

respectively

$$alt_{MD}(\mathbb{Z}^N) = \left\{ (j_1, \dots, j_N) \left| \begin{array}{l} (j_1, \dots, j_N) \in alt(\mathbb{Z}^N), \\ \text{and the entries } j_1, \dots, j_N \\ \text{are mutually distinct in } \mathbb{Z}. \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

For example,

$$(2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 5, 7) \in alt(\mathcal{P}^7),$$

but

$$(2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 5, 7) \notin alt_{MD}(\mathcal{P}^7).$$

The finite sequences in $alt_{MD}(\mathcal{P}^N)$ (or, in $alt_{MD}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$) are alternating N -tuples in \mathcal{P} (resp., in \mathbb{Z}) consisting of mutually distinct entries.

Notation and Assumption 10.1 (in short, **NA 10.1** below). Let W be a free reduced word (10.1) with its length- $N > 1$, satisfying (10.2) in the A -dynamical free filterization \mathfrak{LS}_A . Assume further that

$$P \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (p_{k_1}, \dots, p_{k_N}) \in alt_{MD}(\mathcal{P}^N),$$

$$J \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (j_{k_1}, \dots, j_{k_N}) \in alt_{MD}(\mathbb{Z}^N),$$

and

$$\eta \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} (n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N.$$

If the finite sequences P, J and η are chosen as above, then we denote W by $W_{P,J}^\eta$, to emphasize the choices of such P, J and η . \square

Theorem 10.1. *Let $W = W_{P,J}^\eta$ be a free reduced word of \mathfrak{LS}_A in the sense of **NA 10.1**. Then*

$$(10.3) \quad \tau_A(W) = \tau_A(W^*) = \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} \left(p_{k_l}^{2(j_{k_l}+1)} \psi(a)^2 \right)^{\frac{n_l}{2}} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $W = W_{P,J}^\eta$ be a free reduced word in \mathfrak{LS}_A in the sense of **NA 10.1**. Then one obtains

$$(10.4) \quad W^* = \prod_{l=1}^N X_{a, j_{N-k_l+1}}^{p_{N-k_l+1}, j_{N-k_l+1}} \in \mathfrak{LS}_A,$$

by the self-adjointness of $X_{a,j}^{p,j} \in \mathfrak{X}_a$ in \mathfrak{LS}_A .

Observe that

$$\tau_A(W) = \prod_{l=1}^N \tau_{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}}^A \left(X_{a, j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}} \right)$$

since W is a free reduced word of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ in \mathfrak{X}_a , by (9.1), and the free generators of W are mutually free from each other

$$= \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} \left(p_{k_l}^{2(j_{k_l}+1)} \psi(a)^2 \right)^{\frac{n_l}{2}} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right)$$

by the $\left(p_{k_l}^{j_{k_l}+1} \psi(a) \right)^2$ -semicircularity (9.3) on \mathfrak{X}_a in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, for all $l = 1, \dots, N$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} \left(p_{N-k_l+1}^{2(j_{N-k_l+1}+1)} \psi(a)^2 \right)^{\frac{n_l}{2}} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^N \tau_{p_{N-k_l+1}, N-j_{k_l}+1}^A \left(X_{a, j_{N-k_l+1}}^{p_{N-k_l+1}, j_{N-k_l+1}} \right) \\ &= \tau_A(W^*), \end{aligned}$$

by (10.4). Therefore, the formula (10.3) holds true. □

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 10.2. *Let \mathfrak{X}_{1_A} be the free weighted-semicircular family (9.5) in the A -dynamical free filterization $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$, where 1_A is the unit of (A, ψ) . Let*

$$(10.5) \quad W = W_{P,J}^\eta = \prod_{l=1}^N \left(X_{1_A, j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}} \right)^{n_l}$$

be a free reduced word of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ in \mathfrak{X}_{1_A} under **NA 10.1**. Then

$$(10.6) \quad \tau_A(W) = \tau_A(W^*) = \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} \left(p_{k_l}^{2(j_l+1)} \right)^{\frac{n_l}{2}} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. Let W be a free reduced word (10.5) of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ in the free weighted-semicircular family \mathfrak{X}_{1_A} of (9.5) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$. Since 1_A automatically satisfies the condition (8.10), we obtain the free-distributional data (10.6) of W by (10.3). □

The above free-distributional data (10.5) generalizes the main results of [8] up to dynamical systems.

10.2. Free Reduced Words of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ in \mathfrak{Y}_a . Let (A, ψ) be a fixed unital C^* -probability space, and $a \in (A, \psi)$, a self-adjoint free random variable satisfying the condition (8.10), and let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ be the A -dynamical free filterization (9.1). Also, let \mathfrak{Y}_a be the free semicircular family (9.4) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$. Define an operator U of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_A$ by

$$(10.7) \quad U = \prod_{l=1}^N \left(Y_{a, j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}} \right)^{n_l},$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, where

$$(10.8) \quad Y_{a, j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}} = \left(\frac{1}{p_{k_l}^{j_{k_l}+1} \psi(a)} \right) X_{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}}^a \in \mathfrak{Y}_a,$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, N$.

As in **NA 10.1**, we denote the operator U of (10.7), by

$$(10.9) \quad U = U_{P,J}^\eta$$

if

$$P = (p_{k_1}, \dots, p_{k_N}) \in \text{alt}_{MD}(\mathcal{P}^N),$$

or

$$J = (j_{k_1}, \dots, j_{k_N}) \in \text{alt}_{MD}(\mathbb{Z}^N),$$

and

$$\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N.$$

Theorem 10.3. *Let $U = U_{P,J}^\eta$ be an operator (10.9) in \mathfrak{LS}_A . Then*

$$(10.10) \quad \tau_A(U) = \tau_A(U^*) = \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right),$$

Proof. Let $U = U_{P,J}^\eta$ be in the sense of (10.9) in \mathfrak{LS}_A generated by the free semi-circular family \mathfrak{Y}_a of (9.4).

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_A(U) &= \tau_A \left(\prod_{l=1}^N Y_{a, j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}} \right) = \prod_{l=1}^N \tau_{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}}^A \left(Y_{a, j_{k_l}}^{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\omega_{n_l} c_{\frac{n_l}{2}} \right) = \prod_{l=1}^N \tau_{p_{k_l}, j_{k_l}}^A \left(Y_{a, j_{N-k_l+1}}^{p_{N-k_l+1}, j_{N-k_l+1}} \right) \\ &= \tau_A \left(\prod_{l=1}^N Y_{a, j_{N-k_l+1}}^{p_{N-k_l+1}, j_{N-k_l+1}} \right) = \tau_A(U^*), \end{aligned}$$

by the semicircularity and the freeness on \mathfrak{Y}_a in \mathfrak{LS}_A . Therefore, the free-distributional data (10.10) holds true. □

The above corollary generalize the main results of [8] up to dynamical systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay and P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Spectral theory for Gaussian processes: reproducing kernels*, Random Functions, & Operator Theory, **83** (2015), 211–229.
- [2] D. Alpay and P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Spectral theory for Gaussian processes: reproducing kernels, boundaries, and L_2 -wavelet generators with fractional scales*, Numb. Funct. Anal, Optim. **36**, (2015), 1239–1285.
- [3] D. Alpay, P. E. T. Jorgensen and D. Kimsey, *Moment problems in an Infinite number of variables*, Infnit. Dimension. Anal. & Quant. Prob. **18** (2015), 1550024.
- [4] D. Alpay, P. E. T. Jorgensen and D. Levanony, *On the equivalence of probability spaces*, J. Theo. Prob. (2018) To Appear.
- [5] S. S. Albeverio, P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *Multiresolution wavelet analysis of integer scale Bessel functions*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 073516, 24.
- [6] S. Albeverio, P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *On fractional Brownian motion and wavelets*, Compl. Anal. Oper. Theo. **6** (2012) 33–63.
- [7] D. Alpay, P. E. T. Jorgensen and G. Salomon, *On free stochastic processes and their derivatives*, Stochast. Process. Appl. **124** (2014), 3392–3411.
- [8] I. Cho, *Semicircular families in free product Banach $*$ -algebras induced by p -adic number fields over primes p* , Compl. Anal. Oper. Theo. **11** (2017), 507–565.
- [9] I. Cho, *p -adic number fields acting on W^* -probability spaces*, Turkish J. Anal. Numb. Theo. Acad. (2018) To Appear.
- [10] I. Cho, *Free probability on Hecke algebras and certain group C^* -algebras induced by Hecke algebras*, Opuscula Math. **36** (2016), 153–187.
- [11] I. Cho, *p -adic free stochastic integrals for p -adic weighted-semicircular motions determined by primes p* , Libertas Math. (N.S) **36** (2016), 65–110.

- [12] I. Cho and P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Semicircular elements induced by p -adic number fields*, *Opuscula Math.* **35** (2017), 665–703.
- [13] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press (San Diego, CA), 1994.
- [14] A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III-factors, and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, *Selecta Math. (New Series)* **1** (1995), 411–457.
- [15] A. Connes, *Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeroes of the Riemann zeta functions*, Available at <http://www.alainconnes.org/en/download.php>.
- [16] T. Gillespie, *Superposition of zeroes of automorphic L -functions and functoriality*, Univ. of Iowa, Dept. of Math., (2010) PhD Thesis.
- [17] T. Gillespie, *Prime number theorems for rankin-selberg L -functions over number fields*, *Sci. China Math.* **54** (2011), 35–46.
- [18] P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Operators and Representation Theory: Canonical Models for Algebras of Operators Arising in Quantum Mechanics*, (2-nd Ed.), Dover Publications, 2008.
- [19] P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *q -Frames and Bessel functions*, *Numb. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **33** (2012), 1063–1069.
- [20] P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *Markov measures and extended zeta functions*, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **38** (2012), 305–323.
- [21] P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *States on the Cuntz algebras and p -adic Random walks*, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **90** (2011), 197–211.
- [22] P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *Wavelets in mathematical physics: q -oscillators*, *J. Phy. A.* **36** (2003), 6483–6494.
- [23] F. Radulescu, *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the C^* -algebra of a free group of nonsingular index*, *Invent. Math.* **115** (1994), 347–389.
- [24] F. Radulescu, *Free group factors and Hecke operators*, notes taken by N. Ozawa, *Proceed. 24-th Conference in Oper. Theo.*, Theta Advanced Series in Math., Theta Foundation, 2014..
- [25] F. Radulescu, *Conditional expectations, traces, angles between spaces and representations of the Hecke algebras*, *Lib. Math.* **33** (2013), 65–95.
- [26] R. Speicher, *Combinatorial Theory of the Free Product with Amalgamation and Operator-Valued Free Probability Theory*, *Memory of Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol.132, no. 627, Amer. Math. Soc. 1998.
- [27] R. Speicher, *A Conceptual proof of a basic result in the combinatorial approach to freeness*, *Infin. Diment. Anal. Quant. Prob. & Related Topics* **3** (2000), 213–222.
- [28] R. Speicher and T. Kemp, *Strong Haagerup inequalities for free R -diagonal elements*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **251** (2007), 141–173.
- [29] R. Speicher and U. Haagerup, *Brown's spectral distribution measure for R -diagonal elements in finite von Neumann algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **176** (2000), 331–367.
- [30] V. S. Vladimirov, *p -Adic quantum mechanics*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 659–676.
- [31] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov, *p -Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, Ser. Soviet & East European Math., World Scientific, 1994.
- [32] D. Voiculescu, *Aspects of free analysis*, *Jpn. J. Math.* **3** (2008), 163–183.
- [33] D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries arising from free probability theory*, in: *Frontier in Number Theory, Physics, and geometry. I*, Springer, Berlin, 2006 pp. 231–243.
- [34] D. Voiculescu, *Free probability and the von Neumann algebras of free groups*, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **55** (2005), 127–133.
- [35] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykemma and A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series, vol 1. 1992.

Manuscript received April 5 2018

revised July 31 2018

ILWOO CHO

421 Ambrose Hall, Saint Ambrose Univ., Dept. of Math., 518 W. Locust St., Davenport, Iowa,
52803, U. S. A.

E-mail address: `choilwoo@sau.edu`